### **APPLICATION FOR A CONDITIONAL USE**

### Wilkes-Barre Logistics Center

Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County Pennsylvania

Applicant:

Bluecup Ventures Hazleton I, LLC 200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, 19428-2978

Issued: May 31, 2022

IDP Project # 21-0187

Prepared By:



IDP Consulting, LLC 430 North Front Street Wormleysburg, PA 17043. Justin Kuhn | justin@IntegratedDP.com | 717-386-1362

### **APPLICATION FOR A CONDITIONAL USE**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### §704 SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

### §708 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

### § 706 GENERAL STANDARDS

### IMPACT ANALYSIS:

Additional Documents Included:

- 1. PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT Of: Haul Road & Johnson Street Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, Kleinfelder 435 Independence Avenue, Suite C Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17055, August 10, 2021.
- 2. IPaC resource list U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Bluecup Wilkes-Barre Twp LOCATION: Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, 2/6/2021.
- Aquatic Resource Delineation Report Laurel Run and Wilkes Barre Townships, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, Bluecup Ventures- Wilkes Barre Site, Thompson Environmental, 07/05/21.
- Historic Mining Review Desktop Study 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street Wilkes-Barre, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project Number: 20214488.001A, May 17, 2021.
- Traffic Impact Study Traffic Impact Study Bluecup Warehouse Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County For Submission To: Wilkes-Barre Township & PennDOT District 4-0 Date: May 20, 2022 TPD# BCVS.00002 PennDOT EPS# 261894
- 6. Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter Haul Road Warehouse Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project No.: 20214488.002A, April 27, 2022

IDP Consulting, LLC 430 North Front Street Wormleysburg, PA 17043.

Mr. Thomas Zedolik, Zoning and Code Enforcement Officer Wilkes-Barre Township Wilkes Barre, Pa 18702

May 31, 2022

### **RE: Bluecup Ventures Wilkes-Barre, LLC APPLICATION FOR A CONDITIONAL USE**

Dear Mr. Zedolik,

In compliance with the Wilkes Barre Township Zoning Ordinance ("The Zoning Ordinance"), ARTICLE 7 CONDITIONAL USE, Bluecup Ventures Wilkes-Barre, LLC ("Applicant"), respectfully submit this application for a WAREHOUSING AND DISTRIBUTION use, as defined in The Zoning Ordinance in §202, in a M-I MINING DISTRICT at 400 Johnson St Wilkes-Barre Township, PA 18702 on land approximately seventy-six (76) acres located south of Johnson Street and Haul Road, bounded by I-81 on the West, an abandoned Conrail on the East, and a property owned by Catlan Reality occupied by Allen Industries on the South as identified on Exhibit-1 Parcel Plan (the "Parcel"). Applicant has the right to make zoning applications, and appeals as evidenced by the attached AGREEMENT OF SALE AND PURCHASE by and between the Property Owner PAGNOTTI ENTERPRISES, INC., and LOREE ASSOCIATES, and Applicant BLUECUP VENTURES WILKES-BARRE, LLC.

### **Property Owner:**

Freya Land Company 144 Brown Rd Pittston, PA, 18640-3723 Contact: David Swisher Phone: 570-270-9826 E-Mail: <u>dswisher@peirealty.net</u>

### Applicant:

Bluecup Ventures Wilkes-Barre LLC. 200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400 West Conshohocken, PA, 19428-2978 Contact: Jeff Randolph, RA, NY License# 8932514 Phone: 203-252-1515 email: jeff.randolph@bluecup.ventures

### SCHEDULE OF FEES, CHARGES AND EXPENSES

A check for \$600.00 is included with this application. As part of the Conditional Use Application fee, and as specified in §702, Applicant acknowledges its responsibility to reimburse the Township for all reasonable and necessary consulting fees which are incurred by the Township to review plans, reports, data, studies, and any other information related to an application for a Conditional Use Permit.

### **APPLICATION MATERIALS:**

This letter and the attached materials are organized to address the requirements specified in §704 Application and Site Plan & §708 Environmental Impact Statement. Attached are fifteen (15) copies of the Conditional Use Application, site plan and accompanying materials. The site plan complies with §704 and has been prepared at a scale of not greater than one inch equals fifty feet.

REQUIREMENTS		SUMMARY RESPONSE	
• T b s a	The location and size of all buildings and structures, both principal and accessory, open space, parking areas, traffic access and circulation.	Proposed use for the site is a for a 937,440 square foot warehouse distribution building, approximately 46' height above finished floor level, with parking for 503 cars and up to 441 trailers.	
		A landscaped buffer between 37' and 450' surrounds the parcel and proposed roadways. See Exhibit-1 Parcel Plan.	
		Site access: Entrance: Allan Road with Improvements. Exit: Haul Road with Improvements.	
		See Exhibit-3 Proposed Site Plan and Building.	
•	All public or private streets within five hundred (500') feet of the site.	See Exhibit-4 All streets, both public and private, within two hundred (500') feet of the Site. 1. Johnson Road (Public) 2. I-81/ SR/309 (Public) 3. Haul Road (Private ROW) Allen Road (Private ROW) to be relocated	
•	Contours of the site for each two (2) feet of change in elevation, based upon a field survey of the site, with the name of the person or firm who conducted survey and the date of survey.	See Exhibit 10 USGS Topo Map Surveyor: Matthew Davis, P.L.S. TerraViz Geospatial 430 N. Front Street Wormleysburg, PA 17043 A survey was conducted Q4 2021.	
•	Streams, ponds, watercourses, wetlands or any other bodies of water, including natural or man- made drainage swales located	On May 18, 2021, Bridger Thompson of TES&P performed a site visit to identify and delineate wetlands and watercourses within the Study Area. The report is included as part of this application. These resources are potentially regulated under the Pennsylvania Clean Streams Law and Dam Safety	

REQUIREMENTS		SUMMARY RESPONSE
	both on the site and within five hundred (500) feet of the site.	and Encroachments Act, and the federal Clean Water Act (Commonwealth of PA, 2020a and 2020b; Clean Water Act of 1972). The Study Area for the aquatic resource investigation is depicted on Figures 2 and 3 of the report. TES&P identified and delineated four palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands, one intermittent (INT) watercourse, and four ephemeral (EPH) watercourses within the 96-acre Study Area (Figure 3). A summary of the delineated resources is provided in Table 2. The field data forms for the delineated wetlands and photographs of the identified features and existing site conditions are in Appendices A and B, respectively. Descriptions of the delineated resources are presented below.
•	The location, nature and terms of any existing or proposed easements on the site and any easements both on-site and off- site including but not limited to those which are used or intended to be used for access to the site.	Existing easement: Allen Road, to be relocated as indicated in Exhibit-3 Proposed Building. A detailed plan will be submitted as part of the land development plan.
•	The location, type and height of any required screening.	<ul> <li>§ 508.4 DIMENSIONAL REGULATIONS for M-1 do not require any buffer areas.</li> <li>§ 509.4 H. DIMENSIONAL REGULATIONS for M-2 require a buffer where an M-2 District abuts a residential district. No screening is required Property borders</li> <li>1. Industrial properties on the North and South Johnson Road</li> <li>2. I-81/ SR/309 (Public)</li> <li>3. Haul Road (Private ROW)</li> <li>4. Allen Road (Private ROW) to be relocated</li> </ul>
•	The location of all structures within two hundred (200) feet of any property line boundary of the subject site	One residence, 340 Johnson Road, located in an M-2 District, is approximately 450' from the nearest property boundary. The property appears to be abandoned. See Exhibit-3 Location of any residential structure within 500' of any property boundaries.
•	The Map, Block and Lot Number of the subject parcel.	The Parcel Current Consists of several separate tax parcels that will be reverse subdivided:

REQUIREMENTS	SUMMARY RESPONSE	
The Luzerne County Property Identification Number for the subject parcel.	69I9 00B03A000 69I10 00A10A000 69I10 00A07F000	
• A location map at a scale of not greater than one (1) inch equals two thousand (2,000) feet, indicating the relation of the site to its geographic proximity within the Township.	See: Exhibit-1 Parcel Plan Exhibit-2 Location Map	
<ul> <li>A narrative outline which fully describes the proposed use of the site and the pertinent operational aspects and features of the proposed use</li> </ul>	The proposed building will be built on a speculative basis, without a specific tenant, but with the features that are likely to meet the needs of two types of operations:	
reatures of the proposed use.	WAREHOUSING AND DISTRIBUTION: A use engaged in storage, wholesale and distribution of manufactured products, supplies and equipment, excluding the bulk storage of material that are inflammable, explosive, hazardous or commonly recognized as offensive.	
	LIGHT INDUSTRY: A use engaged in the manufacturing predominantly from previously prepared materials, of finished products or parts, including processing, fabrication, assembly, treatment packaging, incidental storage, sales, and distribution of such products, but excluding basic industrial processing.	
	Operating Hours will vary by tenant but are most likely to be a single shift from 7:30 AM to 4:30PM, 9-10 months a year, with a 2nd half shift 2-3 months a year, for peak seasonal use. Some operations employ a skeletal third shift to load or unload goods, conduct inventory, reposition, depalletize or repalletize goods. Traffic patterns are provided in detail in the traffic study. Traffic movement will be greatest at shift change. The staffing for this building will likely be between 250- 500 employees. Since the site is served by 3 bus lines, a reasonable estimate is that 80% of the employees will come by single occupancy vehicle car. Bus Service: #3 Grove & Brown Heights, #6 Dallas, #55 Kingston, Pittston.	

REQUIREMENTS	SUMMARY RESPONSE	
	Truck traffic is estimated, based on PennDot standards, spread out over first shift. Most operations do not regularly move trucks on second shift. See Traffic Study for details.	

### § 708 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Statement shall include a response to the following items and said proposed use/development shall further comply with all other applicable standards and requirements of this Ordinance:

708.01. SOIL TYPES	
a. U.S.D.A. Soil Types (illustrated upon map)	See Figure 2, Aquatic Resource Delineation Report. The soil on site is almost entirely classified as Strip mine and Cut and fill land
b. Permeability of soil on the site.	The Hydric Rating of all soils on site were determined to be 0, see Table 1, p. 2 Aquatic Resource Delineation Report
c. Rate of percolation of water through the soil for every five acres.	The rate of percolation averaged 10.3 minutes per inch (mpi) based on site testing. See attached Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter and Exhibit 11 Test Pit Locations.
708.02 SURFACE WATERS	·
a. Distance of site from the nearest surface water and headwaters of streams.	TES&P identified and delineated four palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands, one intermittent (INT) watercourse, and four ephemeral (EPH) watercourses within the 96-acre Study Area (Figure 3). A summary of the delineated resources is provided in Table 2. The field data forms for the delineated wetlands and photographs of the identified features and existing site conditions are in Appendices A and B, and descriptions of the delineated resources are in the Aquatic Resource Delineation Report.
b. Sources of runoff water.	Draft drawings have been provided. Land
c. Rate of runoff from the site.	Development Plan and submission of the
<ul> <li>d. Destination of runoff water and method of controlling downstream effects.</li> </ul>	Chapter 102 General NPDES Permit Chapter 105 Water Obstruction & Encroachment Permit
e. Chemical additives to runoff water on the site.	No chemical treatment is currently planned
f. Submission of a soils erosion and sedimentation control plan meeting the	A soils erosion and sedimentation control plan will pe prepared as part of the Chapter

requirements of the Luzerne County Conservation District.	102 General NPDES Permit Chapter 105 Water Obstruction & Encroachment Permit applications. All land development activities shall comply with §822 of the SALDO and shall provide for both temporary and permanent erosion and sedimentation facilities in conformance with the current PA DEP standards and all erosion and sedimentation control plans will be submitted to the Luzerne County Conservation District for their review and approval.
g. A storm water management plan which shall be developed in coordination with the soils erosion and sedimentation plan.	Civil Engineering drawings will be developed as part of the Land Development Plan in accordance with §823 of the SALDO and applicable State and County regulations. A draft study has been sent to the Township's Engineer.
708.03 GROUND COVER INCLUDING TREES	
a. Extent of existing impervious ground cover on the site.	The surface conditions are primarily Strip- mine fill.
	runs the length of the site and will be relocated as part of the project.
b. Extent of proposed impervious ground cover on the site.	The proposed project will be approximately Parcel Area: 3,148,957 sf Total Impervious: 2,389,630 sf = 75.89% Lot Coverage which is defined by Township as Building Only: 942,840 sf = 29.94% The impervious surface, will have engineered storm water retention, reducing the velocity, volume of water flowing from the site and improving the water quality.
c. Extent of existing vegetative cover on the site.	At the time of the aquatic resource study, the project area commonly had sparce vegetation containing big bluestem (Andropogon gerardii), Canada goldenrod (Solidago cabadensis), Japanese knotweed (Reynoutria japonica), and grey birch seedlings (Betula populifolia). The most common tree species observed were grey birch (Betula populifolia) and red oak (Quercus rubra). The site is currently under an active mining permit and undergoing tree cutting to

	See Aquatic Resource Delineation Report.
d. Extent of proposed vegetative cover on the site.	The proposed vegetative cover is indicated on the site plan.
708.04TOPOGRAPHY	· · ·
a. Maximum existing elevation of site.	Current elevation is approximately 780 in the extreme eastern portion of the site
b. Minimum existing elevation of site.	Current elevation is approximately 675 feet in the northern and western portions of the site.
c. Maximum proposed elevation of site.	TBD +/- 712' AMSL Building FFE
d. Minimum proposed elevation of site.	TBD +/- 717' AMSL Building FFE
e. Description of the topography of the site and all proposed changes in topography.	The existing site consists of a historic mining site, that has been deeply excavated and then filled. See with steep topography and non-vegetated areas which have been historically graded and used for subsurface mining, and fill/coal material storage.
	Based on the extent and age of the surficial disturbance from stripping operations at the project site, it is assumed material was moved across the site without engineering control, therefore, not as properly placed structural fill, which increases risk of intolerable post-construction settlement if not mitigated. The fill material used is also likely to be comprised of varying non- cohesive soils. See Kleinfelder Historic Mining Review Desktop Study.
708.05 GROUNDWATER	
a. Average depth to seasonal high water table.	Borings were conducted to a depth of 100' by Kleinfelder Engineering as part of the Geotechnical Engineering evaluation and the water table was not present.
b. Minimum depth to water table on site.	Minimum Depth: >100'
c. Maximum depth to water table on site.	Maximum Depth: >100'
708.06 WATER SUPPLY	
a. The source and adequacy of water to be provided to the site.	The site is located within the certificated franchise area of Pennsylvania American Water Company (PAWC), Scranton/Wilkes- Barre Service District. Domestic water service can be provided from the existing water facilities in Johnson Street in accordance with the provisions of our tariff as approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

b. The projected water requirements (G.P.D.) for the site.	500 employees during 24-hour period Times: 15 gallons per employee per day
	Equals: 7,500 gallons total per day
c. The uses to which the water will be put.	Water will be used for drinking water,
	sanitary services and general cleaning
708.07 SEWAGE SYSTEM	1
a. Sewage disposal system (description	A request has been submitted to Hanover
and location on the site of system).	I ownship to issue either a Sewage
	Letter setting forth its willingposs to permit
	our proposed project to connect to an
	existing sewer main sufficient to support the
	development of our proposed distribution
	warehouse project.
b. Expected content of sewage effluents	Sewage effluents are anticipated to be
(human waste, pesticides, detergents, oils,	human waste, and "grey water" from routine
heavy metals, other chemicals).	cleaning.
c. Projected daily volumes of sewage.	500 employees during 24-nour period
	Fauals: 7 500 gallons total per day
	Divided by: 400 gallons per EDU
	Equals: total of 18.8 EDUs, rounded to 20
	being requested
d. Affected sewage treatment plants	James Tomaine, PE, Executive Director of
present capacity and design capacity.	the Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority
	(WVSA) has confirmed that the Authority
	capacity to sorvice the estimated 7 500
	gallons per day of sanitary sewage
	generated by the proposed warehouse at
	400 Johnson Street in Wilkes-Barre
	Township. No overload exists or is
	projected within five years at WVSA. In
	addition, the local municipality must be
	system capacity
e Estimated quantity of solid waste to be	Solid waste processing is not currently a
developed and/or processed on the site	planned use on site.
during and after construction.	
f. Method of disposal and/or processing of	Solid waste generated during construction
solid waste during and after construction.	and after the building is completed will be
	transferred off site by a commercial trash
a. Plans for recycling of solid waste during	The means and method of construction
and after construction.	have not vet been determined, but all
	construction activities will be conducted by
	a licensed contractor and reasonable best
	practices will be employed.
708.09 AIR OUALITY	

a. Expected Changes in air quality due to activities at the site during and after construction	The soil on the site is classified as Strip Mine "Sm". Proposed site improvement will reduce blowing dust from the site. The additional vehicular traffic of 682 vehicles/day, as calculated by TPD the traffic engineer in accordance with PennDot standards, will negligibly add to the existing 59,000 vehicle trips per day on I-81. See Exhibit 8.
<ul> <li>b. Plans for control of emissions affecting air quality.</li> </ul>	No point sources of pollution are currently are currently contemplated for the site.
708.10 NOISE	
a. Noise levels, above existing levels, anticipated to be generated at the site, (source and magnitude), during and after construction.	See Exhibit 6 for calculations: Existing noise levels from I-81 are between 58 and 73 dBA and are continuous throughout the day and night generated by 59,000 vehicle trips per day. Noise during construction and ongoing operations will be generated by intermittent truck operations, and are calculated between 58 and 65 dBA, less than Existing noise levels, which will not be incremental to Existing noise.
b. Proposed method for control of additional noise on-site during and after construction.	Since noise from operations will not be additive to Existing noise levels noise reduction measures will be ineffective
708.11 IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE DEVELOPM	/ENT
A written description and/or statement from the app mitigating factors shall be provided for the following	licant of the impact on the environment and
a. Existing plant species, (upland and marine), and effects thereon.	The Project area commonly had sparce vegetation containing big bluestem (Andropogon gerardii), Canada goldenrod (Solidago cabadensis), Japanese knotweed (Reynoutria japonica), and grey birch seedlings (Betula populifolia). The most common tree species observed were grey birch (Betula populifolia) and red oak (Quercus rubra). See Aquatic Resource Delineation Report.
b. Existing animal species and effects thereon.	The IpaC resource list indicates that there are "THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION." See
c. Existing wild fowl and other birds and effects thereon.	There are no breeding areas and a "Low Probability of Presence" of endangered birds on site.

d. Effects of drainage and runoff.	Since the site is currently mine scarred land and the USDA Natural Resources Soil Survey has identified almost the entirety of the site as Strip Mine ("Sm" Exhibit 7.1), the proposed development is likely to improve the water quality, reduce the volume, \ and velocity of storm water runoff from the site.
e. Effects on ground water quality.	The proposed development are likely to improve the water quality, reduce the volume, and velocity of storm water runoff from the site, and will reduce the flow of surface contaminants to the water table.
f. Effects on surface water quality.	The proposed development are likely to improve the water quality, reduce the volume, and velocity of storm water runoff from the site.
g. Effects on air quality.	The soil on the site is classified as Strip Mine "Sm". Proposed site improvement will reduce blowing dust from the site. The additional vehicular traffic of 682 vehicles/day, as calculated by TPD the traffic engineer in accordance with PennDot standards, will negligibly add to the existing 59,000 vehicle trips per day on I-81. See Exhibit 8.
h. Alternatives to proposed use/development, consistent with the zoning of the site.	The parcel is currently zoned M-1. The proposed use is permitted in a M-2 zone, which is a more restrictive zoning designation.
i. Effects on sites of historic significance.	According to PA-SHARE "database for: A historic resource" No historic resources are present on the site, see Exhibit 9.
j. Projected amount and type of traffic to be generated and the effects of the same on public roads and highways. 708.12 IMPACT UPON CRITICAL AREAS	See Traffic Study Prepared by TPD
The applicant shall define, describe, and identify upon a map, critical areas as defined in Article 2 of this Ordinance. A statement of any potential impact upon critical areas shall be provided by the applicant, including but not limited to adverse impacts which cannot be avoided and/or mitigated as a resulting effect of the development.	<ul> <li>The IpaC report indicates that there are no</li> <li>National Wildlife Refuge lands</li> <li>Fish hatcheries</li> <li>Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory</li> </ul>
708.13 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION A list of all licenses, permits and other approvals required by County, State or Federal law and the status of each.	I If a Wilkes Barre Township grants a Conditional Use Permit Applicant will prepare applications for

<ul> <li>Chapter 102 General NPDES Permit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Chapter 105 Water Obstruction &amp; Encroachment Permit, as required.</li> <li>Sewage Planning Module.</li> </ul>

### § 706 GENERAL STANDARDS

The general standards contained herein shall be utilized in the review of applications and plans for any use which is classified as a conditional use.

GENERAL STANDARDS	RESPONSE
A. The proposed use shall not jeopardize the Community Objectives this Ordinance, nor shall it adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of the public and/or the environment.	The area is zoned M-1 MINING DISTRICT. The proposed use for a Warehouse Distribution Facility, is consistent and a less intensive use than uses contemplated in an M-1 district and Light Industry is a permitted use in an M-1 district.
	INDUSTRY, LIGHT: A use engaged in the manufacture, predominantly from previously prepared materials, of finished products or parts, including processing, fabrication, assembly, treatment, packaging, incidental storage, sales, and distribution of such products, but excluding basic industrial processing.
B. Public services and facilities such as streets, sewage disposal, water, police and fire protection shall be adequate for the proposed use.	The site is currently served by water, sewer, gas and electric utilities, with adequate capacity to provide services for the proposed use. Will Serve Letters have been obtained.
	Site improvements will include the replacement of the 100 year old water main currently serving Wilkes-Barre Township. The developer will be responsible for all the costs related to the water main replacement.
C. Existing and future streets and access to the site shall be adequate for emergency services, for avoiding undue congestion, and for providing for the safety and convenience of pedestrian and vehicular traffic.	The site is accessible by public roadway, and currently accessible by emergency services. Detailed plans will be submitted as part of the Land Development Plan submission and the project will require a General NPDES permit for approval.

D. The relationship of the proposed use to other activities existing or planned in the vicinity shall be harmonious in terms of location and size relative to the proposed operation and the nature and intensity of the operation involved.	The proposed use, Warehouse Distribution, are bordered by consistent uses, a scrap metal processing operation, south; I-81 west; mine scarred vacant land, east; excavation contractor, north. The area surrounding the proposed use is zoned M-2 and allows distribution warehouse facilities.
E. The relationship of the proposed use to other activities existing or planned in the vicinity shall be harmonious in terms of the character and height of structures, buildings, walls and fences, so that the use, and development of adjacent property is not impaired.	The proposed height of the building is approximately 46', the same height as the NESCO Rentals building at 300 Johnson Street. The building setbacks will comply with all ordinances. The surrounding properties are primarily used for light industry and storage.
F. The proposed use shall not be more objectionable in its operation in terms of noise, fumes, odors, vibration or lighting that would be the operations of any permitted use in the district.	The proposed use for warehouse distribution, is less noxious than surrounding uses such as scrap metal processing, silt fill/ processing, I-81 traffic, and consistent with storage and warehousing operations to the north.
G. Unless waived by the Township Council, the submission of an Environmental Impact Statement for all nonresidential conditional uses in accordance with Section 708 of this Ordinance, and all subsections thereunder.	An Environmental Impact Statement has been prepared.
H. If required by the Township Council, the submission of any reports and/or studies within the context of the definition "Impact Analysis" as contained within Article 2 of this Ordinance, which conclusively demonstrates that the proposed use or development will not have a negative impact upon the particular subject or subjects as set forth by the Township Council including but not limited to the interest of protecting the health, safety and welfare of the public and environmental features and characteristics of the site and/or surrounding areas. In their review of an Impact Analysis, the Township Council shall have the discretion to retain the services of	<ul> <li>The proposed use or development will not have a negative impact upon the health, safety, and welfare of the public since the surrounding uses as indicated in this report are:</li> <li>1. Unrestored mine scarred land with compromised storm water retention.</li> <li>2. Do not contain any EV wetlands or significant natural habitats.</li> <li>3. Contain areas of "Recognized Environmental Concern".</li> <li>4. The site is zoned for more noxious use, M-1, than the proposed use permitted in an M-2 zone.</li> <li>5. The site is bounded by more intensive uses than proposed</li> <li>a. Metal Salvage</li> <li>b. 59,000 vehicle trips per day on I-81</li> <li>c. Mining operations</li> </ul>

firms or agencies which have expertise within the subject or subjects addressed therein.	<ul><li>d. Rail spur.</li><li>6. These more intensive uses buffer the site from residential activities.</li></ul>
--	---

### IMPACT ANALYSIS:

A study and/or report, which may be required at the discretion of the Governing Body prior to approval of a conditional use or by the Zoning Hearing Board prior to approval a special exception use, to determine the potential impact of the proposed use on activities, utilities, traffic generation and circulation, surrounding land uses, community facilities, environmental features, critical areas, the public health, safety and welfare and other factors directly, indirectly or potentially affected.

Community Factor	Direct Impact	Indirect Impact
Activities	The proposed use, a warehouse distribution center, is consistent with the SECTION 105 of the zoning ordinance and the Township's governing Comprehensive Plan, proposing a less intensive and noisome use than permitted within an M-1 zone	<ul> <li>Positive indirect impact: siting a warehouse distribution facility on the site will prevent the construction of permitted more noxious use in a M-1 zone such as:</li> <li>Solid Waste Facilities</li> <li>Staging Areas</li> <li>Transfer Stations</li> <li>Junk Yards and/or Automotive Wrecking Yards</li> <li>Any use which utilizes and/or stores any hazardous substances</li> </ul>
Utilities	Will Serve Letters have been provided by the local electrical, sewage, water and gas services to the site. There are no know capacity constraints on any public utilities.	Positive indirect impact: Site improvements will include the replacement of the 8" 100 year old water main currently serving Wilkes-Barre Township. The developer will be responsible for all the costs related to the water main replacement.
Traffic	See Traffic Study for complete assessment.	The staffing for this building will likely be between 250 and 400 employees. Since the site is served by 3 bus lines, a reasonable estimate is that 20% of the employees will use public transportation to reach the site, and the central location may

Community Factor	Direct Impact	Indirect Impact
		increase carpooling. Bus Service: #3 Grove & Brown Heights, #6 Dallas, #55 Kingston, Pittston.
Surrounding Land Uses	<ul> <li>Property surrounding the site are of compatible use: Exhibit 10.1 Wilkes-Barre Township Zoning Map</li> <li>North: Zoned M-1 &amp; M-2; current use Warehouses, Garages, Parking Lot.</li> <li>East: railroad right of way, Laurel Run Twp. min scarred land revegetated.</li> <li>South: Zoned M-1; current use scrap metal processing.</li> <li>West: I-81, elevated roadway.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Positive indirect impact: siting a warehouse distribution facility on the site will prevent the construction of permitted more noxious use in a M-1 zone such as: <ul> <li>Solid Waste Facilities</li> <li>Staging Areas</li> <li>Transfer Stations</li> <li>Junk Yards and/or Automotive Wrecking Yards</li> <li>Any use which utilizes and/or stores any hazardous substances</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Community Facilities	No additional community facilities will be required for the operation of the	Increase to Local Property Tax Base
Environment al Features	The site currently has 5 Dumping Areas, 2 which may be Recognized Environmental Concern (REC) Development of the site will clean up the REC locations. (See PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT, Fig 3. REC Aerial Location Map.)	Development of the site will clean up the 5 illegal dumping sites and Former RR Tracks beds.
Critical Areas	The existing site consists of a historic mining site that has been significantly disturbed and currently contains small wooded/shrubby lots with steep topography and non-vegetated areas which have been historically graded and used for subsurface mining, and fill/coal material storage. The Project site is sparsely vegetated and drains northwest to an un-named tributary (UNT) to Spring Run. Spring Run is a tributary to Solomon Creek, and these watercourses are located within the Upper Susquehanna River basin. Spring. According to the Draft 2020 Pennsylvania Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report, both the UNT to Spring Run and Spring Run	Engineered site improvements to mine scarred lands are proven to reduce the volume and velocity of uncontrolled storm water runoff and reduce the contaminants of the discharged water.

Community Easter	Direct Impact	Indirect Impact
	are listed as aquatic life impaired (PADEP, 2020a). No wetlands are identified by the U.S.	
	Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USFWS, 2020) within proximity to the Project.	
Public Health Safety & Welfare	Need for Additional Fire Services should be negligible. According to NFPA, fires in warehouse properties per year (excluding refrigerated or cold storage), were less than 1% of all structure fires. U.S. fire departments responded to an estimated average of 1,210 warehouse fires per year.	Tax revenues will increase in excess of municipal services used by the proposed development, providing increased funding for public health and safety needs within the community.
	Approximately one-quarter of fires in warehouses were identified as confined or contained incidents (23%), while 14% were confined to the object of origin.	
	The proposed warehouse building will be constructed with a ESFR fire suppression system, greatly reducing the risk of fire.	
	The proposed height of the building is consistent with the height of the NESCO Rentals building 300 Johnson St, Wilkes-Barre Township, PA 18702, which can be serviced by existing fire equipment.	

Impact on Community Development Objectives Sec 104 of the Wilkes Barre Township Zoning Ordinance as enumerated in Section 604 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, Act247, as amended. The provisions of this Ordinance are designed to achieve the following:

	Objectives	Project Impact
1	Promote, protect, and facilitate any or all of the following: public health, safety, and general welfare; coordinated and practical community development and proper density of population; emergency management preparedness and operations; airports, and	<ul> <li>The project will promote, protect, and facilitate the following:</li> <li>1. Public health, by reducing storm water velocity and volume, and improving water quality. Safety, by eliminating and restoring a</li> </ul>
	national defense facilities; the provisions of	blighted mine scarred property

	Objectives	Project Impact
	adequate light and air; access to incident solar energy; police protection; vehicle parking and loading space; transportation; sewerage; schools; recreational facilities; public grounds; the provision of a safe; reliable and adequate water supply for domestic, commercial, agricultural, or industrial use, and other public requirements; preservation of the natural, scenic, and historic values in the environment; and preservation of forests, wetlands, aquifers, and floodplains.	<ul> <li>with a less intensive use than permitted under the current M-1 zoning such as Automotive Wrecking Yards, Junk Yards, Solid Waste Facilities, Transfer Stations</li> <li>Coordinated and practical community development and proper density of population, by building a facility that will directly employ between 300 and 500 employees and support an additional 1300 jobs. and increase the local taxes by \$840,000/ yr. with the requested abatement and \$2,000,000/ yr. after the expiration of the abatement. See Exhibit 12.1 &amp; 12.2 Economic Impact.</li> <li>Vehicle parking and loading spaces will all be contained on site. A truck queuing area is proposed to avoid back up on local roads.</li> <li>Transportation: the site is served by 3 public transit bus lines and a new traffic signal is being installed at the intersection of SR 309 and Johnson Street.</li> <li>Sewerage: the project has "Will Serve Letters" from the local sewage authority.</li> <li>Preservation of forests, wetlands, aguifers, and floodplains</li> </ul>
2	Prevent one or more of the following: overcrowding of land; blight; danger and congestion in travel and transportation; loss of health, life, or property from fire; flood, panic, or other dangers.	The proposed warehouse use is adjacent to compatible and more intensive uses, a highway, other warehouses, a scrap yard Locating a warehouse at this brownfield site will avoid development on a greenfield farmland location elsewhere in the State, reclaim a blight; danger and congestion in travel and transportation;
3	considering topography, soil type, and classification and present use.	
4	Provide for the use of land within the municipality for residential housing of various dwelling types encompassing all basic forms of housing, including single-family and two- family dwellings, and a reasonable range of	No Impact

	Objectives	Project Impact
	multi-family dwellings in various arrangements, manufactured homes and manufactured home parks, provided, however, that no zoning ordinance shall be deemed invalid for the failure to provide for any other specific dwelling type.	
5	Accommodate reasonable overall community growth, including population and employment growth and opportunities for development of a variety of residential dwelling types and non- residential uses.	Accommodate reasonable overall community growth, including population and employment growth



May 17, 2021

Mr. Jeff Randolph Blue Cup Ventures, LLC 2490 Black Rock Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06824

> RE: Historic Mining Review Desktop Study 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street Wilkes-Barre, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project Number: 20214488.001A

Dear Mr. Randolph:

In accordance with your request, Kleinfelder, Inc. (Kleinfelder) has prepared this correspondence to present our findings of the desktop study and historic mining review on the proposed distribution center development at the above referenced project site.

### **PROJECT & SITE DESCRIPTION**

The project site currently consists of approximately 85 acres of undeveloped and partially wooded land, located along Haul Road in Wilkes-Barre, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The property has been disturbed over its history leaving the existing ground surface and topography highly variable as a result. Topography across the project site generally slopes downgradient from Wilkes-Barre Mountain in the east to PA-309 toward the west. The location of the project site in relation to the surrounding area is shown on the *Topographic Map* (Figure 1) presented within the Appendix.

Based on information provided by Blue Cup Ventures, LLC, the project will consist of development of the project site into a distribution center. The size of the proposed structure(s) is unknown at the time of this writing. Based on Figure 1, existing ground surface elevations across the project site range from approximately 800 in the extreme eastern portion to 660 feet in the northern and western portions of the site. The project site is situated within the northern anthracite coal field of Pennsylvania's eastern coal region which has been historically mined for anthracite coal, which carries the potential for underground mines.

### SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this project was to identify the historic use of the property, locate available historic maps, including mine diagrams and determine the potential for deep mine features at the site. This objective was accomplished through a scope of work which included a desktop review of existing data and preparation of this summary letter.

### SITE GEOLOGY

Based on the <u>Pennsylvania Geologic Survey Atlas of Preliminary Geologic Quadrangles</u>, Fourth Series, 1981, the project site is underlain by the Pennsylvanian Llewellyn Formation (geologic symbol PI). The project site within its geologic setting is presented on the *Geologic Map* (Figure 2) provided within the Appendix.

According to the Pennsylvania Geologic Survey publication, *The Engineering Characteristics of the rocks of Pennsylvania Second Edition*, 1982, the Llewellyn Formation consists of interbedded layers of sandstone, siltstone and conglomerate; which range from medium- to coarse-grained; with numerous anthracite coal and dark-gray to black shales. The sandstone in this formation is well bedded and thick to massive, while the coal and shale beds are relatively thin. Fractures are moderately developed and moderately distributed. Joints are moderately spaced, open and steeply dipping. The rock is slightly to moderately weathered to a shallow or moderate depth, dependent on the local lithology. The resulting soil mantle is thin to moderately thick.

Mr. Jeff Randolph 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street May 17, 2021 Page 2 of 5

### **HISTORIC OVERVIEW**

The project site, which was referred to as the Franklin Colliery was started by the Lehigh Valley Coal Company of Wilkes-Barre and was later taken over by Pagnotti Enterprises of West Pittston, Pennsylvania and operated until 1964. A recycling facility has been constructed to the southwest of the project site with an access road traversing the western portion of the site. Current mining permits are held by Jeddo-Highland Coal Company (S.M.P. #40990201) and Latona Mining, LLC (M.S.H.A ID #36-01673). The project site is known to be underlain by several mapped coal seems, containing economically viable deep and shallow coal mines.

### ANTHRACITE COAL MINING

The project site is located within the "Northern Anthracite Coal Field" as described by the Pennsylvania Geological Survey in 1884. The 1884 Northern Coal Field Mine Sheet No. VI shows the project site to be underlain by the outcrops of the Red Ash, Ross and Baltimore coal veins. Additional mining maps observed from the Pennsylvania Mine Map Atlas of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, show the Sump and Skidmore veins also underlay the site. The coal veins within this area extend to approximately 1500 below the surface.

A cross-section of the coal seams is presented within the Appendix on the *Geologic Stratigraphy* (Figure 3). The Geologic Stratigraphy is shown on the upper right corner of the 1884 Northern Coal Field Mine Sheet No. VI. The cross-section is anticipated to represent the structure of the coal beneath the site in descending order as E. Baltimore or Mammoth Bed, D. Bed, Ross Bed and Red Ash Bed coal veins from the Empire Tunnel which is anticipated to be located to the northeast of the project site. As previously mentioned, the Sump and Skidmore veins were also mined beneath the site, however it is not shown on the geologic stratigraphy section. Thicknesses of the coal veins shown at the Empire Tunnel location are as follows:

- E. Baltimore or Mammoth Bed: 16 feet in thickness
- D. Bed: 6 feet in thickness (unnamed vein below is 6 feet in thickness)
- C. Ross Bed: 8 feet in thickness
- B. Red Ash Bed: Top Red Ash is 6 feet thick and Bottom Red Ash is 10 feet in thickness

Available mining maps were found for the Baltimore, Sump, Skidmore Middle, Skidmore Bottom, Ross, Red Ash Top and Red Ash Bottom Coal Veins. Based on the individual mining maps reviewed, shown within the Appendix on the *Mining Maps* (Figures 4 through 13), deep mining operations took place across and beneath the majority of project site at various elevations. It should be noted that the maps were overlaid using industry accepted standards, however; due to the inherent unknown referencing system of the maps and a lack of a known surveyed benchmark, a certain margin of error may exist.

Surficial mining maps were also observed for the Baltimore, Sump, Skidmore, Ross and Red Ash veins. The Baltimore and Sump veins appear to have outcropped (contacted the surface) within the project site. The Skidmore, Ross and Red Ash veins appear to have outcropped to the southeast of the project site at the base of Wilkes-Barre Mountain, and plunged to elevations beneath the project site.

Historical aerial photographs provided by USDA were observed and are provided within the Appendix on this Letter on the *Historical Aerial Photographs* (Figures 14 through 17). The 1939 aerial shows surficial disturbances across the project site have already taken place. Various buildings have been constructed, rail lines have been aligned in the central and eastern portions of the site, and piles of material have been removed from the north, eastern and southwestern portions of the site. Surficial disturbance severity increases from the 1939 aerial to the 1959 aerial incorporating seemingly large piles to the south central and western portions of the site. The advent of more powerful earth movers during the 1940's and 1950's allowed strip mining to become a more commercially viable method of coal removal than it was previously, in areas with shallow or thin coal seams. By the 1969 aerial, it appears some of the previously existing piles and disturbances become centralized to the central portion of the site, with some various buildings and piles remaining in other portions of the site. Deep mining activities in the area generally ceased prior to 1970. By the current aerial (ca. 2016), mining operations are no longer active, and the site looks generally overgrown with vegetation without much topography change from the 1969 aerial. Mr. Jeff Randolph 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street May 17, 2021 Page 3 of 5

### SUMMARY OF DATA OBTAINED

Based on our review of available, published data, it appears that the site was primarily used as a coal mine, both at the surface and at depth. Historic maps indicate that mining has occurred within each of the coal bearing formations at the site. Surface, or strip, mining was conducted within the Baltimore and Sump Veins at obtainable depths across the project site. The Skidmore, Ross and Red Ash veins were strip mined to the southeast of the project site where their outcrops surfaced in the base of Wilkes-Barre Mountain. Deep mining was conducted utilizing the "room and pillar" mining method. The coal seams were contacted and mined into. The "pillars" would support the mined "rooms". Some of the mine maps show that some pillars have been removed from the mine works in a process which is called "robbing". Robbing consists of the removal of the "pillars" in an effort to remove as much coal as possible. Based on the historical aerials, significant piles of material appear to remain on-site along with the potential for mining activity infrastructure, like rail lines, roads, equipment, building materials.

The mined coal veins beneath the project site appear to exist in the following order and brief descriptions of the veins are provided below.

#### Baltimore

The Baltimore vein was generally strip mined across the site. It was deep mined as it plunged to the southwest of the project site. The Baltimore was probably deep mined at first as it outcropped across the site, then as excavation equipment capabilities were improved, the overburden material was removed so the vein could be strip mined to some extent. The Baltimore vein may be (have been) approximately 16 feet thick in this geographic area, and as strip mining became more prevalent as excavation technology improved, this vein may have been chased via strip mining even with 100 feet of overburden material.

#### <u>Sump</u>

The available mining maps indicate Sump vein was strip mined in the southern portion of the site at the outcrop. It was deep mined as it plunged to the southwest of the project site and the pillars were robbed. The Sump vein was mined beneath approximately 15% of the southwestern portion of the site.

#### Skidmore Middle

The Skidmore Middle vein was strip mined to the southeast of the project site as it outcropped in the base of the mountain. The vein was deep mined utilizing room and pillar mining methods beneath the entirety of the project site. Approximately 80% of the Skidmore Middle vein was robbed, leaving 20% with presumably intact pillars.

#### Skidmore Bottom

The Skidmore Bottom vein was strip mined to the southeast of the project site as it outcropped in the base of the mountain. The vein was deep mined utilizing room and pillar mining methods beneath approximately 65% of the project site in various areas. Certain areas in the central portion of the project site were omitted in the mining maps observed. Approximately 80% of the Skidmore Bottom vein was robbed, leaving 20% with presumably intact pillars.

#### <u>Ross</u>

The Ross vein was strip mined to the southeast of the project site as it outcropped in the base of the mountain. The vein was deep mined utilizing room and pillar mining methods beneath only approximately 1% of the project site. The mining maps do not indicate robbing was conducted within the Ross vein.

#### Red Ash Top

The Red Ash Top vein was strip mined to the southeast of the project site as it outcropped in the base of the mountain. The vein was deep mined utilizing room and pillar mining methods beneath the entirety of the project site. Approximately 1% of the Red Ash Top vein was robbed, leaving 99% with presumably intact pillars.

Mr. Jeff Randolph 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street May 17, 2021 Page 4 of 5

#### Red Ash Bottom

The Red Ash Bottom vein is the deepest of available mined veins beneath the site, and was strip mined to the southeast of the project site as it outcropped in the base of the mountain. The vein was deep mined utilizing room and pillar mining methods beneath the entirety of the project site. The mining maps do not indicate robbing was conducted within the Red Ash Bottom vein.

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the extent and age of the surficial disturbance from stripping operations at the project site, it is assumed material was moved across the site without engineering control, therefore, not as properly placed structural fill, which increases risk of intolerable post-construction settlement if not mitigated. The fill material used is also likely to be comprised of varying non-cohesive soils. Based on the unknown depth of the fill material from mining activities, any development of the project site would likely require a ground improvement program including Deep Dynamic Compaction. Deep mining activities have occurred beneath the project site at depths which may influence the surface development. Some likelihood of mine subsidence exists within the southwestern 15% of the project site from remnants of the Baltimore and Sump vein deep mine workings, therefore, a possibility exists for the inclusion of a subsidence mitigation program for development of the project site. Kleinfelder recommends that a detailed subsurface mine exploration be conducted to explore the conditions of the subsurface mine features. Test pits and test borings are recommended to explore the near surface conditions prior to site development. Uncertainty exists to the true extent of the deep mine workings, subsidence potential and environmental concerns associated with historic deep mines at the site. Deep mine borings are also recommended with an air rotary rig, in order to explore the deep mine veins beneath the site. Additionally, the lack of engineering control during the placement of fill at the site creates additional settlement issues. It must be understood the project site is underlain by deep and shallow mining which is can create areas susceptible to subsidence. The Owner should recognize the risks associated with the development of a project site which has been mined.

### **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES**

The scope of work completed for this report was intended to provide a preliminary desktop review of the conditions across and beneath the project site as they relate to potential mining activities, in order to gain a further understanding of the risks involved with development of the project site. It is recommended a detailed subsurface exploration be completed across the site improvements prior to the issuance of final design criteria for the project. The specific scope of work will be determined once preliminary/final site plans have been developed.

### LIMITATIONS

The information provided above is based on a review of historical maps that may contain inaccuracies. Kleinfelder conducted a thorough review of these historic documents and relied on them to arrive at our conclusions. This study is based on generally accepted policies and procedures, and interpretation of various publicly available maps and geologic studies which are believed to be accurate and reliable. Discrepancies or inaccuracies regarding any data provided are not the responsibility of Kleinfelder. It is emphasized that this study was made for the 85-Acre parcel at Haul Road and Johnson Street in Wilkes-Barre, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The intent of our study was to provide conclusions concerning the history of coal mining at the property. The information presented herein should not be used for any other purpose.

Mr. Jeff Randolph 85-Acre Parcel Haul Road & Johnson Street May 17, 2021 Page 5 of 5

### **CLOSING**

We trust that this is the information you require. Should you have any questions or if we may be of further assistance, please don't hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely, **KLEINFELDER, INC.** 

Tunk lasa

Jason E. Trimble Project Manager

Mark A. Giunta, P.E. Principal Professional



### **APPENDIX**

FIGURE 1 – TOPOGRAPHIC MAP FIGURE 2 – GEOLOGIC MAP FIGURE 3 – GEOLOGIC STRATIGRAPHY FIGURE 4 – GENERAL MINING MAP FIGURE 5 – BALTIMORE MINING MAP FIGURE 6 – BALTIMORE STRIP MINING MAP FIGURE 7 – BALTIMORE VEIN ELEVATION MAP FIGURE 8 – SUMP VEIN MINING MAP FIGURE 9 – SKIDMORE MIDDLE MINING MAP FIGURE 10 – SKIDMORE BOTTOM MINING MAP FIGURE 11 – ROSS VEIN MINING MAP FIGURE 12 – RED ASH TOP MINING MAP FIGURE 13 – RED ASH BOTTOM MINING MAP FIGURE 14 – 1939 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH FIGURE 15 – 1959 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH FIGURE 16 – 1969 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH FIGURE 17 – CURRENT AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH


























Legend   Project Site   400 200				
*Source https://datacommons.maps.a	arcgis.com/apps/View	/index.html?appid=10af5f75f9f94f01866	6359ba398cb6a9	
SCALE: DRAWING NUMBER:				$\frown$
AS SHUWIN FIGURE 14		1939 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH PREPARED FOR		KLEINFELDER Bright People, Right Solutions.
C. WEEMS J. TRIMBLE	HAUL ROA	D & JOHNSON STREET 85-AC	CRE PARCEL	435 INDEPENDENCE AVE., SUITE C
APPROVED BY: DATE: M. GIUNTA 4-06-2021	WILKES-BARRE		PENNSYIVANIA	MECHANICSBURG, PA 17055 PH (717) 458-0800 FAX (717)458-0801

Legend         Project Site         400       200         0         *Source https://datacommence.mass	w/index.html2appid=10af5f75f0f04f0196226f	Db3208ch620	
Source nttps://datacommons.maps       SCALE:     DRAWING NUMBER:       AS SHOWN     FIGURE 15       DRAWN BY:     CHECKED BY:       C. WEEMS     J. TRIMBLE       APPROVED BY:     DATE:       M. GIUNTA     4-06-2021	1959 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH PREPARED FOR AD & JOHNSON STREET 85-ACRE	E PARCEL	435 INDEPENDENCE AVE., SUITE C MECHANICSBURG, PA 17055 PH (717) 458-0800 FAX (717) 458-0801

	and a		1	
			131	
		X		
Legend Project Site				
	400 Feet			
Source https://datacommons.maps.c SCALE: DRAWING NUMBER: AS SHOWN FIGURE 16	arcgis.com/apps/View	1969 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH	0359ba398cb6a9	
DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: C. WEEMS J. TRIMBLE	HAUL ROA	PREPARED FOR D & JOHNSON STREET 85-AC	RE PARCEL	435 INDEPENDENCE AVE., SUITE C
APPROVED BY: DATE: M. GIUNTA 4-06-2021	WILKES-BARRE		PENNSYLVANIA	меспанісовоко, ра 17055 PH (717) 458-0800 FAX (717)458-0801



IPaC

# IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

ONSUL

# **Project information**

NAME

Bluecup Wilkes-Barre Twp

LOCATION

Luzerne County, Pennsylvania



DESCRIPTION Some(88 acres Franklin Property)

## Local office

Pennsylvania Ecological Services Field Office

√ (814) 234-4090
→ (814) 234-0748

NOTFORCONSULTATIO

MAILING ADDRESS 110 Radnor Road Suite 101 State College, PA 16801-7987

PHYSICAL ADDRESS 110 Radnor Road Suite 101} State College, PA 16801-7987

http://www.fws.gov/northeast/pafo/

# Endangered species

# This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Log in to IPaC.
- 2. Go to your My Projects list.
- 3. Click PROJECT HOME for this project.
- 4. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species<sup>1</sup> and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries<sup>2</sup>).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

## Mammals

NAME

Endangered

Threatened

ULT

Indiana Bat Myotis sodalis Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5949</u>

Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045

# Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION.

# Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty  $Act^{1}$  and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection  $Act^{2}$ .

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described <u>below</u>.

- 1. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 2. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> <u>birds-of-conservation-concern.php</u>
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>conservation-measures.php</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf</u>

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the <u>USFWS Birds</u> of <u>Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ <u>below</u>. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general

#### 2/6/2021

#### IPaC: Explore Location resources

public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur off the Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME

BREEDING SEASON (IF A BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED, WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE. "BREEDS ELSEWHERE" INDICATES THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.)

## Breeds Sep 1 to Aug 31

Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1626</u>

 Black-billed Cuckoo
 Coccyzus erythropthalmus
 Breeds May 15 to Oct 10

 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in<br/>the continental USA and Alaska.<br/>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9399
 Breeds May 15 to Oct 10

 Black-capped Chickadee
 Poecile atricapillus practicus
 Breeds Apr 10 to Jul 31

Black-capped Chickadee Poecile atricapillus practicus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA

Canada Warbler Cardellina canadensis	Breeds May 20 to Aug 10
This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in	
the continental USA and Alaska.	

Cerulean Warbler Dendroica cerulea This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2974</u>	Breeds Apr 27 to Jul 20
<b>Prairie Warbler</b> Dendroica discolor This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 1 to Jul 31
<b>Rusty Blackbird</b> Euphagus carolinus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds elsewhere
<b>Wood Thrush</b> Hylocichla mustelina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 10 to Aug 31
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker sphyrapicus varius This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8792	Breeds May 10 to Jul 15

## **Probability of Presence Summary**

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

## Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any

week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.

3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

## Breeding Season (=)

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

## Survey Effort (|)

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

## No Data (–)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

## Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

## Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

<u>Nationwide Conservation Measures</u> describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. <u>Additional measures</u> or <u>permits</u> may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

## What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network</u> (<u>AKN</u>). The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>AKN Phenology Tool</u>.

# What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen</u> <u>science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

## How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may refer to the following resources: <u>The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All About Birds Bird Guide</u>, or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird of interest there), the <u>Cornell Lab of Ornithology Neotropical Birds</u> guide. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

## What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

## Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS</u> <u>Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam</u> <u>Loring</u>.

## What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

## Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

# Facilities

# National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

## Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

# Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

THERE ARE NO KNOWN WETLANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

## Data limitations

https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/5P6KLWRCUJHAZHZRQWGH4ZZTEU/resources

2/6/2021

#### IPaC: Explore Location resources

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

### Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

### Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

TFC



July 05, 2021

Jeff Randolph Managing Partner Bluecup Ventures, LLC. 20 Cedar Woods Lane Fairfield, CT 06825

Sent Via Email 07/05/21

#### RE: Bluecup Ventures- Wilkes Barre Site Aquatic Resource Delineation Report Laurel Run and Wilkes Barre Townships, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania

Thompson Environmental Surveys & Permitting, LLC. (TES&P) has completed an aquatic resource delineation for Bluecup Ventures, LLC. (Bluecup) at the proposed Wilkes Barre Development Site (hereto referred to as the Project). The following report summarizes the results of this investigation.

#### BACKGROUND

The proposed Project will entail the proposed development of a significantly disturbed reclaimed mine site for commercial use. The existing site consists of a historic mining site containing small wooded/shrubby lots with steep topography and non-vegetated areas which have been historically graded and used for subsurface mining, and fill/coal material storage. The Project area commonly had sparce vegetation containing big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), Canada goldenrod (*Solidago cabadensis*), Japanese knotweed (*Reynoutria japonica*), and grey birch seedlings (*Betula populifolia*). The most common tree species observed were grey birch (Betula populifolia) and red oak (Quercus rubra). An approximately 96-acre aquatic resource study area (Study Area) for the investigation was determined based on a preliminary Site Plan (Plans) provided by Bluecup May 11, 2021.

The Project is located in Laurel Run and Wilkes Barre Townships, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, it can be found on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Wilkes Barre-East and Wilkes Barre-West, Pennsylvania 7.5-minute series topographical quadrangles (USGS, 2020) (Figure 1). The coordinates for the approximate Project center are 41.21868° and -75.87850°. Land cover within the Project area consists of forest and open land. Land use in the vicinity of the Project consists of surface mining, industrial, and primary and secondary roadways.

The Project area drains northwest to an un-named tributary (UNT) to Spring Run. Spring Run is a tributary to Solomon Creek, and these watercourses are located within the Upper Susquehanna River basin. Spring

Run and Solomon Creek have PA Code, Title 25, Chapter 93 designated protected aquatic life uses of Cold Water Fishes, Migratory Fishes (CWF, MF) (Commonwealth of PA, 2020a). The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) does not list any of these watercourses as having an Existing Use Classification (PADEP, 2020b).

The Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) does not list Spring Run or Solomon Creek as Stocked Trout Waters. Solomon Creek is listed by PFBC as Wild Trout Waters (PFBC, 2020a, 2020b, and 2020c). Under Chapter 105 [105.17(iii)], wetlands located in or along the floodplain of Wild Trout Waters are considered Exceptional Value (Commonwealth of PA, 2020b). Additionally, wetlands which serve as habitat for fauna or flora listed as "threatened" or "endangered" under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, or wetlands that are hydrologically connected to or located within 1/2-mile of wetlands identified as habitat for flora or fauna listed as "threatened" or "endangered" are considered Exceptional Value.

According to the *Draft 2020 Pennsylvania Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report*, both the UNT to Spring Run and Spring Run are listed as aquatic life impaired (PADEP, 2020a).

No wetlands are identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USFWS, 2020) within proximity to the Project. **(Figure 2)**.

Five soil map units are located within the Project Study Area. Each soil map unit has a hydric soil rating given by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) **(Table 1)**.

Soil Map Unit	Description	Hydric Rating
CF	Cut and fill land	0
DdB	Dekalb channery sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, rubbly	0
DdD	Dekalb channery sandy loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes, rubbly	0
Mg	Mine dump	0
Sm	Strip mine	0

## Table 1. Study Area Soil Map Units



#### METHODOLOGY

On May 18, 2021, Bridger Thompson of TES&P performed a site visit to identify and delineate wetlands and watercourses within the Study Area. These resources are potentially regulated under the Pennsylvania Clean Streams Law and Dam Safety and Encroachments Act, and the federal Clean Water Act (Commonwealth of PA, 2020a and 2020b; Clean Water Act of 1972). The Study Area for the aquatic resource investigation is depicted on **Figures 2 and 3**.

To identify and delineate wetlands, TES&P performed an on-site routine wetland determination as described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) *Wetland Delineation Manual, Technical Report* Y-87-1 (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) using wetland criteria detailed in the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: North Central and Northeast Region (Version 2.0)* (USACE, 2012). If a wetland was delineated, a USACE Regional Supplement *Wetland Determination Data Form* was completed at a representative wetland data point. Data on the composition of the vegetation community, soil profile characteristics, and hydrology were recorded on the data form. Delineated wetlands were classified following *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (*Cowardin et al., 1979). The boundaries of delineated wetlands were recorded with a high-precision, mapping-grade Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. TES&P also recorded upland data points to document existing site conditions or the transition between the delineated wetland and upland boundary. Copies of the wetland determination data forms are located in **Appendix A**. Photographs were taken of the existing site conditions and each resource and are presented in **Appendix B**.

To identify and delineate watercourses, TES&P performed an on-site evaluation based on typical watercourse characteristics such as defined streambed and streambanks, exclusion of terrestrial vegetation, hydrologically-sorted substrate material, and the presence of an ordinary high-water mark (OHWM). If a watercourse was delineated, information was collected for each resource including but not limited to approximate top of bank width, width at the OHWM, approximate channel depth, flow depth, channel substrate, and channel morphology. The extent of each watercourse was recorded with a GPS unit. For watercourses exhibiting an average width at the OHWM of ten feet or greater, both left and right banks were recorded. For watercourses with average width at the OHWM of less than ten feet, the centerline of the channel was recorded. Photographs were taken of each resource and are presented in **Appendix B.** 

#### RESULTS

TES&P identified and delineated four palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands, one intermittent (INT) watercourse, and four ephemeral (EPH) watercourses within the 96-acre Study Area (Figure 3). A summary of the delineated resources is provided in Table 2. The field data forms for the delineated



wetlands and photographs of the identified features and existing site conditions are located in **Appendices A** and **B**, respectively. Descriptions of the delineated resources are presented below.

#### Wetland WIL-W-001 (PEM)

WIL-W-001 is an approximately 0.06-acre PEM wetland located in the northeast corner of the Study Area. The wetland is situated along the discharge of a small intermittent drainage where the drainage enters a historically graded haul road storm ditch. The wetland boundary follows the saturated soil conditions and vegetation dominated by wool grass (*Scirpus cypernius*). The primary source of wetland hydrology is provided by the seasonal hillslope groundwater discharge associated with the intermittent drainage and surface water runoff that is perched by a shallow bedrock layer. The primary indicators of hydrology observed were Surface Water (A1) and Saturation (A3). The wetland vegetation is dominated by wool grass (*Microstegium vimineum*) and meadowsweet (*Spiraea alba*). The soil texture at the wetland data point is silt loam and meets the criteria for a Depleted Matrix (F3).

#### Wetland WIL-W-002 (PEM):

WIL-W-002 is an approximately 0.04-acre PEM wetland located on the north edge of the Study Area. The wetland is situated at the discharge of a storm culvert along an ephemeral channel where silt and other debris has collected in a topographic depression. The wetland boundary follows the topography of the depression, the silt deposits, and the non-vegetated concave surface. The primary source of wetland hydrology is provided by surface water runoff that collects in the depressional topography. The primary indicators of hydrology observed were Sediment Deposits (B2) and Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8). The wetland lacked a vegetative layer however the fringes were vegetated by Japanese knotweed and red maple (Acer rubrum). The soil texture at the wetland data point is silt loam and contains silt deposits and coal fines underlain by a Depleted Matrix (F3).

#### Wetland WIL-W-003 (PEM)

WIL-W-003 is an approximately 0.07-acre PEM wetland located in the north central extent of the Study Area. The wetland is situated in a recently disturbed waterline right-of-way at the discharge of a seasonal groundwater seep. The wetland boundary follows the saturated soil conditions and vegetation dominated by common reed (*Phragmites australis*) and sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*). The primary source of wetland hydrology is provided by the seasonal groundwater discharge. The primary indicators of hydrology observed were Surface Water (A1) and Saturation (A3). The soil texture at the wetland data point is silt loam with coal fines however it meets the criteria for a Depleted Matrix (F3).

#### Wetland WIL-W-004 (PEM)

WIL-W-004 is an approximately 0.09-acre PEM wetland located in the central portion of the Study Area. The wetland is situated in a constructed linear ditch that extends along a historic haul road in the center of



the mine site. The wetland boundary follows the saturated soil conditions and vegetation dominated by common reed and soft rush (*Juncus effusus*). The primary source of wetland hydrology is provided by a seasonal high groundwater that is conveyed in the ditch and surface water runoff collection perched by a shallow bedrock layer. The primary indicators of hydrology observed were Surface Water (A1) and Saturation (A3). The soil texture at the wetland data point is silt loam with coal fines however it meets the criteria for a Depleted Matrix (F3).

#### Watercourse WIL-S-001 (INT)

Watercourse WIL-S-001 is a small intermittent channel that originates at a roadside culvert and drains southwest extending parallel to a historic haul road. Portions of the channel are within a constructed stormwater channel along the haul road. Approximately one inch of water depth was observed throughout the reach investigated. No finfish or aquatic organisms were observed. The channel is approximately four feet wide at the top-of-bank and is approximately one foot wide at the OHWM. The approximate bank height at the top-of-bank is one foot on both the left and right bank with heavy erosion. The channel has a hydrologically sorted substrate consisting of gravel and cobble with a bedrock bottom.

#### Watercourse WIL-S-002 (INT)

Watercourse WIL-S-002 is a small heavily eroded ephemeral channel that extends along a historic haul road. No flow was observed at the time of the investigation. The channel is approximately four feet wide at the top-of-bank and is approximately two feet wide at the OHWM. The bank height ranges from one to four feet at the top-of-bank. The channel has a hydrologically sorted substrate consisting of gravel and cobble with a bedrock bottom.

#### Watercourse WIL-S-003 (EPH)

Watercourse WIL-S-003 is a small ephemeral channel that originates in a heavily disturbed location in northeastern corner of the Study Area. The channel drains west extending within a constructed stormwater ditchl and becomes diffuse surface flow where it enters a gravel filled depression in the central portion of the Study Area. No flow was observed at the time of the investigation. The channel ranges from six feet to two feet wide at the top-of-bank and is approximately two feet wide at the OHWM. The approximate bank height at the top-of-bank is two feet on both the left and right bank with heavy erosion. The channel has a hydrologically sorted substrate consisting of gravel and cobble with a bedrock bottom.

#### Watercourse WIL-S-004 (EPH)

Watercourse WIL-S-004 is an ephemeral channel that extends from an upslope wooded draw and drains within a constructed stormwater channel in a historically graded area of the mine site. No flow was observed at the time of the investigation. The channel ranges from six feet to two feet wide at the top-of-bank and is approximately two feet wide at the OHWM. The approximate bank height at the top-of-bank ranges from



two to three feet on both the left and right bank with heavy erosion. The channel has a hydrologically sorted substrate consisting of gravel and cobble with a bedrock bottom.

#### Watercourse WIL-S-005 (EPH)

Watercourse WIL-S-005 is an ephemeral stormwater channel that originates in a roadside drainage and has input from multiple roadside culverts. The channel drains northwest in a constructed ditch extending parallel to an existing heavily used haul road and extends under Interstate 81 where it exits the Study Area. No flow was observed at the time of the investigation. The channel ranges from ten to six feet wide at the top-of-bank and is approximately four foot wide at the OHWM. The approximate bank height at the top-of-bank is three feet on both the left and right bank with heavy erosion. The channel has a hydrologically sorted substrate consisting of leaf litter, gravel, and cobble with a bedrock bottom with portions being heavily vegetated by Japanese knotweed.

Resource Name	Classification	Delineated Size	Photo Number(s)
WIL-W-001	PEM	0.06 acre	5
WIL-W-002	PEM	0.04 acre	7
WIL-W-003	PEM	0.07 acre	8
WIL-W-004	PEM	0.09 acre	10
WIL-S-001*	INT	685 feet	12,13
WIL-S-002	EPH	148 feet	14
WIL-S-003	EPH	567 feet	15
WIL-S-004	EPH	137 feet	16
WIL-S-005*	EPH	842 feet	17,18

Table 2. Wetland and Watercourse Identification and Classification

\*Wetland boundary continues beyond the Study Area boundary

#### SUMMARY

On May 18, 2021 TES&P conducted an aquatic resource delineation for Bluecup for the proposed Wilkes-Barre Development Site in Laurel Run and Wilkes Barre Townships, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. Four wetlands and five watercourse were identified within the Study Area.

Sincerely,

Thompson Environmental Surveys & Permitting, LLC.

Bridger Thompson Senior Biologist / Owner USFWS/PFBC Qualified Bog Turtle Surveyor bthompson@thompsonesp.com (717) 609-3301



## Enclosures (3)

Figures: Location Map, NWI Wetlands and Soils Map, Delineated Aquatic Resources Map Appendix A: USACE Regional Supplement Wetland Determination Data Forms Appendix B: Photographic Log



#### REFERENCES

Clean Water Act of 1972, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. Accessed at https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/cwatxt.txt in November, 2020.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 2020a. The Pennsylvania Code, Title 25: Environmental Protection, Chapter 93: Water Quality Standards, Section 93.9: Designated Water Uses and Water Quality Criteria. Accessed at http://www.pacodeandbulletin.gov/ November, 2020.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 2020b. The Pennsylvania Code, Title 25: Environmental Protection, Chapter 105: Dam Safety and Waterway Management. Accessed at http://www.pacodeandbulletin.gov/ in November, 2020.

Cowardin, L.M., Carter, V., Golet, F.C., LaRoe, E.T. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*, Report No. FWS/OBL-97/31. U. S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, District of Columbia.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Kollmorgen Instruments Corporation. 1994. *Munsell Soil Color Charts*. Macbeth Division, New Windsor, New York.

United States Geological Society (USGS). 2002. Quadrangle Boundaries of Pennsylvania. USGS, Reston, Virginia.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2016. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database for Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania. Accessed at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm in November, 2020.

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP). 2020a. Draft 2020 Pennsylvania Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report. Accessed at https://www.dep.pa.gov/Business/Water/CleanWater/WaterQuality/IntegratedWatersReport/Pag es/2020-Integrated-Water-Quality-Report.aspx in November, 2020.

PADEP. 2020b. *Existing Use Classification (Last Revised on 9/22/2020).* http://files.dep.state.pa.us/Water/Drinking%20Water%20and%20Facility%20Regulation/WaterQ ualityPortalFiles/Existing%20Use/EU%20table%20list.pdf in November, 2020.

Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC). 2020a. *PFBC Fall/Winter Scheduled Stocking* 11/24/2020. Accessed at https://www.fishandboat.com/Fish/Stocking/Documents/TroutStockingFall2020.pdf in November, 2020.

PFBC. 2020b. 2020 Pennsylvania Fishing Summary: Summary of Fishing Regulations and Laws Accessed at https://pfbc.pa.gov/fishpub/summaryad/2020summaryComplete.pdf in November, 2020.

PFBC. 2020c. *Pennsylvania Wild Trout Waters (Natural Reproduction)* – July 2020. Accessed at https://www.fishandboat.com/Fish/PennsylvaniaFishes/Trout/Documents/trout\_repro.pdf in November, 2020.



United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2012. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: North Central and Northeast Region Version 2.0*, ed. J. F. Berkowitz, J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-12-9. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2020. National Wetlands Inventory website. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Accessed in November, 2020.



Page 10

# Figures

## Figure 1: Location Map

Figure 2: NWI Wetlands and Soil Map Units

**Figure 3:** Delineated Aquatic Resources



## WILKES-BARRE SITE

## Figure 1: Location Map













#### PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

Of:

#### Haul Road & Johnson Street

Haul Road & Johnson Street Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania

For:

**Mr. Jeff Randolph** Bluecup Ventures, LLC 2490 Black Rock Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06824

Prepared By:

Kleinfelder 435 Independence Avenue, Suite C Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17055

August 10, 2021

Prepared By:

Male C. Vile

Mark C. Steele, CHMM Senior Program Manager

Reviewed By:

C. Men

Brendan Moran, PE (PA) Principal Professional

Project No. 20214488.001A

Sec	tion		Page
1.0	Execu	utive Summary	4
1.1	Site D	escription	4
1.2	Repor	t Findings	4
1.3	Concl	usions	6
1.4	Data (	Gap	6
1.5	Reliar	nce and Declaration	7
2.0	Introc	luction	8
2.1	Purpo	se	8
2.2	Detail	ed Scope of Services	9
2.3	Signif	cant Assumptions	10
2.4	Limita	tions and Exceptions	10
2.5	Specia	al Terms and Conditions	10
2.6	User I	Reliance	11
~ ~	<u></u>		10
3.0	Site L	Description	
3.1	Locati	on and Legal Description	
3.2	Site a	nd vicinity characteristics	
3.3	Curre	nt Use of the Site	12
3.4			12
	3.4.1	Structures	ے I
	3.4.Z	Roads	۲2
	3.4.3 2.4.4	Realing/Cooling Systems	∠ا۱۷ 12
	3.4.4 2.1.6	Other Site Improvements	
	3.4.0 2.4.7	Surface Water	
35	0.4.7 Curre	ourlace Waler	
5.5	Curre		
4.0	User	Provided Information	
5.0	Reco	rds Review	15
5.1	Stand	ard Environmental Record Sources	15
5.2	Additi	onal Environmental Record Sources	
	5.2.1	Records Request	
	5.2.2	Database Search	19
	5.2.3	Additional Sources	19
5.3	Physic	cal Setting Source(s)	19
	5.3.1	Topography	19
	5.3.2	Geology	19
	5.3.3	Soils	20
5.4	Histor	ical Use Information on the Property	20
	5.4.1	Aerial Photographs	20
	5.4.2	Fire Insurance Maps	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	5.4.3	Property Tax Files	23
	5.4.4	Recorded Land Title Records	23
	5.4.5	USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map(s)	23
	5.4.6	Local Street Directories/MacRae's Industrial Directories	23
	5.4.7	Building/Engineering Department Records	23
	5.4.8	Zoning/Land Use Records	23
5.5	Histori	cal Use Information on the Adjoining Properties	23
5.6	Vapor	Migration	23
6.0	Site R	econnaissance	24
6.1	Metho	dology and Limiting Conditions	
6.2	Gener	al Site Setting	
6.3	Site O	bservations	
6.3.1	1 Exterio	or Observations	
6.3.2	2 Interio	r Observations	27
7.0	Interv	iews	
7.1	Intervi	ew with Owner	
8.0	Findir	igs and Opinions	29
9.0	Concl	usions	31
10.0	Data (	Saps, Deviations, and Limitations	
10.0 11.0	Data ( Additi	Saps, Deviations, and Limitations onal Services/Non-Scope Considerations	32
10.0 11.0 12.0	Data ( Additi Refere	Saps, Deviations, and Limitations onal Services/Non-Scope Considerations	32 33 34
10.0 11.0 12.0 13.0	Data ( Additi Refere Enviro	Saps, Deviations, and Limitations onal Services/Non-Scope Considerations ences onmental Professional(s) Statements and Signature(s)	

## List of Figures

- Figure 1 Site Location USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map
- Figure 2 Site Location Tax Parcel Map

Figure 3 – REC - Aerial Location Map

## Table

Table 1 – Standard Environmental Record Sources – Federal and State

## List of Appendices

Appendix A – EDR Database Report Appendix B – Government Information Requests/Responses Appendix C – Historical Aerial Photographs Appendix D – Historical Sanborn Maps

Appendix E – Site Reconnaissance Photographs

Appendix F – Phase I Questionnaire

Appendix G – Resumes of Environmental Professionals

### 1.0 Executive Summary

**KLEINFELDER, INC.** (**KLEINFELDER**) has performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the property referenced as "Haul Road & Johnson Street" herein referred to as the "Site" or "Property." **KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13<sup>(1)</sup>.* 

#### 1.1 Site Description

The Site is located south of the intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The Site consists of three (3) parcels totaling 85-acres. The Site is comprised of vacant land consisting of wooded areas, vegetated land, a previously reclaimed anthracite coal mine, and railroad tracks. The Site is located within a primarily rural area. The tax parcel identification numbers associated to the properties are 69110-00A07F000, 69110-00A10A000, and 6919-00B03A000. Pagnotti Enterprises Incorporated is listed as the current owner of the properties.

### 1.2 Report Findings

The following is a summary of the findings and opinions of this report:

#### Current and Past Usage of the Site:

- Historically, the Site was used as an anthracite coal mine named Lehigh Valley Coal Company Franklin Colliery, dating back to as early as 1910. Mining operations continued at the Site until approximately 1981. Since that time, Kleinfelder did not note that development has occurred at the Site. The Site is classified as abandoned mine land (AML) with a status of reclamation completed. Many areas are now wooded or vegetated, and one strip pit has filled with water. Coal mining has been known to be associated with acid mine drainage (AMD). Typically, discharge through anthracite coal formations is generally neutral pH n and often does not lead to AMD conditions. During Site reconnaissance, KLEINFELDER observed the conditions of a water feature on the Site; no evidence of AMD (i.e., yellowish to orange staining, cloudy water) was noted. Therefore, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that the historic mining of the Site does not represent a Recognized Environmental Condition (REC).
- During the Site reconnaissance, evidence of former railroad activity was observed within the northeastern and traversing the central portion of the Site. Remnants of the railroad tracks are evident through the Site based on the lack of vegetation, discarded railroad ties, metal rail lines protruding from the ground, and flat grade. According to historical Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, eleven (11) railroad lines were located on the
property in 1910. Between 1910 and 1950, the tracks that exited the Site to the west were no longer depicted, and five (5) sets of tracks remained. Rail lines no longer appear to be visible in the 1981 historical aerial image. Railroad tracks and associated equipment and staging areas are often associated with potential contamination from leaking of lubricants, PCBs, metals, and various petroleum products. Given that portions of the Site have at one time contained eleven (11) sets of railroad tracks since 1910, with portions of the rail lines still currently at the Site, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that this finding **does** represent a REC.

- According to the 1910 Sanborn map, the Lehigh Valley Coal Company Franklin Colliery contains a fireman's office, engine house, a two-story oil house, a sawmill, a supply storage house, a pump house, a district support office, a courthouse, a pump house, several outbuildings, a breaker structure, rail lines, and a tool shop. The Site was listed as utilizing steam and electricity with fuel coal during operations. The 1969 Sanborn map identifies a grease house located southwest of the main office. Based on known tool shop and historic operations at the Site that may have handled petroleum products, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that these findings do represent a REC.
- While onsite, five (5) dumping areas were observed and designated by Kleinfelder as Dump-1 through Dump-5.
  - Dump-1 is located near the eastern property border of the Site and consists of construction debris primarily comprised of asphalt, concrete, and stone. Additionally, household trash consisting of plastic items and general garbage was in the area.
  - Dump-2 is located on the north-central portion of the Site and consists of empty 55-gallon storage drums, household waste, and construction debris. A burn barrel was located just south of Dump-2 and appeared to contain mainly wood.
  - Dump-3 is located just south of Dump-2 and contains tires, crushed empty 5-gallon bucks, construction signs, aluminum cans, tires, wooden boards, and rubber sheeting.
  - Dump-4 is located south of Dump-3 and within a heavily wooded area and consists of empty 5-gallon buckets, corrugated piping, household trash, tires, and metal scrap.
  - Dump-5 keeps consistent with the other dump areas and is located along Allan Road. No evidence of petroleum products, hazardous substances, or other indications of contamination (i.e., odors, signs of staining, stressed vegetation, etc.) were observed in association with any of these features. Given that the possibility exists that hazardous substances or petroleum products may be present beneath the surface of the dumping

# areas, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that these findings **do** represent a **REC**.

- A paper target and bullet casings were observed on the ground on the eastern portion of the Site. Based on the likely presence of lead in underlying soils due to the use of this portion of the Site as a shooting range, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that this finding does represent a REC.
- Throughout the Site are small areas of tires, demolition and landscaping debris, household waste items, appliances, metal scraps, empty metal 55-gallon storage drums, rubber sheeting, and glass. Some of these areas appear to be consistent with the Site's prior use for staging materials. In addition, no staining or stressed vegetation was observed in these areas; therefore, KLEINFELDER believes that these findings do not represent a REC.
- The Site was listed on the eFACTS database under South Wilkes-Barre 656803 Abandoned Mine Land (AML) Inventory List. According to the eMapPA database, the property is listed as an AML Inquiry under Pagnotti Enterprises Inc. for deep underground coal mining. The reclamation status is listed as complete. No other information is provided. Therefore, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that this finding does not represent a REC.

#### 1.3 Conclusions

This assessment has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property except for the following:

- Railroad tracks that are historically and currently located on the Site.
- The likely presence of petroleum products or hazardous substances in Site soils associated with historic Site operations identified on the Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps.
- The five (5) areas of dumping on the Site.
- The likely presence of lead in Site soils associated with the shooting range.

#### 1.4 Data Gap

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.* During the course of this assessment, one data gap was encountered while conducting this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. ASTM E 1527-13 states the historical use of a property should be identified at five-year intervals dating back to original development or 1940, whichever is earlier. Data gaps exceeding five-year intervals were encountered during our

historical research of the Site. However, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that these data gaps are not significant with regard to identifying RECs.

# 1.5 Reliance and Declaration

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.* **KLEINFELDER** prepared this Phase I ESA for the exclusive benefit and use of Bluecup Ventures, LLC.

# 2.0 Introduction

**KLEINFELDER INC.** (**KLEINFELDER**) has performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the property referenced as "Haul Road & Johnson Street" located south of the intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania (herein referred to as the "Site" or "property"). **KLEINFELDER** prepared this Phase I ESA for the exclusive benefit and use of Bluecup Ventures, LLC. Sections 8.0 and 9.0 contain the findings and opinions, and conclusions, respectively, of this Phase I ESA.

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.

**KLEINFELDER** derived the findings presented within this Phase I ESA report from the following sources: records review of reasonably ascertainable and practically reviewable sources, site reconnaissance (a visit to the property), and interviews with knowledgeable parties. Any exceptions to (or deletions from) this practice are described in Sections 2.4, 2.5, 10.0 and 14.0 of this report.

#### 2.1 Purpose

**KLEINFELDER** conducted this Phase I ESA at the request of Mr. Jeff Randolph of Bluecup Ventures, LLC in consideration for the development of the property. The purpose of this Phase I ESA is to identify, to the extent feasible pursuant to this process, RECs in connection with the Site. ASTM International (ASTM) defines a REC and related terms as follows:

**Recognized Environmental Condition (REC)** is "the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property: (1) due to the release; (2) under conditions indicative of a release to the environment; or (3) under conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment. De minimis conditions are not recognized environmental conditions.

**Historical REC (HREC)** is "a past release of any hazardous substance or petroleum products that has occurred in connection with the property and has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority or meeting unrestricted use criteria established by a regulatory authority, without subjecting the property to any required controls."

**Controlled REC (CREC)** is "a recognized environmental condition resulting from a past release of hazardous substances or petroleum products that has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority (for example, as evidenced by the

issuance of a no further action letter or equivalent, or meeting risk-based criteria established by regulatory authority), with hazardous substances or petroleum products allowed to remain in place subject to the implementation of required controls."

**De minimis condition** is "a condition that generally does not represent a threat to human health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies. Conditions determined to be de minimis conditions are not recognized environmental conditions."

**Business Environmental Risk (BER)** is "a risk which can have a material environmental or environmentally-driven impact on the business associated with the current or planned use of a parcel of commercial real estate, not necessarily limited to those environmental issues required to be investigated in this practice. Consideration of business environmental risk issues may involve addressing one or more non-cope considerations."

# 2.2 Detailed Scope of Services

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA to assess the potential for RECs at the Site and in general conformance with the ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.* The Phase I ESA included the following activities:

- Research and review of available background information (provided to us and from in-house database systems) regarding the Site in order to identify areas and/or items of potential concern relative to contamination or storage of hazardous waste. We coordinated a computer search of various pertinent databases (including Sanborn maps).
- Contacting state and local agencies regarding the availability of files for the subject site and adjoining properties.
- A site visit to perform a visual reconnaissance to identify evidence of RECs. The reconnaissance included readily visible and accessible areas of the site only. The site reconnaissance also included observing the current uses of adjoining properties to the extent practicable without entering the adjoining properties.
- Review of selected historical aerial photographs and other historical sources.

The findings and conclusions discussed in this report are based on the historic data readily available to our project team and the specific conditions observed (and documented) during our site inspection. No attempt has been made to comment on conditions beyond those documented in our Phase I ESA report and certification of the property is not made or implied in this regard.

**KLEINFELDER** relied upon the documents and information provided; we assume no responsibility or liability for their accuracy or completeness. Further, it is possible that due to site-specific limitations certain RECs might not have been visible. **KLEINFELDER** provides no guarantee that this Phase I ESA identified all RECs associated with the Site.

#### Special Note Regarding Procedural Changes for "All Appropriate Inquiry"

The Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 mandated that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) develop a rule to establish procedures that a person claiming liability relief as an "innocent purchaser," a "bona fide prospective purchaser" or a "contiguous landowner" must follow. The 2002 legislation identified the assessment mechanism as the ASTM International E 1527-00 "Standard for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process." USEPA developed the All Appropriate Inquiry Rule (the Rule), which was published in the November 1, 2005, Federal Register with an effective date of November 1, 2006. The Rule also identifies the ASTM Standard as the assessment mechanism to demonstrate "All Appropriate Inquiry." ASTM worked closely with the USEPA so that a revised Standard (E 1527-13) was prepared to mirror and implement the new rule. **KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in accordance with E 1527-13.

#### 2.3 Significant Assumptions

**KLEINFELDER** assumes that all information provided to us either by the Client, the Client's representatives, persons identified as being knowledgeable about the Site history and/or operations, the environmental database search subcontractor, regulatory agencies or other involved parties was truthful, complete, accurate and provided in good faith. **KLEINFELDER** relied upon the documents and information provided; we assume no responsibility or liability for their accuracy or completeness.

#### 2.4 Limitations and Exceptions

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.* The RECs identified in this Phase I ESA report are limited to those **KLEINFELDER** identified in the records reviews, site reconnaissance, and interviews that are documented within. This Phase I ESA did not include an inspection of subsurface conditions, or any subsurface testing or sampling (e.g., air, water, soil, and building materials).

#### 2.5 Special Terms and Conditions

No special terms or conditions were part of this assessment.

#### 2.6 User Reliance

All reports, plans, specifications, electronic data, field data, notes and other documents ("Work Product") prepared by **KLEINFELDER** pursuant to this Agreement are intended for the exclusive use and reliance by the Client. Any other use or reliance by others without the written approval of **KLEINFELDER** will be at the Client's sole risk and without liability or legal exposure to **KLEINFELDER**. The Client shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless **KLEINFELDER** from and against any claims, damages or losses including reasonable attorney's fees and costs, arising out of, or resulting from such unauthorized use or reliance.

**KLEINFELDER** assumes that the Client intends to use this Phase I ESA for the purposes of qualifying for one of the Landowner Liability Protections (LLP) offered by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (SBLRBRA) and the regulations set forth at 40 CFR 312, and the Client can rely upon this Phase I ESA for this purpose.

# 3.0 Site Description

#### 3.1 Location and Legal Description

The Site is located south of the intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The Site consists of three (3) parcels totaling 85-acres. The tax parcel identification numbers associated to the properties are 69110-00A07F000, 69110-00A10A000, and 6919-00B03A000. Figure 1 displays the approximate location of the Site on a portion of the USGS 7.5-minute Wilkes Barre, PA Quadrangle Map<sup>(2)</sup>. Figure 2 displays the tax parcel boundary of the Site according to the Luzerne County tax assessment websites<sup>(3)</sup>. Figure 3 depicts the Site on an aerial image and shows the locations of key findings and RECs. The properties are currently owned by Pagnotti Enterprises Inc.

#### 3.2 Site and Vicinity Characteristics

The Site is comprised of vacant land consisting of wooded areas, vegetated land, a previously reclaimed anthracite coal mine, a portion of Allan Road, and railroad tracks. Haul Road and Johnson Street intersection, commercial properties, wooded areas, and residential properties are located north of the property. Allan Industries (scrap metal yard), wooded areas, and abandoned coal mines are located to the south of the Site. Wooded areas and abandoned coal mines are located to the east of the Site. Vegetated areas and Interstate 81 are located to the west of the Site. The Site is located within a primarily rural area, with sprawling commercial and residential development further beyond the Site's north and west limits.

#### 3.3 Current Use of the Site

The Site is currently vacant.

#### 3.4 Descriptions of Site Features

#### 3.4.1 Structures

No aboveground structures are currently located on the property.

#### 3.4.2 Roads

The property is accessed off Allan Road to the west and Haul Road to the north. Gravel access roads traverse most of the Site.

#### 3.4.3 Heating/Cooling Systems

The Site does not contain any active heating or cooling systems.

#### 3.4.4 Sewage Disposal

No sewer systems were noted on the Site.

#### 3.4.5 Potable Water

The Site does not contain a known source of potable water.

#### 3.4.6 Other Site Improvements

Abandoned railroad tracks were observed to traverse the Site.

#### 3.4.7 Surface Water

No stormwater systems were observed at the Site.

#### 3.5 Current Uses of Adjoining Properties

- North The intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Street, commercial and light industrial properties, wooded areas, and residential properties.
- South Allan Industries, wooded areas, and abandoned coal mines.
- East Wooded areas and abandoned coal mines.
- West Vegetated areas and Interstate 81.

# 4.0 User Provided Information

**KLEINFELDER** submitted an "All Appropriate Inquiry" User Questionnaire to the User of this Phase I ESA to describe their relative to the information discussed in the following subsections. However, at the time of writing this report, **KLEINFELDER** was not provided the User Questionnaire.

# 5.0 Records Review

#### 5.1 Standard Environmental Record Sources

The ASTM Phase I ESA process includes the review of select Federal and State environmental record sources in order to evaluate the potential existence of RECs either on the Site or within specified distances from the property. ASTM has established search radii for each of these standard environmental record sources. **KLEINFELDER** performed this records review utilizing a computer database search report (Appendix A) prepared by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR)<sup>(4)</sup>. The outlined area on the Topographic Map, Overview Map and Detail Map of the database report marks the approximate location of the Site. This report keys the surrounding sites on the ASTM-specified databases to the inclusive Overview Map and Detail Map. **KLEINFELDER** notes that some of the sites may be listed in more than one (1) database. Furthermore, EDR may have been unable to plot certain sites due to incomplete information, resulting in a list of "unplottable" or "orphan" sites.

Table 1 presented below summarizes the database listings from the EDR Report. Only those databases which have facilities within the specified search distances are provided within this table. For a full list of the databases searched, please refer to the EDR Report within Appendix A.

Table 1									
Database	Target property	Search Distance (miles)	<1/8 mile	1/8 – 1/4 mile	1/4 – 1/2 mile	1/2 - 1 mile	Total Plotted		
SEMS-ARCHIVE	-	0.50	1	0	0	-	1		
RCRA-SQG	-	0.25	0	1	-	-	1		
RCRA-VSQG	-	0.25	0	3	-	-	3		
LUST	-	0.50	3	3	1	-	7		
PA UST	-	0.25	0	2	-	-	2		
PA AST	-	0.25	1	0	-	-	1		
PA AUL	-	0.50	0	0	1	-	1		
PA HIST LF	-	0.50	0	1	0	-	1		
ARCHIVE UST	-	0.25	3	4	-	-	7		
RCRA NonGen/NLR	-	0.25	1	1	-	-	2		
US MINES	-	0.25	2	0	-	-	2		
ABANDONED MINES	-	0.25	1	0	-	-	1		
PA MANIFEST	-	0.25	0	2	-	-	2		
RI MANIFEST	-	0.25	0	1	-	-	1		
NY MANIFEST	-	0.25	0	3	-	-	3		
PA MINES	-	0.25	0	1	-	-	1		
TOTAL	0	-	12	22	2	0	36		

"-" Indicates no database search for this search distance

"TP" Target Property

The Site address was not identified within the EDR database search report. Additionally, the EDR report identified a total of thirty-six (36) database listings associated with twenty-two (22) facilities within the ASTM defined search radius of the Site. The identified facilities that were located within 1/8 mile are detailed below. Refer to the EDR report within the Appendices for additional information.

- **Uni-Mart 4302** Route 309 & Interstate 81. This facility is listed approximately 135 • feet north northwest of the Site at a lower elevation; however, Kleinfelder determined that this facility is located approximately 300 feet from the Site. This facility was listed on the PA leaking underground storage tank (LUST) and ARCHIVE Underground Storage Tank (UST) database with a site ID of 600526. The LUST database lists a release of unleaded gasoline during a tank closure event on September 22, 2005. The database states that soil contamination was noted at the fuel islands. As of May 28, 2008, the unleaded gasoline release is listed as Cleanup Completed. A release of diesel fuel occurred at the site on/or before August 5, 1989. The soil contamination was documented during a tank closure event. As of July 24, 2007, this release is listed as Cleanup Completed. The ARCHIVE UST database lists ten (10) USTs were listed onsite; seven (7) USTs (six 10,000 and one 5,000- gallons) containing GAS (gasoline) and three USTs(3) (12,000, 5000, and 4000-gallons) containing diesel fuel. All USTs are listed as removed. Based on the distance from the Site, apparent downgradient location from the Site, and case closure status, this facility is not considered a REC likely to have affected soil, soil vapor, or groundwater beneath the Site.
- Latona Mining, LLC No address provided. The facility is located approximately
  140 feet west-northwest of the Site at a lower elevation and is listed on the US
  MINES database. This facility is identified under Mine ID: 3601673. The status is
  listed as temporarily closed. No other information was listed within the EDR report.
  This listing information alone does not suggest evidence of a REC to the Site.
- Northeast Energy Company No address provided. The facility is located approximately 440 feet northwest of the Site at a lower elevation and is listed on the US MINES database. This facility is identified under Mine ID: 3608325. The status is listed as permanently closed. No other information was listed within the EDR report. This listing information alone does not suggest evidence of a REC to the Site.
- Georgetown Stripping No address provided. The facility is located approximately 500 feet northwest of the Site at a lower elevation and is listed on the ABANDONED MINES database. This facility was listed under Mine ID 3608325

(identical to Northeast Energy Company). The status of the mine is listed as abandoned. No other information was listed within the EDR report. This listing information alone does not suggest evidence of a REC to the Site.

- Ashley Borough Dump Franklin Jct on West Liberty Street. The facility is listed approximately 517 feet northeast of the Site; however, Kleinfelder determined this facility is located approximately 4,000 feet west of the Site. The property was identified on the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS) ARCHIVE databases. This facility is identified under ID 0302329. The National Priorities List (NPL) status states that the facility does not qualify for the NPL based on existing information. No further information is listed. Based on the status and distance from the Site, this facility is not considered a REC likely to have affected soil, soil vapor, or groundwater beneath the Site.
- Cleveland Bros Equipment 970 Wilkes Barre Township Blvd. This facility is located approximately 580 feet north of the Site at a lower elevation. This facility was listed on the ARCHIVE UST database with a site ID of 237247. The facility is listed as having one (1) 2,000-gallon gasoline UST and one (1) 2,000-gallon diesel UST both closed without a permit. Additionally, the facility is listed on the LUST, PA ARCHIVE AST, and RCRA NonGen/NLR databases. The LUST database lists a release of confirmed on August 20, 1991. As of April 16, 1992, the site is listed as Cleanup Completed. The ARCHIVE AST database lists two (2) ASTs (2,000 and 1,000 gallons) containing diesel fuel. All ASTs are listed as removed. Based on the distance from the Site, apparent downgradient location from the Site, and case closure status, this facility is not considered a REC likely to have affected soil, soil vapor, or groundwater beneath the Site.
- First Eastern Bank 1000 Wilkes Barre Township Blvd. This facility is located approximately 604 feet north northwest of the Site at a lower elevation and is listed on the LUST database. The LUST database lists a release of confirmed on May 17, 1994. Soil contamination was documented during a tank closure event of unleaded gasoline. As of March 1, 2013, the facility is listed as Cleanup Completed. Additionally, the facility is listed on the PA ARCHIVE UST database for a 10,000-gallon gasoline UST that was closed without a permit. No violations were listed for this database. Based on the distance from the Site, apparent downgradient location from the Site, and case closure status, this facility is not considered a REC likely to have affected soil, soil vapor, or groundwater beneath the Site.

In addition, EDR identified three (3) "unplottable" or "orphan" facility listed in the database report. These unmapped sites have incomplete information regarding their locations and could not be accurately plotted in relation to the target property. The orphan summary/unmapped site report was reviewed to assess the potential for the listed facility to pose a REC to the Site. Based on the review, the facilities listed are not considered RECs likely to have affected soil, soil vapor or groundwater beneath the Site.

#### 5.2 Additional Environmental Record Sources

#### 5.2.1 Records Request

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), **KLEINFELDER** submitted information requests (copies can be found in Appendix B) for the Site to the following agencies:

- The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)<sup>(5)</sup>
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Office of the Records Custodian
- Luzerne County Open Records Officer
- Wilkes Barre Township Open Records

**KLEINFELDER** submitted an electronic request to the USEPA on April 15, 2021. **KLEINFELDER** performed a multisystem query of EnviroFacts, USEPA's electronic database system. The Site was not identified on the EPA website.

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) was contacted on April 6, 2021, for a review of files about the Site. PADEP responded on April 14, 2021, indicating they do not have any files associated with the property. A second request to PADEP Reclaimed Mines Bureau (Kim Snyder, P.E. – 570-826-2371) was completed via telephone on May 7, 2021. No responses have been received from the PADEP Bureau of Abandoned Mines.

**KLEINFELDER** submitted a records request to the Luzerne County Open Records Officer on April 6, 2021. On April 10, 2021, Shannon Crake Lapsansky, Esq., Open Records Officer with the Luzerne County, informed **Kleinfelder** via email that Luzerne County does not have environmental records pertaining to the Site.

**KLEINFELDER** submitted a records request to Wilkes Barre Township on April 6, 2021. On April 8, 2021, Thomas Zedolik with Wilkes Barre Township responded, stating that there are no files pertaining to the Site.

# 5.2.2 Database Search

In order to obtain further information regarding the Site and adjacent properties, information was reviewed on the following databases maintained by the PADEP:

- Environment Facility Compliance Tracking System (eFACTS)<sup>(6)</sup>
- eMapPA<sup>(7)</sup>
- Storage Tanks Application Database<sup>(8)</sup>
- NPMS Public Viewer<sup>(9)</sup>

The Site was listed on the eFACTS database under South Wilkes-Barre 656803 – Abandoned Mine Land (AML) Inventory List. According to the eMapPA database, the property is listed as an AML Inquiry under Pagnotti Enterprises Inc. for deep underground mining of coal. The reclamation status is listed as complete. The Site was not identified on the Storage Tanks Applications database or NPMS Public Viewer website.

# 5.2.3 Additional Sources

At the time of writing this Phase I ESA, no additional sources were reviewed.

# 5.3 Physical Setting Source(s)

# 5.3.1 Topography

The USGS provides topographic map coverage for this property in the Conyngham and Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania, 7.5-Minute Quadrangle. According to the topographic map, the Site is located at an elevation range between approximately 700 to 800 feet above mean sea level. The surface topography on the slopes toward the south-southwest. The property has been disturbed over its history leaving the existing ground surface and topography highly variable. The topographic map showed Laurel Run to the south of the Site. Groundwater flow direction is anticipated to flow in a south-southwestern direction, generally following topographic slope; however, the direction of groundwater flow beneath the Site can only be accurately determined through a groundwater investigation.

# 5.3.2 Geology

According to the Pennsylvania Geologic Survey's <u>Atlas of Preliminary Geologic</u> <u>Quadrangles</u>, Fourth Series, 1981<sup>(10)</sup>, the Site is situated in the Pennsylvanian Llewellyn Formation (geologic symbol PI).

The Pennsylvania Geologic Survey publication, *The Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania*, Second Edition, 1982<sup>(11)</sup>, describes the rock in this formation as consisting of interbedded layers of sandstone, siltstone and

conglomerate; which range from medium- to coarse-grained; light gray to brown, with numerous anthracite coal and dark-gray to black shales. The sandstone in this formation is well bedded and thick to massive, while the coal and shale beds are relatively thin. Fractures are moderately developed and moderately distributed. Joints are moderately spaced, open, and steeply dipping. The rock is slightly to moderately weathered to a shallow or moderate depth, dependent on the local lithology. The resulting soil mantle is thin to moderately thick. This formation is difficult to excavate with a fast or slow drilling rate, again dependent on the specific rock type encountered.

#### 5.3.3 Soils

According to soil data obtained from the NRCS Web Soil Survey<sup>(12)</sup>, the soils within the Site consist primarily of Strip mine (Sm). According to the USDA Soil Conservation Service, the parent material of the parent material of strip mine soils is also coal extraction mine spoil. The profile is listed as very channery sandy loam to 6 inches and very channery silt loam to at least 60 inches. This soil has very low available water storage. This soil type is not listed as hydric.

# 5.4 Historical Use Information on the Property

ASTM E 1527-13 provides eight (8) standard sources from which the history of the Site/area may be established. These sources include the following:

- 1. Aerial Photographs
- 2. Fire Insurance Maps
- 3. Property Tax Files
- 4. Recorded Land Title Records
- 5. USGS Topographic Maps
- 6. Local Street Directories
- 7. Building/Engineering Department Records
- 8. Zoning/Land Use Records

The following subsections document **KLEINFELDER**'s research of these Standard Historical Sources.

#### 5.4.1 Aerial Photographs

Aerial photographs of the Site were obtained from EDR<sup>(13)</sup>. Copies of historical aerial photographs are provided in Appendix C. Brief land-use observations are summarized below.

- 1939 The Site appears to have surficial disturbances associated to mining operation and wooded land. Roadways/railroad tracks have been aligned in the central and eastern portion of the Site. A railroad appears to bound the property to the southeast. The Site appears to contain three (3) large structures (office, breaker, and conveyor buildings) and several outbuildings concentrated on the northwestern portion of the Site. The eastern half of the property appears to be primarily mined. Surrounding areas consist of structures, railroad tracks, wooded land, and roadways.
- 1959 The mining operation expanded in the southwest region of the Site and continuing beyond the Site to the west.
- 1966 No significant changes have occurred to the Site.
- 1969 It appears that mining activities continue at the Site, and strip pits appear to be more prominent. The western portion of the Site appears to be utilized for the storage of materials. A highway appears to have been constructed to the west of the Site.
- 1976 No significant changes have occurred on or around the Site.
- 1981 The mining operation no longer appears to be active with tree and vegetation observed throughout the property and the topography appears to be unchanged after this date. The rail lines no longer observed on the property. The northern portion of the Site appears to be utilized for the storage of materials.
- 1987 No significant changes have occurred on or around the Site.
- 1992 The western portion of the Site appears to be heavily utilized for storage. The remaining areas appear to be mainly clear and free of vegetation.
- 1999 The Site appears to have been mainly cleared of all buildings and stored materials. The Site appears to be coming vegetated and contains five (5) trailers. No other significant changes have occurred on or around the Site.

- 2005 Vegetation appears to be growing throughout the property, and the Site appears vacant.
- 2010 Vegetation appears to be growing throughout the property.
- 2013 No significant changes have occurred on or around the Site.
- 2017 No significant changes have occurred on or around the Site. The Site appears to be similar to that observed during Site reconnaissance.

#### 5.4.2 Fire Insurance Maps

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps of the Site were obtained from EDR<sup>(14)</sup>. Copies of the Sanborn Maps have been included in Appendix D. Brief observations are summarized below.

**1910** – The Site is labeled as Lehigh Valley Coal Company Franklin Colliery. The Site contains a fireman's office, engine house, a two-story oil house, a sawmill, a supply storage house, a pump house, a district support office, a courthouse, a pump house, several outbuildings, and a large breaking structure with associated rail lines. The Site appears to contain a mixture of eleven railroad lines and spurs. A conveyor structure appears to be powered by a boiler system that contains eleven (11) iron chimneys that are 56-feet tall.

**1950** – The Site appears to have changed slightly from the 1910 Sanborn map. The Site is listed as utilizing steam and electricity with fuel coal. It appears that structures from the west on the 1910 Sanborn may have been plotted incorrectly. The property shows an office/tool shop, a time office, a switch house, a storage structure, two (2) engine houses, several outbuildings, and a breaker structure with associated structures with rail lines. A cave-in is identified southwest of the breaker structure. A city water line appears parallel to the rail line system through the Site. Approximately five (5) sets of railroad lines are located on the central portion of the Site.

**1969** - The Site coverage on the 1969 Sanborn map appears to cover additional structures associated with the conveyor and rail lines. The breaker structure no longer appears on the Site. A boiler house appears with a compressor house. The structures located in the area of the rail lines and the boiler house are a screening structure, three (3) engine houses, a pump

house, and a vacant structure. A grease house is located southwest of the main office structure.

1975 - No significant changes are identified from the 1969 Sanborn Map.

# 5.4.3 Property Tax Files

**KLEINFELDER** reviewed the available tax information for the Site via Luzerne County tax assessment website. The Site consists of three (3) parcels totaling 85-acres. The tax parcel identification numbers for the property are 69110-00A07F000, 69110-00A10A000, and 6919-00B03A000.

#### 5.4.4 Recorded Land Title Records

According to the Luzerne County tax assessment website, the parcels included in this ESA are currently owned by Pagnotti Enterprises Inc.

# 5.4.5 USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map(s)

**KLEINFELDER** did not obtain Historic Topographic Maps for the Site since it is unlikely that such a report would provide additional information for the Site.

#### 5.4.6 Local Street Directories/MacRae's Industrial Directories

KLEINFELDER did not obtain a Local Street Directory or Industrial Directory Report.

# 5.4.7 Building/Engineering Department Records

No building and engineering department records were available to be reviewed for the Site. Further details are described in Section 5.2.1 – Records Request.

#### 5.4.8 Zoning/Land Use Records

Land use for the Site parcel is designated as Commercial Acreage.

# 5.5 Historical Use Information on the Adjoining Properties

Based on information gathered from the historical resources, the land use surrounding the Site consisted primarily of mined areas with wooded/vegetated areas. Commercial and residential development has occurred over time.

# 5.6 Vapor Migration

The environmental records review was also completed to identify potential off-site sources for vapor migration of hazardous substances or petroleum products into the subsurface strata of the Site. Upon reviewing the applicable records, topography, hydrogeological information, and potential hydraulic barriers, no properties were identified in connection with potential vapor migration onto the Site.

# 6.0 Site Reconnaissance

**KLEINFELDER** conducted the Site reconnaissance for this ESA on April 5, 2021, for the Site review. The following subsections provide a summary of the on-site conditions that are pertinent to this ESA. Site reconnaissance photographs are included in the Appendix E of this report.

# 6.1 Methodology and Limiting Conditions

The Site investigation consisted of a visual inspection of the property to determine if any RECs exist on the Site. These independent conclusions represent **KLEINFELDER**'s best professional judgment based on information and data available during the course of the project. No limiting factors were encountered during the Site investigation or visual inspection of the Site. **KLEINFELDER** was not accompanied during the Site reconnaissance.

# 6.2 General Site Setting

The Site is comprised of vacant land consisting of wooded areas, vegetated land, a previously reclaimed anthracite coal mine, a portion of Allan Road, and railroad tracks. Haul Road and Johnson Street intersection, commercial properties, wooded areas, and residential properties are located north of the property. Allan Industries (scrap metal yard), wooded areas, and abandoned coal mines are located to the south of the Site. Wooded areas and abandoned coal mines are located to the east of the Site. Vegetated areas and Interstate 81 are located to the west of the Site. The Site is located within a primarily rural area.

# 6.3 Site Observations

The Site is located south of the intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The Site consists of three (3) parcels totaling 85-acres. The Site investigation was conducted on April 5, 2021. The following summarizes the onsite observations.

Item	Identified on-site	Comments
Hazardous Substances / Petroleum Products	No	
Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs)	Yes	One (1) empty 500-gallon AST is located on the northeast corner of the property.
Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)	No	
Storage Drums	Yes	Several empty metal 55-gallon storage drums are scattered across the Site. One 55-gallon drum appeared to be utilized as a burn barrel.

Unidentified Containers	Yes	Several empty unidentified 55- gallon drums and 5-gallon buckets are scattered across the Site.
Suspect Equipment Containing PCBs	No	
Interior Staining or Corrosion	No	
Drains or Sumps	No	
Wastewater	No	
Pits, Ponds, or Lagoons	Yes	Potential wetland areas were located on the central portion of the Site.
Pools of Liquid	No	
Solid Waste Dumping, Landfills, Fill Material	Yes	Piles of coal and rock found throughout the property; Five (5) areas of illegal dumping containing primarily tires, wood, demolition and landscaping debris, metal cables, large pieces of metal equipment, household waste items, plastic, and glass; Excessive amounts of junk (i.e., trash, metal scraps, tires, empty drums). Discarded concrete piping found on the central portion of the Site.
Stained Soil or Pavement	No	
Stressed Vegetation	No	
Septic Systems	No	
Wells	No	
Odors	No	
Other Conditions of Concern	Yes	Evidence of unearthed and buried railroad lines traverse the central portion of the subject property. In addition, a paper target associated with target shooting was located on the northern portion of the Site.

#### **6.3.1 Exterior Observations**

The Site encompasses a total of 85-acres and consists primarily of historically mined areas. The property is bound to the northwest by Interstate 81 and by Haul Road to the North. From the intersection of Haul Road and Johnson Road is a heavily vegetated area that consists of a swale and what appears to be a railroad bed. Continuing through the vegetated areas are the remnants of a wooden shed, a yellow metal cap, and an unidentified 2-inch PVC pipe protruding from the ground. An open, lightly vegetated area is located immediately south of Haul Road and contains construction equipment and automobiles. A stormwater line appears to discharge onto the Site from the north. Additionally, blue waterline markers were located throughout

the Site and traversed the central portion of the Site. No staining or stressed vegetated was noted in these areas.

Remnants of the railroad tracks are evident through the northern and central portions of the Site based on the lack of vegetation, discarded railroad ties, metal rail lines protruding from the ground, and flat grade.

An area of dumping (Dump-1) was identified on the northeastern portion of the Site. The dumping appeared to be down an embankment and consisted of construction debris primarily comprised of asphalt, concrete, and stone. Additionally, household trash consisting of plastic items and general garbage was in the area.

Continuing east along Haul Road, down an embankment, is an empty 500-gallon AST, metal cables with reels, and large pieces of solid metal equipment. No petroleum odors or evidence of a release was noted in this area. Just south of this area, a paper target was noted on a hillside attached to a tree. It appears this area was used for target practice.

Most of the central portion of the Site is cleared and consists of previously mined areas. A utility pole located within a fenced area was identified on the north-central portion of the Site. It is assumed that this area previously contained pole-mounted transformers. At the time of assessment, no pole-mounted transformers or evidence of stress vegetation was noted within the fenced area. However, continuing west from the cleared central portion of the Site is an area of dumping (Dump-2) within a wooded area. The dumping appears to consist of empty 55-gallon storage drums, household waste, and construction debris.

Dump-3 is located just south of Dump-2 in an open clearing. The area consists of tires, crushed empty 5-gallon bucks, construction signs, aluminum cans, tires, wooden boards, and rubber sheeting (identical to the reels of rubber sheeting located sporadically on the Site). South of Dump-3 is Dump-4, located within a heavily vegetated area. Dump-4 is an approximate 25'x25' area comprised of empty 5-gallon buckets, corrugated piping, household trash, tires, and metal scrap. The final area of dumping, Dump-5, keeps consistent with the other dump areas and is located along Allan Road. No evidence of petroleum products, hazardous substances, or other indications of contamination (i.e., odors, signs of staining, stressed vegetation, etc.) were observed in association with any of these features.

At the time of the Site inspection, a water feature was noted on the central portion of the Site. However, the water did not appear to be hydraulically connected to any streams or other water sources.

It should be noted that located throughout the Site are small areas of tires, demolition and landscaping debris, household waste items, appliances, metal scraps, empty metal 55-gallon drums, rubber sheeting, and glass. Some of these areas appear to be consistent with the Site's prior use for staging materials. However, the identified Dump Areas 1-5 appear to be localized dumping areas of a variety of the previously discussed items.

#### 6.3.2 Interior Observations

At the time of the assessment, no structures were located on the property.

# 7.0 Interviews

# 7.1 Interview with Owner

Mr. Kent Fuller of Pargnotti Enterprises, Inc., landowner of Site, submitted the Interview Questionnaire and User Questionnaire on June 23, 2021. Copies of the completed forms are included in Appendix F. The Client did not return a completed User Questionnaire. Mr. Fuller informed **Kleinfelder** of the following:

 Mr. Fuller indicated that Allen Industries Scrapyard adjoins the property to the west and has a right-of-wat easement since July 1974 to present day. A construction company has been using the property since 1990's. Railroads crossed the property and were used for mining operations. Mr. Fuller stated that Lehigh Valley Coal company use the Site for mining and No1 Contracting Corporation used for highway construction.

Mr. Fuller indicated that the Site had coal mining structures that included breaker and related buildings. Specifically, a boiler house, office, and shop from 1958 to 1990's. The Site formerly had slopes and shafts associated to mining operations. Mr. Fuller stated that the construction company has garages, trucking equipment, repair facilities, fuel, oil and etc.

- Mr. Fuller was aware that there are surface mine permits and possible mining related studies completed at the Site.
- Mr. Fuller indicate that ASTs, automotive batteries, industrial batteries, paints, chemicals/hazardous substances, industrial drums, and transformers probably were on the Site but not certain. Mr. Fuller was not aware if chemicals/hazardous substances, USTs, or unknown materials you suspect may be hazardous substances existed on the Site.
- Mr. Fuller indicated that there is a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (GP-104) under the surface mining permit.
- Mr. Fuller stated that he is not aware of a private well or non-public water system, oil/gas wells, or onsite septic system on the Site.
- Mr. Fuller stated that he is not aware of any specific chemical storage, spills or chemical releases, or any environmental cleanups or concerns at the Site.
- Mr. Fuller stated that he is unaware of any environmental clean-ups that are ongoing or pending, environmental liens, past or present violations of environmental laws, or past, threated, pending lawsuits relevant to a release of hazardous substance or petroleum product in, on, or from the Site.

# 8.0 Findings and Opinions

**KLEINFELDER** has performed the Phase I ESA in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-13. The assessment was performed for the Site referred to as "Haul Road & Johnson Street" located northwest of the intersection of Haul Road & Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. Any exceptions to or deletions from this practice are described in Sections 2.4, 2.5, 10.0, and 14.0 of this report.

#### Current and Past Usage of the Site:

- Historically, the Site was used as an anthracite coal mine named Lehigh Valley Coal Company Franklin Colliery, dating back to as early as 1910. Mining operations continued at the Site until approximately 1981. Since that time, no development has occurred at the Site. The Site is classified as abandoned mine land (AML) with a status of reclamation completed. Many areas are now wooded or vegetated, and one strip pit has filled with water. Coal mining has been known to be associated with AMD. Typically, discharge through anthracite coal formations are more pH neutral and often does not lead to AMD conditions. During Site reconnaissance, **KLEINFELDER** observed the conditions of a water feature on the Site; no evidence of AMD (i.e., yellowish to orange staining, cloudy water) was noted. Therefore, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that the historical use of the property does not represent a REC.
- During the Site reconnaissance, evidence of former railroad activity was observed within the northeastern and traversing the central portion of the Site. Remnants of the railroad tracks are evident through the Site based on the lack of vegetation, discarded railroad ties, metal rail lines protruding from the ground, and flat grade. According to historical Sanborn maps, eleven railroad lines were located on the property in 1910. Between 1910 and 1950, the tracks that exited the Site to the west no longer were noted, and five (5) sets of tracks remained. Rail lines no longer appear to be visible in the 1981 historical aerial images. Railroad tracks and associated equipment and staging areas are often associated with contamination from leaking of lubricants, PCBs, metals, and various petroleum products. Given that portions of the Site have at one time contained eleven sets of railroad tracks since 1910, with portions of the rail lines still currently at the Site, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that this finding does represent a REC.
- According to the 1910 Sanborn maps, the Lehigh Valley Coal Company Franklin Colliery contains a fireman's office, engine house, a two-story oil house, a sawmill, a supply storage house, a pump house, a district support office, a courthouse, a pump house, several outbuildings, a breaker structure, rail lines, and a tool shop. The Site was listed as utilizing steam and electricity with fuel coal during operations. The 1969 Sanborn map identifies a grease house located southwest of the main office. Based on known tool shop and historic

operations at the Site that may have handled petroleum products, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that these findings **do** represent a REC.

- While onsite, five (5) dumping areas were observed and designated as Dump-1 through • Dump-5. Dump-1 is located near the easter property border of the Site and consists of construction debris primarily comprised of asphalt, concrete, and stone. Additionally, household trash consisting of plastic items and general garbage was in the area. Dump-2 is located on the north-central portion of the Site and consists of empty 55-gallon storage drums, household waste, and construction debris. A burn barrel was located just south of Dump-2 and appeared to contain mainly wood. Dump-3 is located just south of Dump-2 and contains tires, crushed empty 5-gallon bucks, construction signs, aluminum cans, tires, wooden boards, and rubber sheeting. Continuing further south on the property, Dump-4 is located within a heavily wooded area and consists of empty 5-gallon buckets, corrugated piping, household trash, tires, and metal scrap. The final area of dumping, Dump-5, keeps consistent with the other dump areas and is located along Allan Road. No evidence of petroleum products, hazardous substances, or other indications of contamination (i.e., odors, signs of staining, stressed vegetation, etc.) were observed in association with any of these features. Given that the possibility exists that hazardous substances or petroleum products may be present beneath the surface of the dumping areas, it is the opinion of **KLEINFELDER** that these findings **do** represent a REC.
- A paper target and bullet casings were observed on the ground on the eastern portion of the Site. Based on the likely presence of lead in underlying soils due to the use of the Site as a shooting range, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that this finding does represent a REC.
- Throughout the Site are small areas of tires, demolition and landscaping debris, household waste items, appliances, metal scraps, empty metal 55-gallon storage drums, rubber sheeting, and glass. Some of these areas appear to be consistent with the Site's prior use for staging materials. In addition, no staining or stressed vegetation was observed in these areas; therefore, KLEINFELDER believes that these findings do not represent a REC.
- The Site was listed on the eFACTS database under South Wilkes-Barre 656803 AML Inventory List. According to the eMapPA database, the property is listed as an AML Inquiry under Pagnotti Enterprises Inc. for deep underground coal mining. The reclamation status is listed as complete. No other information is provided. Therefore, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that this finding does not represent a REC.

# 9.0 Conclusions

**KLEINFELDER** has performed the Phase I ESA in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-13 of the Site located northwest of the intersection Haul Road & Johnson Street in Wilkes Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The findings and conclusions of this report are for the exclusive benefit and use of Bluecup Ventures, LLC, their respective affiliates and subsidiaries, and all successors and assigns thereof. Any exceptions to or deletions from this practice are described in Sections 2.4, 2.5, 10.0, and 14.0 of this report.

This assessment has revealed no evidence of RECs in connection with the property, except for the following:

- Railroad tracks that are historically and currently located on the Site.
- The likely presence of petroleum products or hazardous substances in Site soils associated with the Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps identified grease house.
- The five (5) areas of dumping on the Site.
- The likely presence of lead in Site soils associated with the shooting range.

# 10.0 Data Gaps, Deviations, and Limitations

A data gap is defined as a lack of or inability to obtain information required by this practice despite good faith efforts by the environmental professional to gather such information. Data gaps may result from incompleteness in any of the activities required by this practice including but not limited to Site reconnaissance and interviews.

During the course of this assessment, one data gap was identified for this Phase I ESA:

ASTM E 1527-13 states the historical use of a property should be identified at five-year intervals dating back to original development or 1940, whichever is earlier. Data gaps exceeding five-year intervals were encountered during our historical research on the Site. However, it is the opinion of KLEINFELDER that these data gaps are not significant with regard to identifying RECs.

No other significant data gaps were noted during the course of this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.

**KLEINFELDER** performed this Phase I ESA in general conformance with the ASTM International Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.

No deviations, limitations, or deletions from ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E* 1527-13 occurred or were imposed by the Client during the preparation of this Phase I ESA.

# 11.0 Additional Services/Non-Scope Considerations

This assessment did not include any additional services/non-scope considerations from ASTM International *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, Designation E 1527-13.* 

# 12.0 References

- 1. American Society for Testing and Materials Practice E 1527-13, "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process," Updated November 2013.
- 2. United States Geological Survey, Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania Quadrangle, 7.5 Minute Topographic Map.
- 3. Luzerne County Tax Assessment Websites [cited March 30, 2021] < https://gis.luzernecounty.org/portal>.
- 4. Environmental Data Resources Inc. EDR Radius Map<sup>™</sup> Report, March 30, 2021.
- 5. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). [cited April 15, 2021]. EnviroFacts Available from <<u>http://www.epa.gov/enviro/</u>> and FOIA request form available from <<u>http://www.epa.gov/foia/requestform.html</u>>.
- 6. Environment Facility Application Compliance Tracking System. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection [cited April 15, 2021]. Available from: <a href="http://www.dep.state.pa.us/efacts/>">http://www.dep.state.pa.us/efacts/</a>.
- 7. eMapPA. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection [cited April 15, 2021]. Available from <a href="http://www.emappa.dep.state.pa.us/emappa/viewer.htm">http://www.emappa.dep.state.pa.us/emappa/viewer.htm</a>>.
- 8. Storage Tanks Application Database. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection [cited April 15, 2021]. Available from <a href="http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/hide\_registration/20606/regulated\_tank\_list/1054359">http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/hide\_registration/20606/regulated\_tank\_list/1054359</a>.
- 9. National Pipeline Viewer [cited April 15, 2021] <https://pvnpms.phmsa.dot.gov/PublicViewer/>
- 10. Atlas of Preliminary Geologic Quadrangle Maps of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Geological Survey, 1981.
- 11. Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania State Geological Survey, Second Edition, 1982.
- 12. Web Soil Survey, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture [cited April 16, 2021]. Available from <a href="http://www.websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx">http://www.websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</a>.
- 13. Environmental Data Resources Inc. Aerial Photo Decade Package, March 31, 2021.
- 14. Environmental Data Resources Inc. Historical Sanborn Map Report, March 30, 2021.

# 13.0 Environmental Professional(s) Statements and Signature(s)

I have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience necessary to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the Site. I have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

I declare that to the best of my professional knowledge and belief that I meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in 312.10 of 40 CFR 312.

Mul C Stelle

Mark C. Steele, CHMM Senior Program Manager

# 14.0 General Limitations

The Environmental Assessment activities and the preparation of this Report were conducted in accordance with practices and procedures generally accepted in the consulting engineering field. The information contained in this Report is further qualified as follows:

- 1. **KLEINFELDER** assumes no responsibility for matters of a legal nature affecting the Site inspected or the title thereto.
- 2. Any sketch appearing in or attached to the inspection Report, or any statement of dimensions, capacities, quantities, or distances, are approximate and are included to assist the reader in visualizing the Site. **KLEINFELDER** made no survey of the Site.
- Employees of KLEINFELDER are not required to give testimony or appear in court because of having made the inspection with reference to the Site in question, unless arrangements have been previously made, therefore.
- 4. This Report is not intended to have any direct effect on the value of the Site inspected but simply to provide a visual Environmental Assessment solely for the benefit of the Principal Parties.
- Information, estimates, and opinions furnished to KLEINFELDER and contained in the report, were obtained from sources considered reliable and believed to be true and correct. However, KLEINFELDER has made no independent investigation as to such matters and undertakes no responsibility for the accuracy of such items. No other warranty is given or implied by this Report.
- 6. The Report is solely for the benefit and personal use of the Principal Parties and is subject to and issued in connection with the Proposal and the Terms and Conditions attached thereto. The data reported and findings, observations, and conclusions expressed in the Report are limited by the Scope of Work.



# FIGURES



FIN: 6319-0013-03A-000	
Milles Bare Counsily Earel Run Toursely Learel Run Toursely	
Legend Site Boundary PIN Parcel/Tax ID Municipal Boundaries	
0       200       400       800 Feet         Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community         Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community         SCALE:       DRAWING NUMBER:       20214488.001A         DRAWN BY:       20214488.001A       FIGURE 2 - TAX PARCEL MAP         DRAWN BY:       CHECKED BY:       HAUL ROAD AND JOHNSON STREET         A. WICKS       C. WOLF       HAUL ROAD AND JOHNSON STREET	ELDER le. Right Solutions. E AVE., SUITE C


Date: May 20, 2022 TPD# BCVS.00002 PennDOT EPS# 261894









# **Traffic Impact Study**

Bluecup Warehouse *Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County* 

**For Submission To:** Wilkes-Barre Township & PennDOT District 4-0

# Bluecup Warehouse Development TRANSPORTATION IMPACT STUDY

FOR SUBMISSION TO:

Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, PA, & PennDOT District 4-0

Prepared For: Bluecup Ventures Wilkes-Barre, LLC 20 Cedar Woods Lane Fairfield, CT 06825 May 20, 2022 TPD # BCVS.00002 PennDOT EPS # 261894

Phone: (203) 252-1515

Prepared By: Traffic Planning and Design, Inc. 1720 Spillman Drive, Suite 260 Bethlehem, PA 18015

Phone: (610) 625-4242 Fax: (610) 625-4250 E-mail: TPD@TrafficPD.com Web Site: www.trafficpd.com



Eric M. Mountz, P.E., PTOE Regional Leader – Transportation Planning

Pennsylvania License Number PE075212

# **Table of Contents**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
INTRODUCTION	1
EXISTING ROADWAY NETWORK	1
Land Use Context	4
Roadway Type	4
Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	4
Mass Transit Facilities	4
Crash Data Investigation	4
EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	5
Manual Turning Movement Counts	5
Average Daily Traffic	6
BASE (NO-BUILD) CONDITIONS	6
Annual Background Growth	6
Nearby Proposed Developments	6
SCHEDULED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS	7
Programmed Improvements	7
Improvements By Others	8
PROPOSED SITE ACCESS	8
Sight Distance Analysis	8
TRIP GENERATION	9
TRIP DISTRIBUTION	9
Redistributed Trips	9
Passenger Car Trips	10
Truck Trips	10
PROJECTED (BUILD) CONDITION TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR AN INTERSECTION	
CAPACITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	
PennDOT Standards	13
LEVELS OF SERVICE IN THE STUDY AREA	14
95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS	
AUXILIARY TURN LANE ANALYSIS	27
Methodology	27
Findings	27

LEFT TURN SIGNAL PHASING	
Methodology	31
Findings	31
SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS	
Methodology	
Findings	
RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	

#### SCHEMATIC FIGURES

Figure 1:	Location Map
Figure 2:	Site Plan
Figures 3-5:	Existing Condition Traffic Volumes
Figures 6-8:	Trip Distributions: Nearby Development (Turkey Hill Convenience Store & Gas Station)
Figures 9-11:	Trip Distributions: Nearby Development (Blackman Plaza Redevelopment)
Figures 12-14:	2024/2029 Base (No-Build) Condition Traffic Volumes
Figures 15-17:	Trip Redistributions: Relocated Allan Road
Figures 18-19:	Trip Assignment Percentages
Figures 20-22:	Trip Distributions: Bluecup Warehouse Development
Figures 23-25:	2024/2029 Projected (Build) Condition Traffic Volumes

## **TECHNICAL APPENDICES**

- Appendix A: Project Correspondence
- Appendix B: Study Area Photographs
- Appendix C: Manual Traffic Count Data Sheets
- Appendix D: Nearby Proposed Development Information
- Appendix E: Programmed Improvement Project: SR 309 Safety Improvement Project
- Appendix F: Volume Development Worksheets
- Appendix G: Capacity Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix H: Traffic Signal Diagrams (Existing Conditions)
- Appendix I: SimTraffic Queue Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix J: Auxiliary Turn Lane Warrant Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix K: Left-Turn Signal Phasing Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix L: Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix M: Level of Service and Queue Analysis with PennDOT Ramp G Project

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to examine the potential traffic impact associated with the proposed warehouse on the surrounding roadway network in Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, PA. Based on this study, the following conclusions were reached:

- Since the Wilkes-Barre Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (SALDO) does not contain specific criteria related to preparation of a Transportation Impact Study (TIS), this This report has been prepared in accordance with Appendix A - Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017.
- 2. The project scope and the extent of the study area were based on; (1) feedback received during the meeting with representatives of PennDOT and the Township on April 8, 2022; and (2) the contents of the approved TIS Scoping Application dated April 11, 2022. The study area intersections included in this TIS are as follows:
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Blackman Street (SR 2005)/I-81 Southbound Off-Ramp;
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Allan Road;
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Johnson Street/Blackman Plaza Driveway;
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Casey Avenue (SR 2016)/Park & Ride Lot;
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Sheetz Driveway/Shopping Center Driveway;
  - » Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd (SR 6309) & Coal Street/Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063);
  - » Johnson Street & Haul Road/Private Driveway;
  - » Johnson Street & Relocated Allan Road.

As outlined in the approved TIS Scoping Application, the intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard and Allan Road has been included in the TIS for purposes of volume development only in order to accurately depict the trips that will be redistributed to the relocated Allan Road intersection with Johnson Street. Additionally, since Haul Road and Allan Road are both private roadways each of the proposed site driveways were not specifically included as study area intersections. Instead, the Haul Road and Relocated Allan Road intersections with Johnson Street were considered the site access locations.

- 3. The proposed development is located on the southern side of Johnson Street/Haul Road, immediately east of I-81. The proposed development is anticipated to consist of a 937,440 square foot (s.f.) warehouse.
- 4. Access to the site is proposed via two (2) driveways to Allan Road (private roadway) and five (5) driveways to Haul Road (private roadway). Additionally, in anticipation of PennDOT's P3 I-81 widening project, the segment of Allan Road between Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309) and the I-81 overpass will be eliminated, and Allan Road will be relocated to create a new intersection with Johnson Street.
- 5. Based on trip generation data obtained from the 11<sup>th</sup> edition of the manual *Trip Generation* for Land Use Code #154 (High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse), build-out of the proposed development is anticipated to generate 75 new vehicle-trips during the weekday A.M. peak hour of Adjacent Street, 122 new vehicle-trips during the weekday A.M. peak hour of Generator, and 159 new vehicle-trips during the weekday P.M. peak hour of Generator.

- 6. PennDOT's Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits typically requires analyses of the following future years:
  - » Opening Year which is assumed to be the last phase of construction;
  - » Design Horizon Year which is be assumed to be 5 years after the Opening Year.

Since PennDOT's background growth factor is 0.00%, the traffic volumes for the 2024 Opening Year (Full Build-Out) and 2029 Design Year (5 years after Full Build-Out) will be the same.

- Capacity analyses were conducted to determine the quality of operation (LOS) at the study area intersections for the existing, 2024/2029 base (no-build), and 2024/2029 projected (build) conditions. The capacity analyses were conducted in accordance with the standards contained in Appendix A -Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017.
- Levels of Service (LOS) for the study area intersections have been summarized in matrix form. Table I details the overall intersection LOS for each study area intersection for the analyzed conditions and time periods. Tables 13-15 of the report detail the LOS for all approaches and movements at the study area intersections for the analyzed conditions and time periods.

Intersection	Time		Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)			Meets LOS	
	Period	Existing	Base	Projected	Projected <sup>1</sup>	Requirements?	
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard &	AM ADJ	B (16.2)	C (25.0)	C (25.4)		YES	
Blackman Street/	AM GEN	B (17.2)	C (25.7)	C (26.8)		YES	
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	PM GEN	C (29.4)	D (35.5)	D (38.1)	D (38.1)	YES	
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &	AM ADJ	A (1.9)	A (9.7)	A (9.8)		YES	
Johnson Street/	AM GEN	A (1.9)	A (9.8)	A (9.9)		YES	
Blackman Plaza Driveway	PM GEN	B (12.0)	A (9.8)	B (13.9)	B (13.8)	YES	
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulovard &	AM ADJ	A (8.4)	A (6.7)	A (6.7)		YES	
Casey Avenue/	AM GEN	A (7.7)	A (7.0)	A (7.0)		YES	
Park & Ride Lot	PM GEN	B (10.4)	B (11.3)	B (11.4)	B (11.3)	YES	
Wilkes Parra Township Poulovard &	AM ADJ	A (8.0)	A (8.0)	A (7.9)		YES	
Sheetz Driveway/	AM GEN	A (7.6)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)		YES	
Shopping Center Driveway	PM GEN	B (10.6)	B (10.4)	B (10.5)	B (10.5)	YES	
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &	AM ADJ	C (28.4)	C (29.0)	C (29.1)		YES	
Coal Street/	AM GEN	C (28.9)	C (28.9)	C (29.0)		YES	
Highland Park Boulevard	PM GEN	F (92.8)	C (31.9)	C (32.3)	C (32.3)	YES	
	AM ADJ	A (1.9)	A (1.7)	A (2.0)		YES	
Johnson Street & Haul Road	AM GEN	A (1.0)	A (0.9)	A (1.6)		YES	
	PM GEN	A (0.7)	A (0.7)	A (2.9)	A (2.9)	YES	
	AM ADJ			A (1.1)		YES	
Johnson Street & Allan Road	AM GEN			A (1.0)		YES	
	PM GEN			A (2.0)	A (2.0)	YES	

TABLE I OVERALL INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY

1=Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

9. Under the 2024/2029 projected (build) conditions, with implementation of the recommended improvements, the study area intersections will operate in accordance with the standards contained in Appendix A - Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017.

10. Based on the results of this study, Traffic Planning and Design Inc. (TPD) recommends the following roadway improvements as outlined at the study area intersections:

### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Blackman Street/I-81 Southbound Off-Ramp

» No improvements are recommended for this intersection.

#### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Johnson Street/Blackman Plaza Driveway

- » Provide optimized traffic signal splits and offsets during the weekday P.M. peak period.
- » Coordinate to confirm the improvements to be constructed in conjunction with PennDOT's programmed project will accommodate turning movements to/from Johnson Street by tractor trailers.

#### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Casey Avenue/Park & Ride Lot

» Provide optimized traffic signal splits and offsets during the weekday P.M. peak period.

#### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Sheetz Driveway/Shopping Center Driveway

» No improvements are recommended for this intersection.

#### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Coal Street/Highland Park Boulevard

» No improvements are recommended for this intersection.

#### Johnson Street & Haul Road

- » Design Haul Road as a full-movement private roadway.
- » Provide one entering and one exiting lane.
- » Provide a "Stop" sign, (PennDOT designation R1-1) to control exiting traffic.
- » Design Haul Road to accommodate turning movements by tractor trailers.
- » Provide and perpetually maintain required sight distances in accordance with Section 810 of the Wilkes-Barre Township SALDO.

#### Johnson Street & Relocated Allan Road

- » Design Relocated Allan Road as a full-movement private roadway.
- » Provide one entering and one exiting lane.
- » Provide a "Stop" sign, (PennDOT designation R1-1) to control exiting traffic.
- » Design Relocated Allan Road to accommodate turning movements by tractor trailers.
- » Provide and perpetually maintain required sight distances in accordance with Section 810 of the Wilkes-Barre Township SALDO.

#### **General Recommendations**

- » The applicant should work with the Township to develop language that will require a postdevelopment study to evaluate the actual traffic volumes generated by the subject warehouse.
- The applicant should work with the Township to determine if improvements are required to Haul Road and/or Johnson Street to accommodate traffic to/from the site, particularly for tractor trailers. The improvements should consider pavement condition, pavement markings, signage, etc.

The applicant will coordinate and fund the implementation of the recommended roadway improvements.

11. If any of the roadway improvements outlined in the Scheduled Roadway Improvements section of this report that are proposed to be provided by PennDOT or the nearby proposed developments are not constructed by the opening of the subject development, the applicant would be required to either construct the improvements or provide a revised TIS that evaluates the need for additional roadway improvements to mitigate any impacts resulting from the site generated traffic from build-out of the subject development. If the revised TIS does identify the need for additional improvements, it would be the applicant's responsibility to construct the improvements.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Traffic Planning and Design, Inc. (TPD) has completed a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) to examine the potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed warehouse development proposed by Bluecup Ventures Wilkes-Barre, LLC on the surrounding roadway network in Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. As shown in **Figure 1**, the proposed development is located on the southern side of Johnson Street/Haul Road, immediately east of I-81. The proposed development is anticipated to consist of a 937,440 square foot (s.f.) warehouse. A site plan for the proposed development is shown in **Figure 2**.

Based on a review of Section 406 (Additional Materials Submitted with Preliminary Plan) of the Wilkes-Barre Township Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (SALDO) the Planning Commission can request an Impact Analysis be submitted in conjunction with a Subdivision and/or Land Development Application. Section 200 of the SALDO defines an Impact Analysis as follows, "A study, which may be required by the Planning Commission prior to preliminary or conditional approval of a subdivision or land development, to determine the potential impact of the proposed development on activities, utilities, traffic generation and circulation, surrounding land uses, community facilities, environmental features, critical areas, the health, safety and welfare of residents and other factors directly, indirectly or potentially affected. The landowner and/or applicant shall be responsible for all costs related to the any and all reports and/or studies required by the Planning Commission under or within the context of the term "IMPACT ANALYSIS". The landowner and/or applicant shall also be responsible to fully reimburse the Township for any engineering and/or other consulting fees which are incurred for the review of any required studies or reports".

Since the Wilkes-Barre Township SALDO does not contain specific criteria related to preparation of a TIS, this report has been prepared in accordance with Appendix A – Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017. The project scope and the extent of the study area were based on; (1) feedback received during the meeting with representatives of PennDOT and the Township on April 8, 2022; and (2) the contents of the approved TIS Scoping Application dated April 11, 2022. All relevant correspondence pertaining to this project has been included in **Appendix A**.

# **EXISTING ROADWAY NETWORK**

A field review of the existing roadway system in the study area was conducted. The existing roadway characteristics within the study area are summarized in **Table 1**.

Roadway	Ownership	Functional Classification/ Roadway Type	Predominant Directional Orientation	Posted Speed Limit
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard	State (SR 6309)	Principal Arterial	North-South	35 mph- 40 mph <sup>1</sup>
Blackman Street	State (SR 2005)	Principal Arterial	East-West	35 mph
Casey Avenue	State (SR 2016)	Local	East-West	30 mph
Coal Street	Township	Principal Arterial	East-West	25 mph
Highland Park Boulevard	State (SR 2063)	Minor Arterial	East-West	35 mph
Johnson Street	Township	Local	East-West	25 mph
Haul Road	Private	Local	East-West	25 mph
Allan Road	Private	Local	North-South	25 mph

#### TABLE 1 ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

1 = Posted speed limit of 35 mph to south of Casey Avenue and 40 mph to the north of Casey Avenue

The existing intersection controls, lane configurations, lane widths, shoulder widths, and approach grades for the study area intersections are summarized in **Table 2**. Photographs of the study area intersections are included in **Appendix B**.

TABLE 2

#### EXISTING INTERSECTION CONTROLS, LANE WIDTHS, SHOULDER WIDTHS, AND APPROACH GRADES

Intersection	Control	Lane Configuration	Lane Width	Shoulder Width	Approach Grade	
		EB L	10′		10/	
		EB R	14'	1' (Curbed)	-1%	
		WB L	13′	10'		
Wilkes-Barre Township	- <i></i>	WB T	11′		-4%	
Boulevard &	Irattic	WB R	14′	10′		
Last SB Off-Pamp	Signai	NB L	12′		20/	
		NB T-T	12′	5′	-3%	
		SB T-T	12'-13'		20/	
		SB R	14'	0' (Curbed)	-570	
Wilkes-Barre Township	Stop	EB L/T/R	34'		0%	
Boulevard &	Controlled	WB L/T/R	14′		0%	
Johnson Street/	Free	NB L/T/R	12′	6′	0%	
Blackman Plaza Driveway	Free	SB L/T/R	12′	6′	0%	
		EB L	10′		20/	
		EB T/R	10′	0' (Curbed)	-2%	
Wilkes-Barre Township		WB L/T/R	13′	4' (Curbed)	0%	
Boulevard & Casey Avenue/ Park & Ride Lot	Traffic	NB L	10′		00/	
	Signal	NB T/R	13′	6'	0%	
		SB L	10′		-1%	
		SB T	13′			
		SB R	10′	0' (Curbed)		
		EB L/T/R	15′	0' (Curbed)	0%	
		WB L/T	12′		=0/	
Wilkes-Barre Township		WB R	12′	0' (Curbed)	-5%	
Boulevard &	Traffic	NB L	10′			
Sheetz Driveway/	Signal	NB T-T	12′		1%	
Shopping Center Driveway		NB R	13′	0' (Curbed)		
		SB L	10′		20/	
		SB T-T/R	12'-14'	0' (Curbed)	-3%	
		EB L	11′		10/	
		EB T-T/R	11'-13'	0' (Curbed)	1%	
		WB L	12′			
Wilkes-Barre Township		WB T-T	12′		0%	
Boulevard &	Traffic	WB R	12′	4' (Curbed)		
Coal Street/	Signal	NB L	10′			
Highland Park Boulevard		NB T-T	12′		2%	
		NB R	13′	0' (Curbed)		
		SB L	10′		09/	
		SB T-TR	12'-13'	0' (Curbed)	0%	
	Stop	EB L/T/R	Undefined <sup>1</sup>		0%	
Johnson Street &	Controlled	WB L/T/R	15′		-1%	
Haul Road	Free	NB L/T/R	13′		+1%	
	Free	SB L/T/R	13'		-2%	

1 = Large uncontrolled curb cut

#### Land Use Context

In Section 1.2 of the Design Manual, Part 2, there is guidance pertaining to defining the land use context(s) for a given area. Based upon review of this information, the land uses surrounding the proposed site best fits the Suburban Corridor designation, as described below:

**Suburban Corridor,** "...characterized by big box stores, commercial strip centers, restaurants, auto dealerships, office parks, and gas stations. These uses are sometimes interspersed with natural areas and occasional clusters of homes. Buildings are usually set back from the roadway behind surface parking."

#### **Roadway Type**

In Section 1.2 of the Design Manual, Part 2, there is guidance pertaining to defining the transportation context(s) for a given area. Comparing the existing condition roadway characteristics to the various options presented in Table 1.2, the study area roadways best fit the following categories, as described below:

**Regional Arterial**, traffic volumes of 10,000 to 40,000 vehicles per day, intersection spacing of 660 to 1,320 feet, a desired operating speed of 30-55 mph, and a description as follows: "*Roadways in this category would be considered "Principal Arterial" in traditional functional classification.*"

» Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309).

**Community Arterial**, traffic volumes of 5,000 to 25,000 vehicles per day, intersection spacing of 300 to 1,320 feet, a desired operating speed of 25-55 mph, and a description as follows: *"often classified as Minor Arterial in traditional classification but may include road segments classified as Principal Arterial."* 

- » Blackman Street (SR 2005).
- » Coal Street.
- » Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063).

*Local Road,* traffic volumes of <3,000 vehicles per day, intersection spacing of 000 to 660 feet, a desired operating speed of 20-30 mph.

- » Casey Avenue (SR 2016).
- » Johnson Street.
- » Allan Road.
- » Haul Road.

#### **Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities**

Based on observations at the proposed study area intersections, sidewalks, paved shoulders, and/or the travel lanes currently accommodate bicycle and pedestrian traffic in the vicinity of the proposed development.

#### **Mass Transit Facilities**

Luzerne County is provided with public transportation by the Luzerne County Transportation Authority (LCTA). There are multiple fixed bus routes which provide service in the vicinity of the proposed site. There are no rail centers within  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile of the site.

#### **Crash Data Investigation**

Crash data were obtained from PennDOT for the study area intersections. PennDOT defines a <u>reportable</u> crash as follows, "A <u>reportable</u> (crash) is one in which an injury or fatality occurs or if at least one of the vehicles involved requires towing from the scene." <u>Reportable</u> crashes were tabulated for the five-year time period beginning 1/1/2016 and ending 12/31/2020. For a given intersection, PennDOT considers a crash

occurrence of 5 reportable, correctable crashes over a continuous twelve-month period during the past five years to be a threshold value, above which the intersection design should be reviewed to examine if corrective measures can be taken to enhance safety. In accordance with typical PennDOT policy the crash data investigation was provided for their review under separate cover.

# **EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

## **Manual Turning Movement Counts**

Manual traffic counts were conducted on 15-minute intervals during the weekday morning (6:00 to 10:00 A.M.) and weekday evening (3:00 to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods when the area schools were in session. Data pertaining to heavy vehicles, pedestrians and transit vehicles were observed during the manual counts. Peak hours and count dates for the study area intersections are identified in **Table 3**.

Intersection	Date of Traffic Counts	Time Period	Intersection Peak Hour <sup>1</sup>
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &		A.M. Adjacent Street	7:30 to 8:30 A.M.
Blackman Street/	Tuesday, March 15, 2022	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
I-81 SB Off-Ramp		P.M. Generator	4:00 to 5:00 P.M.
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &		A.M. Adjacent Street	8:00 to 9:00 A.M.
Allan Road/	Tuesday, March 15, 2022	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
Private Driveway		P.M. Generator	3:15 to 4:15 P.M.
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &		A.M. Adjacent Street	8:00 to 9:00 A.M.
Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway	Thursday, December 9, 2021	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
		P.M. Generator	4:30 to 5:30 P.M.
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard & Casey Avenue/		A.M. Adjacent Street	8:00 to 9:00 A.M.
	Tuesday, March 15, 2022	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
Park & Ride Lot		P.M. Generator	3:15 to 4:15 P.M.
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &		A.M. Adjacent Street	8:00 to 9:00 A.M.
Sheetz Driveway/	Tuesday, March 15, 2022	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
Shopping Center Driveway		P.M. Generator	4:30 to 5:30 P.M.
Wilkes-Barre Townshin Boulevard &		A.M. Adjacent Street	8:00 to 9:00 A.M.
Coal Street/	Tuesday, March 15, 2022	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
Highland Park Boulevard		P.M. Generator	3:15 to 4:15 P.M.
		A.M. Adjacent Street	7:00 to 8:00 A.M.
Johnson Street & Haul Road	Thursday, December 9, 2021	A.M. Generator	9:00 to 10:00 A.M.
		P.M. Generator	5:00 to 6:00 P.M.

TABLE 3 MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNT INFORMATION

1 = Peak Hour consists of the four consecutive 15-minute intervals where the highest traffic volumes occur.

In accordance with SOL 424-21-07 regarding COVID-19 traffic data guidance, since the traffic counts were completed after September 7, 20201 no adjustment is necessary. Existing condition traffic volumes for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 3-5**. The manual traffic count data sheets are provided in **Appendix C**.

## **Average Daily Traffic**

The traffic volume map contained on the PennDOT Traffic Information Repository (TIRe) website was reviewed to determine the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for a typical weekday along the State-maintained roadways in the vicinity of the proposed site. The available ADT information from the TIRe website is summarized below in **Table 4**.

Roadway	
AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT) IN VICINTY OF PROPOS	SED SITE
TABLE 4	

Roadway	ADT
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309), near Johnson Street	19,981 vehicles per day
Blackman Street (SR 2005)	13,192 vehicles per day
I-81 SB Off-Ramp, opposite Blackman Street (SR 2005)	5,617 vehicles per day
Casey Avenue (SR 2016)	3,992 vehicles per day
Coal Street	15,821 vehicles per day
Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063)	19,854 vehicles per day

# **BASE (NO-BUILD) CONDITIONS**

## Annual Background Growth

A background growth factor for the roadways in the study area was developed based on growth factors obtained from the PennDOT Bureau of Planning and Research (BPR) for August 2021 to July 2022. The PennDOT BPR suggests using a background growth trend factor of 0.00% per year in Luzerne County for urban, non-interstate roadways.

PennDOT's Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits typically requires analyses of the following future years:

- » Opening Year which is assumed to be the last phase of construction;
- » Design Horizon Year which is be assumed to be 5 years after the Opening Year.

Since PennDOT's background growth factor is 0.00%, the traffic volumes for the 2024 Opening Year (Full Build-Out) and 2029 Design Year (5 years after Full Build-Out) will be the same.

## **Nearby Proposed Developments**

Base (no-build) traffic conditions are typically calculated to include traffic volumes from proposed developments, which, though not operating under existing conditions, may be operating by the build-out of the proposed development.

Based on the approved TIS Scoping Application, the following nearby developments were specifically considered as part of this study.

- Turkey Hill Convenience Store and Gas Station located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309) and Blackman Street/I-81 South Ramp G in Wilkes-Barre Township. The trip generation/distribution information for the development will be obtained from the TIS prepared for the development by L&V Engineering, LLC.
- Blackman Plaza Redevelopment located on the western side of Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309) generally between Johnson Street and Casey Avenue in Wilkes-Barre Township. The trip generation/distribution information for the development will be obtained from the TIS prepared for the development by L&V Engineering, LLC.

Trip generation/distribution information for the nearby proposed developments is included in **Appendix D**. Note, the TIS's for the above nearby developments do not provide trip distribution information for the weekday A.M. peak hour of Generator. Therefore, to provide a conservative analysis, the weekday A.M. pea hour of Adjacent Street volumes were utilized for the weekday A.M. peak hour of Generator.

Schematic figures summarizing the traffic volumes resulting from the nearby proposed developments at the study area intersections for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 6-11**.

The additional traffic volumes due to nearby proposed developments were added to the 2021 existing condition traffic volumes to produce the 2024/2029 base (no-build) condition traffic volumes. Base condition volumes for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 12-14**.

# SCHEDULED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

## **Programmed Improvements**

Based on a review of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for PennDOT, there are programmed roadway improvement projects within the study area, as follows:

- SR 309 Safety Improvement is Project ID #109543 and includes safety improvements at the Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard intersections with Johnson Street/Blackman Plaza Driveway and Casey Avenue, including creation of a 4-way signalized intersection and additional turning lanes at Johnson Street/Blackman Plaza Driveway. The project was let in July 2021 and is anticipated to be complete by August 2022. Based on the anticipated completion date, the above referenced programmed roadway improvement project were included in all the future condition capacity analyses, as applicable.
- I-81 SB Ramp G at Blackman Street proposes improvements at the intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard and I-81 SB Off-Ramp/Blackman Street, including dual left-turn lanes for the I-81 SB Off-Ramp. Given the current uncertainty regarding the completion date for this project, the future condition capacity analyses were completed with and without the referenced programmed roadway improvement project.

Information regarding the above projects were obtained from PennDOT and is included in **Appendix E**.

#### **Improvements By Others**

Based on a review of the TIS's for the nearby proposed developments referenced in the Nearby Proposed Developments section of this report, there are proposed roadway improvements at the following study area intersections:

Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309) and I-81 SB Off-Ramp/Blackman Street, including northbound dual left-turn lanes from Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard to Blackman Street. The proposed roadway improvements by others were included in all future condition capacity analyses, as applicable.

## **PROPOSED SITE ACCESS**

Access to the site is proposed via two (2) driveways to Allan Road (private roadway) and five (5) driveways to Haul Road (private roadway). Additionally, in anticipation of PennDOT's P3 I-81 widening project, the segment of Allan Road between Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309) and the I-81 overpass will be eliminated, and Allan Road will be relocated to create a new intersection with Johnson Street.

## Sight Distance Analysis

A sight distance analysis was prepared for the Haul Road and Relocated Allan Road intersections with Johnson Street in accordance with Section 810 of the Wilkes-Barre Township SALDO which states, "Streets shall be so laid out that there will be unobstructed sight distances along centerlines thereof measured from a point five (5) feet above the proposed grade line, to permit horizontal visibility as follows:

- » Arterial Streets Six hundred (600) feet.
- » Collector Streets Three hundred (300) feet.
- » Local Streets One hundred fifty (150) feet.

**Table 5** shows the ordinance required and existing (measured) sight distances for the Haul Road and Relocated Allan Road intersections with Johnson Street. Note, all three roadways were identified as local streets for purposes of the sight distance analysis.

	Direction	Posted	Sight Distances (feet)				
	Direction	Speed	ORDINANCE	EXIST			
	Haul Road intersection with Johnson Street						
Exiting	To the Left	25 mph	150′	315′			
Movements	To the Right	25 mph	150′	420′			
	Relocated Allan Road intersection with Johnson Street						
Exiting	To the Left	25 mph	150′	320′			
Movements	To the Right	25 mph	150′	500'+			

	TABLE 5
SIGHT	DISTANCE ANALYSIS

ORDINANCE = Ordinance Required Sight Distance EXIST = Existing (measured) Sight Distance

As shown in **Table 5** above, the existing (measured) sight distances for the Haul Road and Relocated Allan Road intersections with Johnson Street will exceed the ordinance required sight distance requirements.

# **TRIP GENERATION**

The trip generation data were obtained from the manual *Trip Generation*, Eleventh Edition, 2021, an Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Informational Report. For the proposed development, Land Use Code #154 (High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse) was used to calculate the number of vehicular trips the development will generate during the following time periods: (1) average weekday; (2) weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic; (3) weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator; and (4) weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator.

Table 6 shows the ITE trip generation data for the analyzed time periods.

Land Lico	ITE #	v	Time Deried	Trip Tupo	Equation (Data	Splits	
Lanu Ose	IIE#	^	nine Penou	пр туре	Equation/Rate	Enter %	Exit %
High-Cube Transload and Short-Term 154 Storage Warehouse				All Vehicular	T = 1.40*(X)	50%	50%
		Average weekday	Trucks	$T = 0.22^{*}(X)$	50%	50%	
		154 937.44	Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic	All Vehicular	T = 0.08*(X)	77%	23%
	154			Trucks	$T = 0.02^{*}(X)$	49%	51%
	154 5		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator	All Vehicular	T = 0.13*(X)	78%	22%
				Trucks	T = 0.01*(X)	56%	44%
			Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator	All Vehicular	T = 0.17*(X)	34%	66%
				Trucks	T = 0.03*(X) - 5.07	55%	45%

TABLE 6 ITE TRIP GENERATION DATA

*T* = number of site-generated vehicular trips

X = independent variable (ksf = 1,000 s.f. gross floor area)

#### Table 7 summarizes the trip generation of the proposed development the analyzed time periods.

Land Use	Total Vehicular Trips		Truck Trips			Passenger Car Trips			
	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit
Average Weekday	1,312	656	656	206	103	103	1,106	553	553
Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic	75	58	17	19	9	10	56	49	7
Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator	122	95	27	9	5	4	113	90	23
Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator	159	54	105	23	13	10	136	41	95

#### TABLE 7 TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY

# **TRIP DISTRIBUTION**

## **Redistributed Trips**

As previously noted, in anticipation of PennDOT's P3 I-81 widening project, the segment of Allan Road between Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309) and the I-81 overpass will be eliminated, and Allan Road will be relocated to create a new intersection with Johnson Street. The redistribution of trips for

Relocated Allan Road during the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 15-17**.

## **Passenger Car Trips**

The distribution of passenger car trips (i.e. mainly employees) generated by the proposed development was based on the following: (1) the average one-way commute time to work in the United States; (2) the proximity of local population centers in the vicinity of the subject tract; and (3) the available routes for travel. Based on TPD's research the average one-way commute to work in the United States is approximately 30 minutes. Taking this into consideration the population centers in the vicinity of the subject tract that are anticipated to be the origin of the majority of employee trips to/from the proposed warehouse are Wilkes-Barre, Pittston, Scranton, and Hazelton. Based on the available travel routes for travel to/from these population centers, the passenger car trips generated by the proposed warehouse were distributed to the local roadway network based on the below percentages and as summarized in **Table 8**. These percentages were reviewed and approved in conjunction with the TIS Scoping Application.

The overall origin/destinations for the passenger vehicle trip distributions are as follows:

- » To/from north of the site = 60%;
- > To/from south of the site = 40%.

TRIP DISTRIBUTION PERCENTAGES: NEW PASSENGER VEHICLE TRIPS							
Assignment – To/From	Distribution Percentages: Passenger Car Trips						
Assignment - To/ Hom	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips					
North via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309)	14%	14%					
North via Johnson Street	5%	5%					
South via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309)/I-81	10%	20% <sup>1</sup>					
East via Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063)	5%	25%					
West via Coal Street	5%	5%					
West via Casey Avenue (SR 2016)	1%	1%					
West via Blackman Street (SR 2005)	30%	30%					
North via I-81 SB Off-Ramp at Blackman Street	30%						

TABLE 8 TRIP DISTRIBUTION PERCENTAGES: NEW PASSENGER VEHICLE TRIPS

1 = Includes 10% oriented to the north which are assumed to utilize the I-81 NB On-Ramp to the south of the site

## **Truck Trips**

The distribution of truck trips generated by the proposed development was based on the following: (1) the proximity of regional population centers in the vicinity of the subject tract; and (2) the location of major interstates/arterials in the vicinity of the subject tract. Based on TPD's review, major regional population centers such as Philadelphia, New York, Boston and Hartford are anticipated to utilize I-80, I-81, I-84 and I-476 to travel to/from the proposed warehouse to/from the north via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard. Additionally, major regional population centers such as Harrisburg, Pittsburgh, Baltimore and Washington D.C. are anticipated to utilize I-80, I-81, and I-83 to travel to/from the proposed warehouse to/from the south via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard. Based on the available travel routes for travel to/from these population centers, the truck trips generated by the proposed warehouse were distributed to the local

roadway network based on the below percentages and as summarized in **Table 9**. These percentages were reviewed and approved in conjunction with the TIS Scoping Application.

The overall origin/destinations for the truck trip distributions are as follows:

- » To/from north of the site = 25%;
- > To/from south of the site = 75%.

Assignment – To/From	Distribution Percentages: Truck Trips			
	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips		
North via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309)				
North via Johnson Street				
South via Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard (SR 6309)/I-81	75%	90% <sup>1</sup>		
East via Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063)	10%	10%		
West via Coal Street				
West via Casey Avenue (SR 2016)				
West via Blackman Street (SR 2005)				
North via I-81 SB Off-Ramp at Blackman Street	15%			

TABLE 9 TRIP DISTRIBUTION PERCENTAGES: TRUCK TRIPS

1 = Includes 15% oriented to the north which are assumed to utilize the I-81 NB On-Ramp to the south of the site

Schematic figures summarizing the trip assignment percentages at the study area intersections for the proposed development are illustrated in **Figures 18-19**.

Schematic figures summarizing the assignment of site-generated trips at the study area intersections for the proposed development during the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 20-22**.

# **PROJECTED (BUILD) CONDITION TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

The site-generated trips for the proposed development were added to the 2024/2029 base (no-build) condition traffic volumes to develop 2024/2029 projected (build) condition traffic volumes.

Projected (build) condition traffic volumes for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 23-25.** Traffic volume development worksheets are contained in **Appendix F**.

# LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR AN INTERSECTION

For analysis of intersections, level of service is defined in terms of delay, which is a measure of driver discomfort and frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time. LOS criteria is stated in terms of control delay per vehicle for a one-hour analysis period. Control delay includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. The criteria are shown in **Table 10**. Delay, as it relates to level of service, is a complex measure and is dependent upon a number of variables. For signalized intersections, these variables include the quality of vehicle progression, the cycle length, the green time ratio, and the volume/capacity ratio for the lane group in question. For unsignalized intersections, delay is related to the availability of gaps in the flow of traffic on the major street and the driver's discretion in selecting an appropriate gap for a particular movement from the minor street (straight across, left, or right turn).

#### TABLE 10 LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA UNSIGNALIZED AND SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS<sup>1</sup>

	Control Delay Per Vehicle (Seconds)					
	Signalized	Unsignalized				
А	< 10	< 10				
В	> 10 and < 20	> 10 and < 15				
С	> 20 and < 35	> 15 and < 25				
D	> 35 and < 55	> 25 and < 35				
E	> 55 and < 80	> 35 and < 50				
F	> 80 or v/c > 1.0	> 50 or v/c > 1.0				

1 = Obtained from Exhibits 19-8 and 20-2 of the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual 6<sup>th</sup> Edition

# **CAPACITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY**

Capacity analyses were conducted for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours at the study area intersections. These analyses were conducted according to the methodologies contained in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) 6<sup>th</sup> Edition using *Synchro* version 11.1, build 1, revision 6 software, a Trafficware product.

The following conditions were analyzed, as applicable:

- » Existing conditions.
- » 2024/2029 Base conditions (Full Build-Out Year and 5 years after Full Build-Out without development).
- » 2024/2029 Projected conditions (Full Build-Out Year and 5 years after Full Build-Out with development).

The capacity analysis worksheets are included in Appendix G.

The following items should be noted with respect to the capacity analyses:

The Pennsylvania default values for signalized intersections in a suburban land use context contained in Chapter 10 of PennDOT's *Publication 46* were utilized for the base saturation flow rate (1800 pcphpl), start-up lost time (2.5 seconds), extension of effective green time (3.5 seconds) and number of left turn sneakers (2 vehicles).

- The Pennsylvania default values for two-way stop controlled intersections in a suburban land use context contained in Chapter 10 of PennDOT's *Publication 46* were utilized for the base critical headway and base follow-up headways. Worksheets related to the calculated critical and follow-up headways are included at the beginning of **Appendix G**.
- Per PennDOT standards, the signal timings at the study area intersections were optimized under the base (no-build) and projected (build) conditions.
- » The heavy vehicle percentages at the study area intersections were calculated, as applicable, to account for the additional truck traffic generated by the proposed development. The calculated heavy vehicles percentages are included at the beginning of **Appendix G**.
- The capacity analyses for the existing conditions utilized the traffic signal permit plans included in Appendix H. Based on correspondence with PennDOT, the following should be noted with respect to the existing traffic signal permit plans:
  - Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309) and Blackman Street (SR 2005)/I-81 SB Off-Ramp: Utilized the signal plan indicating Revision 3 dated 4/20 based on the recently completed Burger King project and associated improvements which included lengthening the northbound and eastbound left-turn lanes to 275' and 380', respectively.
  - Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309) and Coal Street/Highland Park Boulevard (SR 2063): Utilized a cycle length of 128 seconds in the AM peak period and 86 seconds in the PM peak period based on field verification of timings. These cycle lengths reflect coordination with other signals in the system which includes the signalized intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309) and Sheetz Driveway/Shopping Center Driveway.
- The capacity analyses for the base (no-build) and projected (build) conditions utilized the traffic signal permit plans included in **Appendix E** for the SR 309 Safety Improvement Project.

Per the approved TIS Scoping Application, separate capacity analyses are included in **Appendix M** for the intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309) and Blackman Street (SR 2005)/I-81 SB Off-Ramp that include the programmed PennDOT project that includes dual left-turn lanes from the I-81 SB Off-Ramp Wilkes-Barre Township (SR 6309).

## **PennDOT Standards**

The capacity analyses were conducted in accordance with the below noted standards contained in Appendix A - Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017:

- Page 32 of the Guidelines state that if evaluation of the With Development Horizon Year Scenario to the Without Development Horizon Year Scenario indicates that the overall intersection level of service has dropped, the applicant will be required to mitigate the level of service if the increase in overall intersection delay is greater than 10-seconds. If the overall intersection delay increase is less than or equal to 10-seconds, mitigation of the intersection will not be required. If the intersection level of service meets the level of service requirements, applicants may still be required to provide mitigation to address critical lanes or approaches. For locations where the level of service of the design horizon year without the development is LOS F and with development, the delay increases more than 10 seconds, the remedies shall provide an estimated delay which will be no worse than the delay for the design year without the development.
- Page 33 of the Guidelines state that for mitigation scenarios, applicants are expected to mitigate the overall intersection LOS to the original Without Development LOS; the 10-second delay variance is not applied to mitigation scenarios. Applicants may be required to address available storage and queue lengths at critical movements or approaches even if the overall LOS requirements are met.

- » Page 34 of the Guidelines state that if signalization is the preferred alternative for mitigation, overall intersection LOS C in rural areas and LOS D in urban areas is acceptable.
- » Page 35 of the Guidelines states new signalized or unsignalized intersections established to serve as access to the development shall be designed to operate at minimum LOS C for rural areas, and minimum LOS D for urban areas.

# LEVELS OF SERVICE IN THE STUDY AREA

Levels of service (LOS) at the study area intersections for the weekday A.M. Adjacent Street, weekday A.M. Generator, and weekday P.M. Generator peak hours are summarized in matrix form in **Tables 11-13** for the existing conditions, 2024/2029 base (no-build) conditions, and 2024/2029 projected (build) conditions.

		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street					
	Approach/		Full Build-Out/Design Year				
Intersection	Movement	Existing		(2024/2029)			
		Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected		
			Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>		
	EBL	C	D	D			
	EB R	A	A	A			
	WB L	C	C	В			
Wilkes-Barre Township	WB T	C	D	D			
Boulevard &	WB R	A	A	A			
Blackman Street/	NBL/LL	В	D	D			
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	NB TT	A	В	В			
	SB TT	С	С	C			
	SB R	A	A	A			
	ILOS	B (16.2)	C (25.0)	C (25.4)			
	EB LT	C	C	C			
	EB R	<u> </u>	A	A			
	WB LTR	D	С	С			
Wilkes-Barre Township	NB L		A	A			
Boulevard & Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway	NB T	А	А	А			
	NB R		А	А			
	SB L		А	А			
	SB T	В	А	А			
	SB R		А	А			
	ILOS	A (1.9)	A (9.7)	A (9.8)			
	EB L	С	С	С			
	EB TR	С	С	С			
Million Dama Taumahin	WB LTR	С	С	С			
Wilkes-Barre Township	NB L	А	А	А			
	NB TR	А	А	А			
Dark & Pide Lot	SB L	А	А	A			
	SB T	А	А	А			
	SB R	А	А	А			
	ILOS	A (8.4)	A (6.7)	A (6.7)			
	EB LTR	С	С	С			
	WB LT	С	С	С			
	WB R	А	А	А			
Wilkes-Barre Township	NB L	А	А	А			
Boulevard &	NB TT	А	А	А			
Sheetz Driveway/	NB R	A	A	A			
Shopping Center Driveway	SB L	А	А	А			
	SB TTR	A	A	A			
	ILOS	A (8.0)	A (8.0)	A (7.9)			

# TABLE 11 LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY A.M. ADJACENT STREET

Base = No-Build scenarioProjected = Build scenarioILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street					
Intersection	Approach/ Movement	Existing	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)				
		Conditions	Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjace           Full Build-Out/Design (2024/2029)           Conditions         Base (2024/2029)           Conditions         Base (2024/2029)           D         Base (2024/2029)           Conditions         Projected (2024/2029)           D         D         D           D         D         D           E (59.0)         E (58.6)         E (58.5)           D         D         D         D           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           A         A         A         A           B         B         B         B         B           C         C         C         B         B           A <th< th=""><th>Projected Conditions<sup>1</sup></th></th<>	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>			
	EB L	D	D	D			
	EB TTR	E (59.0)	E (58.6)	E (58.5)			
	WB L	D	D	D			
	WB TT	D	D	D			
Wilkes-Barre Township	WB R	A	A	A			
Boulevard &	NB L	В	В	В			
Highland Park Boulovard	NB TT	A	A	A			
	NB R	A	A	A			
	SB L	С	В	В			
	SB TTR	С	С	С			
	ILOS	C (28.4)	C (29.0)	C (29.1)			
	EB LTR	А	А	А			
Johnson Street 9	WB LTR	A	A	A			
Johnson Street &	NB L	A	A	A			
	SB L	A	A	A			
	ILOS	A (1.9)	A (1.7)	A (2.0)			
Johnson Street 9	WB L			А			
Polocated Allan Poad	NB LR			А			
Relocated Allall Rodu	ILOS			A (1.1)			

## TABLE 11 (CONTINUED) LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY A.M. ADJACENT STREET

Base = No-Build scenario Projected = Build scenario ILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service 1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator						
	Approach/		Full Build-Out/Design Year					
Intersection	Movement	Existing		(2024/2029)				
		Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected			
			Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>			
	EB L	С	D	D				
	EB R	A	A	A				
	WB L	С	В	В				
Wilkes-Barre Township	WB T	С	D	D				
Boulevard &	WB R	A	A	A				
Blackman Street/	NB L / LL	В	С	С				
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	NB TT	В	В	В				
	SB TT	С	С	С				
	SB R	A	A	A				
	ILOS	B (17.2)	C (25.7)	C (26.8)				
	EB LT	C	С	С				
	EB R	EBR		A				
	WB LTR	D	С	С				
Wilkes-Barre Township	NB L		A	A				
Boulevard & Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway	NB T	А	А	А				
	NB R		А	А				
	SB L		А	А				
	SB T	В	А	А				
	SB R		А	А				
	ILOS	A (1.9)	A (9.8)	A (9.9)				
	EB L	С	С	С				
	EB TR	С	С	С				
Willias Darra Taurahin	WB LTR	С	С	С				
Reulevard &	NB L	А	А	А				
	NB TR	А	А	А				
Park & Ride Lot	SB L	А	А	А				
	SB T	А	А	А				
	SB R	А	А	А				
	ILOS	A (7.7)	A (7.0)	A (7.0)				
	EB LTR	С	С	С				
	WB LT	С	С	С				
Million Down Township	WB R	А	А	А				
wilkes-Barre Township	NB L	А	А	А				
Boulevard &	NB TT	А	А	А				
Shopping Center Drivoway	NB R	А	А	А				
	SB L	А	А	А				
	SB TTR	А	А	А				
	ILOS	A (7.6)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)				

#### TABLE 12 LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY A.M. GENERATOR

Base = No-Build scenarioProjected = Build scenarioILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

		Week	Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Ge				
Intersection	Approach/ Movement	Existing	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)				
		Conditions	lay A.M. Peak Hour of GeFull Build-Out/DesCO24/2029BaseProjectedConditionsConditionsDDE (58.2)E (58.3)DDDDDDAABBAAAABBCCC (28.9)C (29.0)AAA <th>Projected Conditions</th> <th>Projected Conditions<sup>1</sup></th>	Projected Conditions	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>		
	EB L	D	D	D			
	EB TTR	E (58.0)	E (58.2)	E (58.3)			
	WB L	D	D	D			
	WB TT	D	D	D			
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard &	WB R	А	А	А			
	NB L	В	В	В			
Lighland Dark Poulovard	NB TT	А	А	А			
Fighland Fark boulevalu	NB R	А	А	А			
	SB L	В	В	В			
	SB TTR	В	С	С			
	ILOS	C (28.9)	C (28.9)	C (29.0)			
	EB LTR	А	А	А			
	WB LTR	А	А	А			
Jonnson Street &	NB L	А	А	А			
	SB L	A	А	А			
	ILOS	A (1.0)	A (0.9)	A (1.6)			
Johnson Street 9:	WB L			А			
Jonnson Street &	NB LR			А			
Relocated Allan Road	ILOS			A (1.0)			

## TABLE 12 (CONTINUED) LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY A.M. GENERATOR

Base = No-Build scenario Projected = Build scenario ILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service 1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

		Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator					
	Approach/		Full Build-Out/Design Year				
Intersection	Movement	Existing		(2024/2029)			
		Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected		
			Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>		
	EB L	D	D	D	D		
	EB R	A	A	A	A		
	WB L	C	C	C	C		
Wilkes-Barre Township	WB T	D	D	D	D		
Boulevard &	WB R	A	A	A	A		
Blackman Street/	NB L / LL	С	D	D	D		
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	NB TT	В	В	В	В		
	SB TT	С	D	D	D		
	SB R	A	A	A	A		
	ILOS	C (29.4)	D (35.5)	D (38.1)	D (38.1)		
	EB LT	F (65 9)	D	D	D		
	EB R		A	A	A		
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard & Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway	WB LTR	F (280.0)	D	D	D		
	NB L		A	В	В		
	NB T	В	A	A	A		
	NB R		A	A	A		
	SB L		A	A	A		
	SB T	BT B	A	В	В		
	SB R		A	A	A		
	ILOS	B (12.0)	A (9.8)	B (13.9)	B (13.8)		
	EB L	C	D	D	D		
	EB TR	С	С	С	С		
Wilkos-Barro Townshin	WB LTR	C	C	C	C		
Boulevard &	NB L	В	A	A	A		
Casev Avenue/	NB TR	A	A	A	A		
Park & Ride Lot	SB L	A	A	A	A		
	SB T	A	В	В	В		
	SB R	А	А	А	A		
	ILOS	B (10.4)	B (11.3)	B (11.4)	B (11.3)		
	EB LTR	С	С	С	С		
	WB LT	D	C	C	С		
Wilkos Barro Township	WB R	A	A	A	A		
Boulovard &	NB L	A	A	A	A		
Sheetz Driveway/	NB TT	В	В	В	В		
Shopping Center Driveway	NB R	Α	Α	Α	А		
suppling center briveway	SB L	А	А	А	А		
	SB TTR	А	А	А	А		
	ILOS	B (10.6)	B (10.4)	B (10.5)	B (10.5)		

#### TABLE 13 LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY P.M. GENERATOR

Base = No-Build scenarioProjected = Build scenarioILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

		Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator					
Intersection	Approach/ Movement	Existing	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)				
		Conditions	Base Conditions	Projected Conditions	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>		
	EB L	С	С	С	С		
	EB TTR	F (321.7)	D	D	D		
	WB L	С	D	D	D		
W/II D T	WB TT	С	С	С	С		
Wilkes-Barre Township	WB R	А	А	А	А		
Coal Street (	NB L	В	С	С	С		
Lighland Park Boulovard	NB TT	В	В	В	В		
Highland Fark boulevard	NB R	А	А	А	А		
	SB L	В	С	С	С		
	SB TTR	С	С	С	С		
	ILOS	F (92.8)	C (31.9)	C (32.3)	C (32.3)		
	EB LTR	А	А	А	А		
Labora Charat Or	WB LTR	А	А	А	А		
Jonnson Street &	NB L	А	А	А	А		
Haul Koad	SB L	А	А	А	А		
	ILOS	A (0.7)	A (0.7)	A (2.9)	A (2.9)		
Johnson Street 9:	WB L			А	А		
Johnson Street &	NB LR			А	А		
Relocated Allan Road	ILOS			A (2.0)	A (2.0)		

# TABLE 13 (CONTINUED)LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY (DELAY): WEEKDAY P.M. GENERATOR

Base = No-Build scenario Projected = Build scenario ILOS = Overall Intersection Level of Service 1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements

As summarized in **Tables 11-13**, under the 2024/2029 projected (build) conditions, the study area intersections will operate in accordance with the standards contained in Appendix A - Policies and Procedures for Transportation Impact Studies Related to Highway Occupancy Permits of PennDOT *Publication 282*, dated July 2017.

# 95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS

95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue analyses were conducted at the study area intersections using SimTraffic based on the following methodology:

- » Calibration settings: 3 intervals, as follows:
  - o 10-minute seeding.
  - o 15-minute recording with PHF Adjust set to "Yes" and the AntiPHF Adjust set to "No".
  - o 45-minute recording with PHF Adjust set to "No" and the AntiPHF Adjust set to "Yes".
- » Results based on average of 5 simulations runs.

The SimTraffic queue analysis worksheets are included in **Appendix I**, and the results are summarized in **Tables 14-16** for the analyzed conditions and time periods.

TABLE 1495<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS: WEEKDAY A.M. ADJACENT STREET

						Weekd	ay A.M. Peak	Hour of Adjace	nt Street
Intersection	Approach/	Existing	Base	Projected	PennDOT Pub. 46	Existing	Full F	3uild-Out/Desig (2024/2029)	n Year
	wovement	Storage	Storage	Storage	Storage	Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected
							Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>
	EB L	380′	Same	Same	250′	87'	182'	206'	
	EB R	1,000'+2	Same	Same	350′	0'	25'	14'	
	WB L	180′	Same	Same	525′	192'	217'	220'	
	WB T	750'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		123'	149'	143'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB R	180′	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Townsnip Roulovard &	NB L	275′	275′	Same	2751	138'	236'	226'	
Blackman Street/	NB L		275′	Same	575		258'	254'	
I-81 SB Off-Bamp	NB T	900/2	Samo	Samo		164'	179'	176'	
	NB T	800 -	Same	Same		127'	136'	152'	
	SB T	1200/2	Como	Sama		114'	142'	139'	
	SB T	1200 -	Same	Same		112'	141'	134'	
	SB R	225′	Same	Same	200'	0'	0'	0'	
	EB LT	0'	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same		671	153'	125'	
	EB R	0	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same		07	19'	13'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB LTR	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		50'	49'	88'	
l ownship	NB L	TWTL	150'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	200′	27'	106'	103'	
Boulevard &	NB T	1200′²	Same	Same		<u>۸</u> '	182'	178'	
Plackman Dlaza	NB R		100′	Same	150'	4	38'	60'	
	SB L	TWTL	110'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	75′	23'	39'	59'	
Diffeway	SB T	735′ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		20'	214'	200'	
	SB R		150′	Same	200'		57'	46'	
	EB L	125′	250'	Same		133'	190'	170'	
	EB TR	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		35'	39'	38'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB LTR		Same	Same		6'	11'	4'	
Townsnip Roulovard &	NB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	75′	33'	49'	21'	
	NB TR	735′ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		202'	221'	199'	
Dark & Rida Lot	SB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Faik & Ride Lot	SB T	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		112'	143'	159'	
	SB R	125′	Same	Same	75′	30'	33'	43'	
	EB LTR		Same	Same		91'	79'	80'	
	WB LT		Same	Same		80'	76'	85'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB R		Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Township	NB L	100′	Same	Same	150′	41'	53'	47'	
Boulevard &	NB T	750/2	Come	Corres		125'	138'	142'	
Sheetz Driveway/	NB T	750'2	Same	Same		117'	119'	114'	
Shopping Center	NB R	185′	Same	Same	275′	0'	0'	0'	
Driveway	SB L	235′	Same	Same	225′	48'	51'	54'	
	SB T	600/2	Corre	Corres		145'	161'	176'	
	SB TR	600.5	Same	Same	175′	58'	55'	66'	

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

*2* = *Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate* 

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

		TAB	LE 14 (CON	ΓINUED)		
95 <sup>™</sup>	PERCENTILE	QUEUE	ANALYSIS:	WEEKDAY	A.M.	GENERATOR

		Existing Storage		Projected Storage <sup>1</sup>		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Adjacent Street				
Intersection	Approach/ Movement		Base Storage		PennDOT Pub. 46	Existing Conditions	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)			
	Movement				Storage		Base Conditions	Projected Conditions	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>	
	EB L	235'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	150'	81'	76'	76'		
	EB T	400'2	Samo	Samo		170'	195'	186'		
	EB TR	400 -	Same	Same	200′	195'	212'	195'		
	WB L	650'	Same	Same	275′	164'	162'	171'		
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard &	WB T	1,000'+2	Same	Same		135'	137'	145'		
	WB T					114'	107'	109'		
	WB R	200′	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'		
Coal Street/	NB L	300′	Same	Same	600′	251'	327'	289'		
Highland Park	NB T	600'2	Samo	Same		102'	215'	134'		
Boulevard	NB T		Same			119'	141'	121'		
	NB R	600' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same	550′	0'	0'	0'		
	SB L	125′	Same	Same	175′	22'	32'	28'		
	SB T	1,000'+2	Como	Same		118'	134'	155'		
	SB TR		Same			92'	86'	108'		
	EB LTR					0'	0'	0'		
Johnson Street &	WB LTR					54'	55'	69'		
Haul Road	NB L	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	8'		
	SB L	1,000'+2	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'		
Johnson Street &	WB L			1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>				6'		
Relocated Allan Road	NB LR							56'		

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

2 = Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

TABLE 1595<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS: WEEKDAY A.M. GENERATOR

	Approach/		Base Storage	Projected Storage <sup>1</sup>		Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator			
Intersection		Existing Storage			PennDOT Pub. 46	Existing	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)		
		Storage	Storage	Storage	Storage	Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected
							Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>
	EB L	380′	Same	Same	250'	118'	246'	239'	
	EB R	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same	350′	0'	0'	22'	
	WB L	180′	Same	Same	525′	150'	178'	188'	
Willoc Parro	WB T	750'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		124'	120'	153'	
Township	WB R	180′	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Boulevard &	NB L	275′	275′	Same	375′	100'	170'	178'	
Blackman Street/	NB L		275′	Same	515		200'	202'	
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	NB T	800'2	Samo	Samo		143'	152'	149'	
r or se on ramp	NB T	000	Same	Same		102'	101'	105'	
	SB T	1200'2	Samo	Samo		125'	160'	155'	
	SB T	1200	Same	Same		126'	160'	154'	
	SB R	225′	Same	Same	200′	0'	0'	0'	
Wilkes-Barre Township	EB LT	- 0'	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same		50'	140'	139'	
	EB R		150′ <sup>2</sup>	Same		59	47'	13'	
	WB LTR	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		65'	68'	106'	
	NB L	TWTL	150'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	200′	29'	88'	75'	
boulevalu &	NB T	1200' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		10'	123'	129'	
Blackman Plaza	NB R		100′	Same	150'	10	37'	36'	
Driveway	SB L	TWTL	110'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	75′	7'	21'	78'	
Diffeway	SB T	735′ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		20'	215'	230'	
	SB R		150′	Same	200′	20	45'	57'	
	EB L	125′	250′	Same		150'	203'	209'	
Williag Darro	EB TR	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		27'	23'	26'	
Township	WB LTR		Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Boulovard &	NB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	75′	16'	24'	15'	
	NB TR	735' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		154'	195'	200'	
Park & Ride Lot	SB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
	SB T	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		126'	181'	169'	
	SB R	125′	Same	Same	75′	26'	39'	54'	
	EB LTR		Same	Same		92'	89'	84'	
	WB LT		Same	Same		75'	79'	87'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB R		Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	
Township	NB L	100′	Same	Same	150′	45'	45'	43'	
Boulevard &	NB T	750/2	Samo	Samo		101'	104'	103'	
Sheetz Driveway	NB T	750-	Same	Same		113'	136'	136'	
/Shopping Center	NB R	185′	Same	Same	275′	0'	0'	0'	
Driveway	SB L	235'	Same	Same	225′	55'	57'	54'	
	SB T	600/2	Sama	Sama		166'	186'	197'	
	SB TR	000-	Same	Same	175′	52'	56'	66'	

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

*2* = *Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate* 

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

TABLE 15 (CONTINUED)										
95 <sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE	QUEUE ANALYSIS: WEEKDAY A.M. GENERA	TOR								

Intersection	Approach/ Movement	Existing Storage	g Base e Storage	Projected Storage <sup>1</sup>	PennDOT Pub. 46 Storage	Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator				
						Existing Conditions	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)			
							Base Conditions	Projected Conditions	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>	
	EB L	235'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	150′	99'	92'	100'		
	EB T	400/2	Samo	Same		193'	221'	203'		
	EB TR	400	Same		200′	206'	233'	205'		
	WB L	650′	Same	Same	275′	123'	147'	156'		
Wilkes-Barre	WB T	1,000'+2	Same	Same		129'	123'	135'		
Township Boulevard &	WB T					99'	88'	99'		
	WB R	200′	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'		
Coal Street/	NB L	300′	Same	Same	600′	167'	189'	191'		
Highland Park	NB T	- 600' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		100'	116'	113'		
Boulevard	NB T					108'	119'	121'		
	NB R	600' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same	550′	0'	91'	0'		
	SB L	125′	Same	Same	175′	45'	49'	41'		
	SB T	1,000'+2	Same	Same		159'	179'	181'		
	SB TR					108'	139'	142'		
	EB LTR					0'	0'	0'		
Johnson Street &	WB LTR					43'	42'	59'		
Haul Road	NB LR <sup>3</sup>	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'		
	SB LR <sup>3</sup>	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'		
Johnson Street &	WB LT <sup>3</sup>			1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>				4'		
Relocated Allan Road	NB LR							47'		

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

*2* = *Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate* 

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

TABLE 1695<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS: WEEKDAY P.M. GENERATOR

	Approach/	Existing		Projected		Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator				
Intersection			Base		PennDOT Pub. 46	Existing	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)			
	Wovement	Storage	Storage	Storage	Storage	Conditions	Base	Projected	Projected	
							Conditions	Conditions	Conditions <sup>1</sup>	
	EB L	380′	Same	Same	250′	235'	213'	238'	242'	
	EB R	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same	350′	429'	323'	379'	350'	
	WB L	180′	Same	Same	525′	315'	317'	317'	319'	
	WB T	750'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		435'	652'	664'	526'	
WIIKes-Barre	WB R	180′	Same	Same		58'	83'	143'	58'	
Township Roulevard &	NB L	275′	275′	Same	2751	143'	139'	163'	157'	
Blackman Street/	NB L		275′	Same	575		176'	198'	193'	
I-81 SB Off-Ramp	NB T	<b>900</b> /2	Samo	Sama		180'	188'	198'	195'	
	NB T	800 -	Same	Same		136'	157'	161'	166'	
	SB T	1200/2	Samo	Sama		282'	441'	429'	437'	
	SB T	1200 -	Same	Same		288'	441'	437'	443'	
	SB R	225′	Same	Same	200′	111'	434'	435'	430'	
Wilkes-Barre	EB LT	- 0'	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same		1 / 1	124'	200'	113'	
	EB R		150′ <sup>2</sup>	Same		141	276'	318'	241'	
	WB LTR	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		96'	90'	571'	175'	
Township Reviewend St	NB L	TWTL	150'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	200'	50'	137'	146'	143'	
Boulevard &	NB T	1200' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	217'	239'	223'	
Blackman Blaza	NB R		100′	Same	150'		82'	114'	91'	
	SB L	TWTL	110'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	75'	34'	40'	75'	76'	
Driveway	SB T	725'2	Same	Same		0'	407'	396'	348'	
	SB R	755 -	150′	Same	200′	0	120'	145'	115'	
	EB L	125′	250'	Same		201'	275'	280'	248'	
	EB TR	150' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		224'	75'	90'	79'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB LTR		Same	Same		25'	34'	35'	32'	
Township Boulovard &	NB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	75′	39'	61'	45'	44'	
	NB TR	735′ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		181'	211'	249'	201'	
Park & Ride Lot	SB L	125'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same		10'	14'	15'	15'	
Faik & Nuce Lot	SB T	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		219'	426'	319'	432'	
	SB R	125′	Same	Same	75′	113'	190'	187'	220'	
	EB LTR		Same	Same		90'	93'	84'	100'	
	WB LT		Same	Same		232'	219'	235'	205'	
Wilkes-Barre	WB R		Same	Same		122'	95'	112'	65'	
Township	NB L	100′	Same	Same	150′	63'	64'	78'	76'	
Boulevard &	NB T	750/2	Como	Como		153'	169'	180'	165'	
Sheetz Driveway/	NB T	750 -	Same	Same		197'	208'	216'	211'	
Shopping Center	NB R	185′	Same	Same	275′	0'	53'	53'	77'	
Driveway	SB L	235′	Same	Same	225′	153'	198'	178'	185'	
	SB T	600/2	Sama	Como		303'	381'	362'	367'	
	SB TR	600 -	Same	Same	175′	187'	245'	234'	244'	

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

*2* = *Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate* 

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

	Approach/ Movement	Existing Storage	Base Storage	Projected Storage <sup>1</sup>	PennDOT Pub. 46 Storage	Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator				
Intersection						Existing Conditions	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)			
							Base Conditions	Projected Conditions	Projected Conditions <sup>1</sup>	
	EB L	235'+ <sup>3</sup>	Same	Same	150′	433'	169'	181'	150'	
	EB T	400/2	Samo	C		1056'	291'	325'	290'	
	EB TR	400 -	Same	Same	200′	1062'	329'	346'	302'	
	WB L	650′	Same	Same	275′	214'	310'	297'	341'	
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard &	WB T	1,000′+²	Same	Same		183'	167'	162'	246'	
	WB T					165'	161'	147'	200'	
	WB R	200'	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	0'	
Coal Street/	NB L	300′	Same	Same	600′	213'	300'	275'	276'	
Highland Park	NB T	600' <sup>2</sup>	Samo	Same		121'	209'	187'	148'	
Boulevard	NB T		Same			266'	164'	165'	139'	
	NB R	600' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same	550′	132'	0'	0'	0'	
	SB L	125′	Same	Same	175′	81'	181'	183'	181'	
	SB T	1 000' +2	Same	Same		222'	392'	304'	328'	
	SB TR	1,000 +				184'	335'	269'	277'	
	EB LTR					0'	0'	0'	0'	
Johnson Street &	WB LTR					30'	31'	79'	67'	
Haul Road	NB LR <sup>3</sup>	700' <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	0'	0'	
	SB LR <sup>3</sup>	1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>	Same	Same		0'	0'	8'	0'	
Johnson Street & Relocated Allan Road	WB LT <sup>3</sup>			1,000'+ <sup>2</sup>				46'	0'	
	NB LR							150'	52'	

#### TABLE 16 (CONTINUED) 95<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE QUEUE ANALYSIS: WEEKDAY P.M. GENERATOR

Base = No-Build scenario Projected = Build scenario

1 = Projected conditions with implementation of recommended improvements as applicable

2 = Distance to nearest public street intersection or mainline interstate

3 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

= 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue exceeds available storage

As summarized in **Tables 14-16**, under the 2024/2029 projected (build) conditions, with implementation of the recommended improvements, all of the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queues will be accommodated within the available storage length, with the following exception of the following:

## Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Blackman Street/I-81 Southbound Off-Ramp

- The westbound left-turn from the I-81 SB Off-Ramp; Available Storage = 180'; Maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length = 319'. PennDOT's programmed I-81 SB Ramp G project includes the addition of a second left-turn lane on the I-81 SB Off-Ramp, resulting in dual left-turn lanes each with 300 feet of storage per lane. As summarized in Appendix M, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length for the subject movement will be accommodated by the dual-left-turn lanes. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement.
- The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn; Available Storage = 225'; Maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length = 430'. Based on a review of the SimTraffic simulation, the noted 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length is the result of the queue for the southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard through lanes extending beyond the subject right-turn lane, thus preventing vehicles from entering the right-turn lane. TPD evaluated potential traffic signal timing adjustments, however it was determined it is

not feasible to reduce the queue length for the through lanes such that they do not block the rightturn lane. It also is not feasible to lengthen the subject right-turn lane without impacting the driveways and parking for multiple commercial business along Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard.

## Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Casey Avenue/Park & Ride Lot

» The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn; Available Storage = 125'; Maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length = 220'. Based on a review of the SimTraffic simulation, the noted 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length is the result of the queue for the southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard through lane extending beyond the subject right-turn lane, thus preventing vehicles from entering the right-turn lane. TPD evaluated potential traffic signal timing adjustments, however it was determined it is not feasible to reduce the queue length for the through lanes such that they do not block the right-turn lane. It also is not feasible to lengthen the subject right-turn lane as a result of the steep slope off of the edge of roadway that is currently protected by guiderail. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement.

## Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Coal Street/Highland Park Boulevard

» The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard left-turn; Available Storage = 125'; Maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length = 181'. TPD evaluated potential traffic signal timing adjustments, however it was determined it is not feasible to reduce the queue length to be accommodated by the available storage. It also is not feasible to lengthen the subject left-turn lane since it is constrained by the back-to-back left-turn lane at Raco Drive. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement.

# **AUXILIARY TURN LANE ANALYSIS**

## Methodology

TPD evaluated auxiliary turn lane warrants at the study intersections, as applicable. The warrant analysis was conducted according to the methodologies contained in Chapter 11 of PennDOT's *Publication 46* utilizing the posted speed limits. The auxiliary turn lane warrant analysis worksheets are contained in **Appendix J**.

## **Findings**

**Table 17** summarizes the results of the auxiliary turn lane analysis.
	TABLE 17	
AUXILIARY TURN	LANE ANALYSIS	SUMMARY

	Auvilian	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)				
Intersection	Lane	Additional Site Traffic <sup>1</sup>	Warrant Satisfied?	Warranted Length	Provided Length <sup>2</sup>	Maximum Queue Length <sup>3</sup>
	EB Left	13-27	Yes	250′	380'	242'
	EB Right	0	Yes	350'	1,000'+4	350′
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard &	WB Left	0	Yes	525′	180'/ <b>300'-300' (dual)</b>	319'
Blackman Street/ I-81 SB Off-Ramp	WB Right	14-28	No		180′/ <b>165′</b>	58′
	NB Left	0	Yes	375′	275'-275' (dual)	226'-254'
	SB Right	2-28	Yes	200'	225'	430′
	EB Left	0	No		150'	139′
	EB Right	0	No			241'
William Dama Taumahin	WB Left	13-56	No			175′
Boulevard &	WB Right	4-44	No			175′
Johnson Street/	NB Left	0	Yes	200′	150'+ <sup>5</sup>	143′
Blackman Plaza Driveway	NB Right	41-67	Yes	150′	100′	91′
	SB Left	11-24	Yes	75′	110'+5	78′
	SB Right	0	Yes	200′	150′	115′
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard & Casey Avenue/	EB Left	0	No		250'	248′
	EB Right	0	No			79′
	WB Left	0	No			32′
	WB Right	0	No			32′
	NB Left	0	Yes	75′	125′+ <sup>5</sup>	44'
Park & Ride Lot	NB Right	0	No			201′
	SB Left	0	No		125'+ <sup>5</sup>	15′
	SB Right	0	Yes	75′	125′	220′
	EB Left	0	No			100′
	EB Right	0	No			100′
Willias Darra Taunahin	WB Left	0	No			205′
Wilkes-Barre Lownship Boulevard &	WB Right	0	No		150′	65′
Sheetz Driveway/	NB Left	0	Yes	150′	100'	76′
Shopping Center Driveway	NB Right	0	Yes	275′	185′	77'
	SB Left	0	Yes	225′	235'	185′
	SB Right	0	Yes	175′	0'	244′

1 = During Analyzed Peak Hours

2 = Base (No-Build) Length / Projected (Build) Length with PennDOT Ramp G Project

3 = Based on 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile Queue Lengths Summarized in Tables 15-17 for the 2024/2029 Projected (Build) Conditions

4 = Blackman Street Through Lane Becomes Right-Turn Lane at Signal

5 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

#### TABLE 17 (CONTINUED) AUXILIARY TURN LANE ANALYSIS SUMMARY

	Auviliany	Full Build-Out/Design Year (2024/2029)				
Intersection	Lane	Additional Site Traffic <sup>1</sup>	Warrant Satisfied?	Warranted Length	Provided Length <sup>2</sup>	Maximum Queue Length <sup>3</sup>
	EB Left	0	Yes	150′	235'+ <sup>5</sup>	150′
	EB Right	2-4	Yes	200'	0′	302′
Willias Darra Taunshin	WB Left	3-6	Yes	275'	650'	341′
Boulevard &	WB Right	0	No		200'	0′
Coal Street/	NB Left	1-5	Yes	600'	300'	289′
Highland Park Boulevard	NB Right	3-25	Yes	550′	650'	99'
	SB Left	0	Yes	175′	125′	181′
	SB Right	0	No			277′
Johnson Street &	WB Left	9-52	No			69'
	WB Right	1-3	No			69'
Haul Road	NB Right	21-45	No			8′
	SB Left	1-2	No			0′
Johnson Street & Relocated Allan Road	EB Right	31-46	No			0′
	WB Left	1-2	No			6′
	NB Left	8-48	No			56'
	NB Right	2	No			56'

1 = During Analyzed Peak Hours

2 = Base (No-Build) Length / Projected (Build) Length with PennDOT Ramp G Project

3 = Based on 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile Queue Lengths Summarized in Tables 15-17 for the 2024/2029 Projected (Build) Conditions

4 = Blackman Street Through Lane Becomes Right-Turn Lane at Signal

5 = Notes dedicated storage length, however additional storage available via two-way turn lane

As summarized in **Table 17**, the warranted auxiliary turn lanes and associated lane lengths are provided at the study area intersections, with the exception of the following:

### Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway

- The northbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 150'; Provided lane length = 100'. PennDOT's SR 309 Safety Improvement project includes construction of the subject right-turn lane with 100' of available storage. Based on TPD's review it appears lengthening the subject right-turn lane to provide the 50' of additional storage is not feasible since the area of widening is within a steep slope off of the edge of roadway that is currently protected by guiderail, as well as the nearby branch of Spring Run Creek. It should be noted the 100' of available storage will accommodate the maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length.
- The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 200'; Provided lane length = 150'. PennDOT's SR 309 Safety Improvement project includes construction of the subject right-turn lane with 150' of available storage. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement, and the 150' of available storage will accommodate the maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length.

# Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Sheetz Driveway/ Shopping Center Driveway

- The northbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard left-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 150'; Provided lane length = 100'. Based on TPD's review it appears lengthening the subject left-turn lane to provide the 50' of additional storage is not feasible since the area of widening is within a steep slope off of the edge of roadway that is currently protected by guiderail, as well as a structure. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement, and the 100' of available storage will accommodate the maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length.
- The northbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 275'; Provided lane length = 185'. Based on TPD's review it appears lengthening the subject right-turn lane to provide the 90' of additional storage is not feasible since the area of widening is within a steep slope off of the edge of roadway that is currently protected by guiderail, as well as a structure. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement, and the 185' of available storage will accommodate the maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length.
- The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard right-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 175'; Provided lane length = Separate lane not provided. Based on TPD's review it appears construction of the subject right-turn lane is not feasible since the widening would impact several parking spaces within the Sheetz lot. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement.

# Wilkes-Barre Township Blvd & Coal Street/Highland Park Boulevard

- The eastbound Coal Street right-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 200'; Provided lane length = Separate lane not provided. Based on TPD's review it appears construction of the subject right-turn lane is not feasible since the widening would impact several parking spaces within the Advanced Auto lot, as well as a significant utility pole for high tension lines.
- The northbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard left-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 600'; Provided lane length = 300'. Based on the warranted lane length dual left-turn lanes would need to be considered. Based on TPD's review it appears construction of the dual left-turn lanes is not feasible since the widening would impact several parking spaces within the Advanced Auto and/or Sam's Club lots. It should be noted the 300' of available storage will accommodate the maximum 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue length.
- The southbound Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard left-turn lane; Warranted lane length = 175'; Provided lane length = 125'. Based on TPD's review it appears construction of the dual left-turn lanes is not feasible since the Based on TPD's review it appears lengthening the subject right-turn lane to provide the 50' of additional storage is not feasible since it is constrained by the back-to-back left-turn lane at Raco Drive. It should be noted the proposed development does not add any site-generated trips to this movement.

# **LEFT TURN SIGNAL PHASING**

## Methodology

TPD evaluated left-turn signal phasing at the intersection of Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard and Johnson Street/Blackman Plaza Driveway. The evaluation of left-turn phasing was conducted according to the methodologies contained in Chapter 3 of PennDOT's *Publication 149*.

PennDOT's Publication 149 states: "Traffic volumes are the most reliable and useful method of analyzing the need for special phasing for left-turning vehicles; however, consideration must be given to the delay experienced by left-turning vehicles, safety, characteristics of the traffic stream, roadway and intersection geometry, and the type of signal operation in the area or along the street. Therefore, the following criteria have been established with the realization that a complete study for the entire intersection will be a necessary part of any evaluation of the need for consideration of a protected left turn movement. This study shall discuss each of the following criteria and include a capacity analysis for both the existing and proposed signal consideration. The engineering study shall include calculations and evaluations as indicated below. The results of the engineering study and engineering judgment shall be used to determine the most appropriate intersection operation".

The left-turn phasing analysis worksheets are included in **Appendix K**.

# **Findings**

**Table 18** summarizes the results of the left-turn signal phasing analysis.

Intersection	Direction	Existing Left-Turn Phasing	Pub 149 Warrant Satisfied?	Recommended Left-Turn Phasing
Wilkes-Barre Township Boulevard & Johnson Street/ Blackman Plaza Driveway	EB	None	No	None
	WB	None	No	None
	NB	Protected/Permitted	Yes	Protected/Permitted
	SB	None	No	None

TABLE 18 LEFT-TURN SIGNAL PHASING ANALYSIS SUMMARY

# **SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS**

### Methodology

A preliminary traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted for the Haul Road and Relocated Allan Road intersections with Johnson Street in accordance with PennDOT Publication 212, *Official Traffic Control Devices*, Subchapter D, "Highway Traffic Signals".

TPD evaluated traffic volumes at the subject intersection to determine if the following warrants are anticipated to be satisfied based on the traffic volume projections for the full build-out of the proposed development (i.e. 2024/2029 projected conditions).

» Warrant 3, Peak Hour Volume Warrant.

All relevant signal warrant analysis worksheets and supporting documentation, including the signal warrant volume development calculations, are included in **Appendix L**.

# **Findings**

**Table 19** summarizes the results of the preliminary traffic signal warrant analysis.

TA	BLE 19		
PRELIMINARY TRAFFIC SIGNAL	WARRANT	ANALYSIS	SUMMARY

Intersection	Warrant	Warrant Satisfied?
Johnson Street & Haul Road	Warrant 3, Peak Hour Volume	No
Johnson Street & Relocated Allan Road	Warrant 3, Peak Hour Volume	No

# **RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

The recommendations and conclusions for this Transportation Impact Study are identified in the Executive Summary.



i \pwworking\projectwise\jzheng\di676229\2021-12-XX - Scoping Figures.dgn





A pwwork ing/projectwise/emountz/d167629\2022-04-28 \_ T15 Figures.dgn



3: \pwworking\projectwise\emountz\d167629\2022-04-28 - T1S Figures, dgn



3: \pwworking\projectwise\emountz\d167629\2022-04-28 - T1S Figures, dgn









>pwworking/projectwise/emountz/d1676229/2022-04-28 - T1S Figures.dgn 20/2023 7161110 MI 200020-000







\pwworking\projectwise\emountz\d1676229\2022-04-28 - T1S Figures.dgn



\pwworking\projectwise\emountz\d167629\2022-04-28 \_ TIS Figures.dgn



Apwwork ing/projectwise/emountz/d1676229/2022-04-28 - T1S Figures, dgn 20202000 - 2010 - 2





















: Dwwork ing/projectwise/emountz/d1676229\2022-04-28 \_ T15 Figures.dgn



# **APPENDIX A:** Project Correspondence

### Zheng, Jason

From:	Daryl Pawlush <darylpawlush@penneastern.com></darylpawlush@penneastern.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 10:43 AM
То:	Mountz, Eric; tomz150@live.com; mrevitt@verizon.net; Tom Barna
Cc:	Jeff Randolph; Zheng, Jason; Daryl Pawlush
Subject:	RE: TIS Scoping Application Approval - Bluecup Ventures, LLC - Wilkes Barre Township

**CAUTION:** External email - do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

We concur with PennDOT's comments.

From: Mountz, Eric <emountz@trafficpd.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 7:07 AM
To: tomz150@live.com; mrevitt@verizon.net; Daryl Pawlush <DarylPawlush@PennEastern.com>
Cc: Jeff Randolph <jeff.randolph@bluecup.ventures>; Zheng, Jason <jzheng@trafficpd.com>
Subject: TIS Scoping Application Approval - Bluecup Ventures, LLC - Wilkes Barre Township

Tom/Mike/Daryl,

Per the attached response letter, PennDOT has approved the attached revised TIS scoping meeting application dated 4/11/22 regarding the proposed warehouse development proposed by Bluecup Ventures, LLC along Johnson Street in Wilkes-Barre Township. I'd appreciate if you'd please confirm the Township is also in agreement with the contents of the application.

Thanks, Eric

Eric Mountz, P.E., PTOE, Regional Leader - Transportation Planning

TRAFFIC PLANNING AND DESIGN, INC. Moving Forward Together ® | An ENR 500 Top Design Firm

OFFICES SERVING THE EASTERN UNITED STATES O: 717.234.1430 | www.TrafficPD.com | f 🍎 in 🗹 🛱 💶

From: ePermitting Help <<u>penndotepermittinghelp@pa.gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2022 2:31 PM
To: tomz150@live.com; mrevitt@verizon.net; darylpawlush@penneastern.com
Cc: RA-PDEPSPROD@pa.gov; Mountz, Eric <<u>emountz@trafficpd.com</u>>; jgonzalo@pa.gov; jgonzalo@pa.gov; jopfeiffer@state.pa.us; pazipprich@pa.gov; rokretschm@pa.gov; tpichiarel@state.pa.us
Subject: [EXTERNAL]:ePermitting - Highway Occupancy Permit, Bridge Occupancy License, or Supplement Application Returned For Revision - Application : 261,894

Application: 261894		Cycle: 3		Returned Fo	r Revisions
Applicant Name:	Wilkes-Barre Township	District:	04	Permit Group:	НОР
Business Partner ID:		County:	Luzerne	Permit Type:	Driveway
Paper Application No:		Municipality:	WILKES BARRE T	Permit Sub type:	Local Road

We have completed our review and are returning your application for a permit, license, or supplement. Details of our response are available online. If you wish to pursue a permit, license, or supplement, you can revise and resubmit your application.

#### Click here to access the Application Response Letter

Or, after logging on, enter the application number listed above. You will be routed to the Application Information window. On that window, please click on the Response Letter link to view the details of our response.

PENNDOT EPERMIT - PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL



Date:	04/22/2022
Subject:	Highway Occupancy Permit Application No. 261894, Cycle No.3 - Returned For Revisions
То:	Wilkes-Barre Township 152 Watson Street Wilkes Barre Township, PA 18702
From:	PennDOT Engineering District 4-0 55 Keystone Industrial Park Dunmore, PA 18512

Dear Applicant,

PennDOT has reviewed your application for completeness, consistency and compliance with applicable Department Regulations. This review has identified issues that must be addressed in order for our review to continue.

The Department's review comments are attached.

Once the comments have been addressed, please resubmit the application and associated material for further review.

Upon resubmission, the applicant's engineer should put together a letter that describes how each comment has been addressed and where each can be found. This will help expedite the review. For guidance on HOP applications refer to 67 PA Code, Chapter 441, Chapter 459 and PennDOT Publication 282, "Highway Occupancy Permit Guidelines". Additional comments may follow upon review of the resubmitted application.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, you may contact Jeremiah Gonzalo EIT, District Permit Manager, at (570) 963-4067.



# Response Comments Date: 04/22/2022 Application Number: 261894, Cycle No.3

# Application

(1) The scoping application has been accepted. Please provide all items in the traffic study that were requested in the scoping meeting by Wilkes Barre Township including crash analysis, turn lane analysis, and level of service at the off site intersections.



April 27, 2022

Mr. Bobby Klucker Panattoni Development Company, Inc. 968 Postal Road Allentown, PA 18109

#### RE: Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter Haul Road Warehouse Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project No.: 20214488.002A

Dear Mr. Klucker,

In accordance with your request, Kleinfelder, LLC (Kleinfelder), has completed a Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter for the above referenced project site to evaluate the suitability of the subsurface soils for the infiltration of stormwater. This correspondence serves to transmit the results of our evaluation.

## SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site consists of approximately 76-acres of property along Allen Road and Haul Road in Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The property is bounded to the north by Haul Road and Johnson Street, to the south by the Allen Industries recycling facility, to the east by wooded areas and to the west by Allen Road. The approximate location of the site in relation to the surrounding area is presented on the *Topographic Map* (Figure 1) found within the Appendix.

According to the "*Grading and Drainage Plan - Overall*" (Plan) provided by Integrated Development Partners, LLC, dated March 18, 2022, the project will consist of constructing a new warehouse distribution facility anticipated to measure approximately 937,440 square feet in plan area. Development of the project site will also include constructing parking areas, drive lanes, dolly pads, dock aprons, retaining wall alignments, steepened slopes, and stormwater management facilities. We assume a maximum cut of approximately 67 feet is required to reach invert elevations of the stormwater management facilities.

#### SCOPE OF WORK

The objective of our work was to determine the permeability of the invert soils, identify any limiting zones (i.e. bedrock, groundwater, or seasonal high-water table) and address PADEP requirements as they relate to stormwater management. This objective was accomplished through a scope of work which included a subsurface exploration, laboratory testing program and preparation of this report. This report presents a summary of the work completed, conditions encountered and results of our analysis of subsurface conditions.

### **GEOLOGY**

According to the Pennsylvania Geologic Survey <u>Atlas of Preliminary Geologic Quadrangles</u>, Fourth Series, 1981, the project site is underlain by the Pennsylvanian Llewellyn Formation (geologic symbol PI). The property within its geologic setting is presented on the *Geologic Map* (Figure 2) within the Appendix.

The Pennsylvania Geologic Survey publication, *The Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania*, Second Edition, 1982, describes the rock in this formation as consisting of interbedded layers of sandstone, siltstone and conglomerate; which range from medium- to coarse-grained; light gray to brown, with numerous anthracite coal and dark-gray to black shales. The sandstone in this formation is well bedded and thick to massive, while the coal and shale beds are relatively thin. Fractures are moderately developed and moderately distributed. Joints are moderately spaced, open, and steeply dipping. The rock is slightly to moderately weathered to a shallow or moderate depth, dependent on the local lithology. The resulting soil mantle is thin to moderately thick.
## SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM

To characterize the subsurface conditions across the footprints of the proposed stormwater management facilities, 17 test pits were excavated, and 18 test borings and 51 auger probes were conducted, between February 8 and April 5, 2022. Supervision and monitoring of the subsurface exploration were provided by a representative of Kleinfelder who field located the test locations utilizing a hand-held GPS unit based on the previously referenced Plan. The approximate test pit locations, which were selected by Integrated Development Partners are shown on the *Exploration Plan* (Figure 3) presented within the Appendix.

The test pits were excavated utilizing a John Deere 130G tracked excavator. A detailed account of the material encountered during the excavation of each test pit are presented on the *Test Pit Logs* within the Appendix.

The test borings were advanced using track-mounted Acker XLS and Acker Rebel drill rigs equipped with automatic hammers, hollow-stem augers, and casing. Data pertaining to the test borings were documented in the field and are presented in detail on the *Test Boring Logs* and presented in the Appendix. The *Test Boring Logs* contain general information about the subsurface program and specific data regarding each test boring including sample depths, blow counts per 6 inches of penetration and visual classifications of the subsurface materials encountered.

### LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS

Soil samples retrieved from the site were visually reviewed and classified by Kleinfelder. Representative samples were subjected to laboratory analyses to verify visual classifications in accordance with the following schedule:

- Natural Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)
- Sieve Analysis (ASTM D422)
- Atterberg Limits Determination (ASTM D4318)

Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) Group Symbols and ASTM Group Names have been assigned to the soils analyzed. The results of the testing conducted are presented in the table below. Additionally, graphical depictions of the gradation analyses are presented within the Appendix.

					LAB	ORAT	ORY R	ESUL	TS		
Location	Depth (feet)	Soil Type	% Gravel	% Sand	% Fines	LL	PL	Ы	Natural Moisture Content	USCS Group Symbol	ASTM Group Name
IT-15	0 - 4	Fill I	40.2	46.5	13.3	NP	NP	NP	9.2%	SM	Silty SAND with Gravel
IT-21	0 - 4	Fill I	40.1	45.2	14.7	NP	NP	NP	12.2%	SM	Silty SAND with Gravel
IT-22	8 – 14	Fill I	4.2	39.3	56.5	32	16	18	7.4%	CL	Sandy Lean CLAY
IT-26	IT-26 4 – 7.5 Fill I 55.6 38.		38.9	5.5	NP	NP	NP	6.7%	GP-GM	Poorly-graded GRAVEL with Silt and Sand	
IT-33	6 – 8	Fill I	52.3	42.2	5.5	NP	NP	NP	3.4%	GW-GM	Well-graded GRAVEL with Silt and Sand
LL-Liquid Li	LL-Liquid Limit; PL-Plastic Limit; PI-Plasticity Index; NP-Non-Plastic										

## SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### **Surficial Materials**

The surfaces of the test locations consisted of bare existing Fill material and up to 3 feet of topsoil in select locations. Beneath surficial materials, two layers of existing Fill (Fill I and Fill II), a single naturally-occurring soil stratum (Stratum I) and the underlying bedrock were observed. Descriptions of these materials are provided below.

# Fill I – Black to gray, and brown GRAVEL and SAND with varying amounts of Silt and Clay, cobbles, boulders, coal, glass, metal and plastic

Existing Fill I was encountered within each test location, with exception to IT-2, IT-17, IT-18, IT-28A, and IT-32, extending to depths ranging from approximately 0 to 70 feet below existing site grades. Laboratory testing conducted on representative samples of existing Fill show this soil to be generally poorly graded and non-plastic, with natural moisture contents ranging from 3.4% and 12.2%. The existing Fill consists of Poorly to Well-graded Gravel with Silt and Sand (GP-GM, GW-GM), Silty SAND with Gravel (SM), and Sandy Lean CLAY (CL).

# Fill II – Black to gray GRAVEL with varying amounts of Sand and Silt, cobbles, boulders, coal, wood debris, glass, metal, plastic, fabric and rope (contains deleterious materials)

Existing Fill II was encountered within test pits TP-2, TP-28A, and TP-32, and was observed to extend to depths ranging from approximately 0 to 14 feet below existing site grades. Upon review, Fill II is observed to be generally poorly graded and generally non-plastic. The existing Fill II was observed to predominantly consist of GRAVEL with secondary amounts of Sand and Silt, with cobbles, boulders, coal, wood debris, glass, metal, plastic, fabric and rope. Fill II was observed to contain wood debris, which is deleterious material and subject to volumetric change over time.

#### Stratum I – Gray to black to orange-brown SAND and GRAVEL with varying amounts of Silt and Clay

Stratum I was encountered within test locations IT-8, IT-17, IT-18, and IT-24 extending to their termination depths ranging from approximately 4 to 10 feet below existing site grades. Upon review the soils of Stratum I was observed to consist of orange brown to gray to black SAND and GRAVEL with varying amounts of Silt and Clay.

#### Bedrock

The bedrock surface is anticipated to have been encountered within test locations IT-7, T-8, IT-17, IT-24, IT-26, IT-27, and IT-32 at depths ranging from approximately 4 to 17 feet below existing site grades, corresponding to bedrock surface elevations ranging from approximately 701 to 674 feet. The bedrock surface was defined as the depth at which advancement refusal of the utilized equipment was encountered.

	BEDROCK SUMMARY TABLE											
Test Location	Existing Surface Elevation (feet)	Proposed Elevation (feet)	Depth to Anticipated Bedrock (feet)	Anticipated Bedrock Surface Elevation (feet)								
IT-7	691	677	17	674								
IT-8	694	677	7	687								
IT-17	692	677	4	688								
IT-24	706	698	10	696								
IT-26	708.5	698	7.5	701								
IT-32	713	697	14	699								

Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter Haul Road Warehouse Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project Number: 20214488.002A Page 4 of 8

To determine the composition and integrity of the bedrock present beneath the site, bedrock coring was conducted in general accordance with ASTM D 2113 at test location IT-8. Percent Recovery (REC) was calculated by dividing the length of the rock core retrieved from the core barrel by the total length of the core run and multiplying by 100. Rock Quality Designation (RQD) was calculated by summing the length of the rock fragments in the core run which are greater than or equal to 4 inches in length and dividing by the total length of the core run and multiplying by 100. RQD results are generally correlated to rock quality as follows:

<u>RQD (%)</u>	Description of Rock Quality
0 – 25%	Very Poor
25 – 50%	Poor
50 – 75 %	Fair
75 – 90 %	Good
90 – 100%	Excellent

Visual descriptions of the bedrock encountered are provided on the *Test Boring Logs*. Results of the bedrock coring conducted at IT-8 are provided in the table below.

		BEDROCK CORING	SUMMARY TAE	BLE	
Location	Existing Surface Elevation (feet)	Anticipated Bedrock Surface Elevation (feet)	Coring Depth (feet)	Recovery (%)	RQD (%)
IT-8	694	687	5	72	7.5

#### Groundwater & Soil Mottling

Groundwater was only encountered within test pit IT-16 at a depth of approximately 2.5 feet below the existing site grade, corresponding to an elevation of 688.5. This instance of groundwater is believed to be "perched water" or trapped surface water, and not indicative of the actual groundwater table. Soil mottling (indicating a seasonal highwater table and/or poorly draining soils) was not encountered within any of the infiltration test locations. These observations were made at the time of the field operation and the groundwater table elevation will vary with daily, seasonal, and climatological variations as well as anthropogenic activities.

## **INFILTRATION TESTING**

To evaluate the infiltration of stormwater, 78 infiltration tests were completed within at varying test elevations within each test pit excavated and within each auger probe completed. Infiltration testing was completed utilizing the double-ring infiltrometer and cased-pipe method, in general accordance with the <u>Pennsylvania Stormwater Best</u> <u>Management Practices Manual</u>, latest Edition. The excavation or test boring at each test location extended a minimum of 2 feet below the lowest test elevation to review for the presence of limiting zones (i.e. bedrock, groundwater and/or soil mottling). The results of the infiltration testing are presented in the table below. Details for each infiltration test including the infiltration test elevations, interval readings and infiltration rates are shown on the *Infiltration Testing Results Table* within the Appendix.

		INFILTI	RATION TEST RES	SULTS	
Test Location	Existing Elevation (feet)	Proposed Test Elevation (feet)	Approximate Test Elevations (feet)	Limiting Zone Elevation (feet)	Infiltration Rate (inches/hour)*
			678		15.4
IT-1	679	677	677	Not Encountered to 673.5	10.8
			676	010.0	10.2
			678.5		20.4
IT-2	681.5	677	677.5	Not Encountered to 674	18.6
			676.5	1	5.4
			678		12.0
IT-3	681	677	677	Not Encountered to 672	6.6
			676		10.8
			678		6.0
IT-4	683	677	677	Not Encountered to 674	10.8
			676		5.4
			678		10.2
IT-5	685	677	677	Not Encountered to 674	34.2
			676		26.4
			678		15.0
IT-6	693	677	677	Not Encountered to 674	2.2
			676		2.8
			678		24.6
IT-7	691	677	677	Bedrock at 674	8.4
			676	1	19.2
IT-8	694	677	No Test	Bedrock at 687	No Test
			678		0.4
IT-9	692	677	677	Not Encountered to	0.6
			676	014	0.2
			678		0.6
IT-10	694	677	677	Not Encountered to 674	2.4
			676	014	1.2
			678		6.6
IT-13	694	677	677	Not Encountered to 674	4.8
			676		2.4
			696		9.0
IT-14	696	695	695	Not Encountered to 692	12.6
			694		12.0
IT. 15	607	605	696	Not Encountered to	10.2
11-15	097	090	695	692	15.6

		INFILTI	RATION TEST RES	ULTS	
Test Location	Existing Elevation (feet)	Proposed Test Elevation (feet)	Approximate Test Elevations (feet)	Limiting Zone Elevation (feet)	Infiltration Rate (inches/hour)*
			694		15.0
IT-16	691	695	690	Not Encountered to 688	0.0
IT-17	692	695	691.5	Bedrock at 689.5	0.4
IT-18	694	695	693	Not Encountered to 692	2.4
IT-19	695	695	694.5	Not Encountered to 692.5	7.8
			696		2.2
IT-21	697	695	695	Not Encountered to 690	6.6
			694		15.6
			702		19.2
IT-22	716	700	700	Not Encountered to 606	0.8
			698		5.1
			699		10.2
IT-24	706	698	698	Bedrock at 696	0.8
			697		No Test
IT-26	708.5	698	No Test	Bedrock at 701	No Test
			698		29.4
IT-27	704	698	697	Not Encountered to 694	3.0
			696	551	3.0
			698		30.6
IT-28	707	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	7.8
			696		3.6
			698		39.0
IT-29	704	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	20.4
			696		21.6
IT-32	713	697	No Test	Bedrock at 699	No Test
			698		8.4
IT-33	708	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	7.2
			696		5.4
			698		8.4
IT-34	706	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	19.2
			696		10.8

			698		14.4
IT-35	764	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	10.2
			696	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	12.6
			698		7.2
IT-36	749	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	1.2
			696		12.0
			698		16.2
IT-37	746	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	18.0
			697 Not Encou 696 698	001	19.8
			698		4.8
IT-38	744	697	697	Not Encountered to 694	3.0
			696		20.4
			701		3.6
IT-40	731	700	700	Not Encountered to 697	1.4
			699		2.2
*denotes infilt	tration rates are	not factored			

## **SUMMARY OF DATA & CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the results of our field exploration and data obtained, we offer the following comments regarding the infiltration of stormwater at the project site.

- Infiltration testing was conducted within the existing Fill and the naturally occurring soils of Stratum I.
- The bedrock surface was encountered within test locations IT-7, IT-8, IT-17, IT-24, IT-26, IT-27, and IT-32 at depths ranging from approximately 4 to 17 feet below existing site grades, corresponding to bedrock surface elevations ranging from approximately 701 to 674 feet.
- Groundwater was only encountered within test pit IT-16 at a depth of 2.5 feet, corresponding to an elevation of approximately 688.5 feet. This water is believed to be "perched water" or trapped surface water, and not indicative of the actual groundwater table. Soil mottling (indicating a seasonal high-water table and/or poorly draining soils) was not encountered within any of the infiltration test locations. These observations were made at the time of the field operation and the groundwater table elevation will vary with daily, seasonal, and climatological variations as well as anthropogenic activities.
- The unfactored field infiltration rates achieved ranged from 0.0 to 39.0 inches per hour with an average of approximately 10.3 inches per hour. The PADEP recommended rate for infiltration of stormwater is 0.1 to 10 inches per hour.

Stormwater Infiltration Summary Letter Haul Road Warehouse Wilkes-Barre Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania Kleinfelder Project Number: 20214488.002A Page 8 of 8

### LIMITATIONS

This work was performed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other members of Kleinfelder's profession practicing in the same locality, under similar conditions and at the date the services are provided. Our conclusions are based on a limited number of observations and data. It is possible that conditions could vary between or beyond the data evaluated. Further, Kleinfelder assumes no liability for interpolation of data between the specific testing locations discussed herein. Kleinfelder makes no other representation, guarantee, or warranty, express or implied, regarding the services, communication (oral or written), report, opinion, or instrument of service provided.

This report may be used only by the Client and the registered design professional in responsible charge and only for the purposes stated for this specific engagement within a reasonable time from its issuance, but in no event later than 2 years from the date of the report.

Our scope of services for this exploration and report did not include environmental assessments or evaluations regarding the presence or absence of wetlands or hazardous substances in the soil, surface water, or groundwater at this site.

#### CLOSING

We thank you for the opportunity to work on this project with you. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully Submitted, **KLEINFELDER, INC.** 

Jason E. Trimble Project Manager

Trevor L. Dombach Program Manager



# APPENDIX

# FIGURE 1 – TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

FIGURE 2 – GEOLOGIC MAP

FIGURE 3 – EXPLORATION PLAN

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

**TEST PIT/BORING LOGS** 

**GRAPHICS KEY** 

INFILTRATION TESTING RESULTS TABLE











As-Received Moi	sture 9.2%		Particle S	ize Distribution	
USCS Classificat	ion: Silty SAND with Gravel (SM)	US Standard	Sieve Size	Opening (mm)	%Finer
Gravel: 40.2%	Coarse: 12.5% Fine: 27.7%	Coarse	1-1/2"	38.0	100.0%
Sand: 46.5%	Coarse: 15.8% Medium: 19.9% Fine: 10.8%	GRAVEL	3/4"	19.0	87.5%
Fines: 13.3%	Silt: Clay:	Fine	3/8"	9.50	69.2%
Gravel Description	n: Angular to Subangular		No. 4	4.75	59.8%
		Coarse	No. 10	2.00	44.0%
Sand Description	: Angular to Subangular	Medium	No. 40	0.425	24.1%
		SAND	No. 100	0.150	16.1%
Consistency: N	A Dry Strength: N/A	Fine	No. 200	0.075	13.3%
Dilatancy: N/A	Toughness: N/A	Hydrometer	Silt Size	0.005	
Structure: N/A	Cementation: N/A	Analysis	Clay Size	0.001	
		D <sub>60</sub> :	D <sub>30</sub> :	D <sub>10</sub> :	Cu: Cc:
Test Pit: IT-15		Atterberg Limits	LL: NP	PL: NP	PI: NP
Sample: S-1	<b>Depth:</b> 0' - 4'	Description:	Black Silty SAN	ID with Gravel	
Project: Haul F	oad Warehouse				
		Remarks:	Fill I		
Client: Panat	oni Development Company, Inc.	]			
Kleinfelder Proje	<b>ct Number:</b> 20214488.001A	Report Date:	April 12, 2022		





As-Receiv	ved Moisture	<b>1</b> 2.2%								Particle S	ize Distribution			
USCS Cla	ssification:	Silty SAND	with Gr	avel (SM)				US S	tandard	Sieve Size	Opening (mm)		%Fine	r
Gravel:	40.1%	Coarse:	5.5%			Fine:	34.6%		Coarse	1-1/2"	38.0		100.0	%
Sand:	45.2%	Coarse: 1	.6.7%	Medium:	17.7%	Fine:	10.8%	GRAVEL		3/4"	19.0		94.5%	6
Fines:	14.7%	Silt:			Clay:				Fine	3/8"	9.50		80.8%	6
Gravel De	escription:	Angular to	Subang	gular						No. 4	4.75		59.9%	6
									Coarse	No. 10	2.00		43.2%	6
Sand Des	scription:	Angular to	Subang	gular				٦	Medium	No. 40	0.425		25.5%	6
								SAND		No. 100	0.150		17.7%	6
Consiste	Consistency: N/A Dry Strength: N/A							Fine	No. 200	0.075		14.7%	6	
Dilatancy	<b>/:</b> N/A		То	oughness	:	N/A		Hydron	neter	Silt Size	0.005			
Structure	:N/A		C	ementatio	on:	N/A		Analy	sis	Clay Size	0.001			
								D <sub>60</sub> :		D <sub>30</sub> :	D <sub>10</sub> :	Cu:	Co	:
Test Pit:	IT-21							Atterberg	Limits	LL: NP	PL: NP		PI:	NP
Sample:	S-1	D	epth: 0	' - 4'				Descripti	on:	Black Silty SAN	ID with Gravel			
Project:	Haul Road	Warehouse												
								Remarks	:	Fill I				
Client:	Panattoni [	Developmen	it Compa	any, Inc.										
Kleinfeld	er Project N	umber:	20	0214488.	.001A			Report D	ate:	April 12, 2022				





As-Receiv	ved Moisture	<b>;</b> 7.4%								Particle Si	ze Distribution			
USCS Cla	assification:	Sandy Le	an CLA	( (CL)				US Standa	ard S	Sieve Size	Opening (mm)		%Fine	r
Gravel:	4.2%	Coarse:	0.0%			Fine:	4.2%	Coar	rse	1-1/2"	38.0		100.0	%
Sand:	39.3%	Coarse:	5.6%	Medium:	11.4%	Fine:	22.3%	GRAVEL		3/4"	19.0		100.0	%
Fines:	56.5%	Silt:			Clay:			Fi	ine	3/8"	9.50		99.6%	6
Gravel D	escription:	Angular t	o Subar	ngular						No. 4	4.75		95.8%	6
								Coar	rse	No. 10	2.00		90.2%	6
Sand Des	scription:	Angular t	o Subar	ngular				Mediu	um	No. 40	0.425		78.9%	6
						SAND		No. 100	0.150		63.6%	6		
Consiste	ncy: N/A			Dry Streng	th:	Medium	l	Fi	ine	No. 200	0.075		56.5%	6
Dilatancy	: Slow			Toughness	:	Medium	ı	Hydrometer		Silt Size	0.005			
Structure	: Homogene	ous		Cementatio	on:	N/A		Analysis		Clay Size	0.001			
								D <sub>60</sub> :		D <sub>30</sub> :	D <sub>10</sub> :	Cu:	Co	:
Test Pit:	IT-22							Atterberg Limi	its	LL: 32	<b>PL:</b> 16		PI:	16
Sample:	S-1		Depth:	8' - 14'				Description:	[	Dark gray Sand	ly CLAY			
Project:	Haul Road	Warehous	е											
								Remarks:	ł	Fill I				
Client:	Panattoni D	Developme	nt Com	pany, Inc										
Kleinfeld	er Project N	umber:		20214488	.001A			Report Date:	ļ	April 12, 2022				





As-Receiv	ved Moisture	<b>6</b> .7%							Particle S	ize Distribution		
USCS Cla	assification:	Poorly Gra	ded GR/	VEL with Sil	t and San	d (GP-GI	M)	US Standard	Sieve Size	Opening (mm)	9	6Finer
Gravel:	55.6%	Coarse:	15.9%			Fine:	39.7%	Coarse	1-1/2"	38.0	1	00.0%
Sand:	38.9%	Coarse:	5.2%	Medium:	20.6%	Fine:	13.1%	GRAVEL	3/4"	19.0	8	34.1%
Fines:	5.5%	Silt:			Clay:			Fine	3/8"	9.50	Ę	55.4%
Gravel De	escription:	Angualr t	o Subar	ngular to Su	Ibrounde	d			No. 4 4.75		4	14.4%
								Coarse	No. 10	2.00	3	39.2%
Sand Description: Angular to Subangular to Subrounded							Medium	Medium No. 40 0.425		18.6%		
						SAND	No. 100	0.150		7.0%		
Consiste	ncy: N/A			Dry Strengt	th: I	N/A		Fine	No. 200	0.075		5.5%
Dilatancy	y: None			Toughness	: 1	N/A		Hydrometer	Silt Size	0.005		
Structure	e: N/A			Cementatio	on: I	N/A		Analysis	Clay Size	0.001		
								D <sub>60</sub> : 12	D <sub>30</sub> : 0.95	D <sub>10</sub> : 0.23	Cu: 53	Cc: 0.33
Test Pit:	IT-26							Atterberg Limits	LL: NP	PL: NP	I	PI: NP
Sample:	Bulk S-1		Depth:	4' - 8'				Description:	Brown to gray (	GRAVEL with Silt	t and San	d
Project:	Haul Road	Warehous	е									
								Remarks:	Fill I			
Client:	Panattoni D	Developme	nt Com	pany, Inc								
Kleinfeld	ler Project N	umber:		20214488	.002A			Report Date:	March 21, 202	22		





As-Receiv	/ed Moisture	<b>9</b> 3.4%							Particle Si	ze Distribution		
USCS Cla	ssification:	Well-graded GRA	VEL with Silt a	and Sand	(GW-GM)	)	US Standa	ard S	Sieve Size	Opening (mm)	9	6Finer
Gravel:	52.3%	<b>Coarse:</b> 0.0%	6		Fine:	52.3%	Coar	rse	1-1/2"	38.0	1	00.0%
Sand:	42.2%	Coarse: 20.9%	Medium:	15.6%	Fine:	5.7%	GRAVEL		3/4"	19.0	1	00.0%
Fines:	5.5%	Silt:		Clay:			Fi	ine	3/8"	9.50	7	78.6%
Gravel De	escription:	Angular to Sub	angular						No. 4	4.75	2	17.7%
							Coai	rse	No. 10	2.00	2	26.8%
Sand Des	scription:	Angular to Sub	angular				Media	Medium No. 40 C		0.425	11.3%	
						SAND		No. 100	0.150		7.1%	
Consister	Consistency: N/A Dry Strength: N/A Fine No. 200 0.075 5.						5.5%					
Dilatancy	r: N/A		Toughness	:	N/A		Hydrometer	r	Silt Size	0.005		
Structure	:N/A		Cementati	on:	N/A		Analysis		Clay Size	0.001		
							D <sub>60</sub> : 6.5		D <sub>30</sub> : 2.5	D <sub>10</sub> : 0.35	Cu: 19	Cc: 2.75
Test Pit:	IT-33						Atterberg Lim	its	LL: NP	PL: NP	F	PI: NP
Sample:	S-1	Depth	: 6' - 8'				Description:	E	Black GRAVEL	with Silt and Sa	nd	
Project:	Haul Road	Warehouse										
							Remarks:	F	Fill I			
Client:	Panattoni D	Development Co	mpany, Inc									
Kleinfeld	er Project Nu	umber:	20214488	.001A			Report Date:	A	April 12, 2022			

SAMPLE/SAMPLER TYPE GRAPHICS		UNIF	IED S	OIL CLAS	SIFICATIO	ON SY	STEM (AS	STM D 2487)
			(e)	CLEAN GRAVEL	Cu≥4 and 1≤Cc≤3		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
NQ CORE SAMPLE (1.874 in. (47.6 mm.) core diameter) STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (2 in. (50.8 mm.) outer diameter and 1-3/8 in. (34.9 mm.) inner			he #4 siev	WITH <5% FINES	Cu<4 and/ or 1>Cc>3		GP	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
diameter) STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (2 in. (50.8 mm.) outer diameter and 1-3/8 in. (34.9 mm.) inner diameter)			ger than tl		Cu≥4 and	Ĵ	GW-GM	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE FINES
			tion is lar	GRAVELS WITH	1≤Cc≤3	Ż	GW-GC	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE CLAY FINES
		eve)	oarse frac	5% TO 12% FINES	Cu<4 and/		GP-GM	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE FINES
GROUND WATER GRAPHICS		ie #200 si	i half of co		or 1>Cc>3		GP-GC	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE CLAY FINES
✓       WATER LEVEL (level where first observed)         ▼       WATER LEVEL (level after exploration completion)		than th	ore than			000	GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SILT-SAND MIXTURES
$\underline{\Psi}$ WATER LEVEL (additional levels after exploration)		s larger	rs (Mc	GRAVELS WITH >			60	CLAYEY GRAVELS,
OBSERVED SEEPAGE		ial i	AVE	12% FINES			00	GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
NOTES • The report and graphics key are an integral part of these logs. All da and interpretations in this log are subject to the explanations and limitotices stated in the correct.	ıta	llf of mate	GR				GC-GM	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY-SILT MIXTURES
<ul> <li>Lines separating strata on the logs represent approximate boundaries only. Actual transitions may be gradual or differ from those shown.</li> </ul>	s	re than ha	(e	CLEAN SANDS	Cu≥6 and 1≤Cc≤3	•••••	sw	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
<ul> <li>No warranty is provided as to the continuity of soil or rock conditions between individual sample locations.</li> <li>Logs represent general soil or rock conditions observed at the point of</li> </ul>	of	OILS (Mo	e #4 sieve	<5% FINES	Cu<6 and/ or 1>Cc>3		SP	POORLY GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
<ul> <li>exploration on the date indicated.</li> <li>In general, Unified Soil Classification System designations presented on the logs were based on visual classification in the field and were</li> </ul>	d	AINED S	er than th		Cu≥6 and	••••••	SW-SM	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE FINES
<ul> <li>Fine grained soils that plot within the hatched area on the Plasticity Chart, and coarse grained soils with between 5% and 12% passing the</li> </ul>	ng. No.	RSE GR	n is small	SANDS WITH	1≤Cc≤3		SW-SC	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE CLAY FINES
<ul> <li>200 sieve require dual USCS symbols, i.e., GW-GM, GP-GM, GW-GC, GP-GC, GC-GM, SW-SM, SP-SM, SW-SC, SP-SM,</li> <li>If sampler is not able to be driven at least 6 inches then 50/X indicates</li> </ul>	es	сод	se fractio	12% FINES	Cu<6 and/		SP-SM	POORLY GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE FINES
ABREVIATIONS MONE VIA A LANDARY AND A LANDAR	1		e of coan		or 1>Cc>3		SP-SC	POORLY GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE CLAY FINES
WOR - Weight of Rod			alf or mor				SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL-SILT MIXTURES
			ANDS (H	SANDS WITH > 12% FINES			SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL-CLAY MIXTURES
			S				SC-SM	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-SILT-CLAY MIXTURES
		s				N		GANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, SILTY OR 'EY FINE SANDS, SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
		<b>DILS</b>	_	SILTS AND	CLAYS	C		GANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY S, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
		ED S(	han	(Liquid L less than	imit 50)	CL	-ML INOR CLAY	GANIC CLAYS-SILTS OF LOW PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY 'S, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
		AINE re of	aller t 200 s			C	ORG.	ANIC SILTS & ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF PLASTICITY
		GR	sme Te #			N		GANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR OMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILT
		FINE falf o	t	(Liquid L	imit ater)	C		GANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT
		<u>ب</u>				) c	H ORG	ANIC CLAYS & ORGANIC SILTS OF IUM-TO-HIGH PLASTICITY
	•	NOTE PRO\	: USE /IDED	MATERIA	L DESCRIP EGEND.	TION	ON THE LC	IG TO DEFINE A GRAPHIC THAT MAY NOT BE
$\bigcirc$	PROJE 20214	ECT N 488.00	IO.: 02A				(	GRAPHICS KEY
( KLEINFELDER	DRAW	VN BY	:J. TH	OMPSON			H	aul Road Warehouse
Bright People. Right Solutions.	CHEC	KED E	3Y: J. '	TRIMBLE			W	ilkes-Barre Township
	DATE:	:	4	4/13/2022			L	Luzerne County, PA

Date	e Beç	gin - End:     2/10/2022     Excavation Company: Gleim Excavating       By:     E. Hinkle     Excavation Crew:     K. Morrill													TEST PIT LOG IT-1
Logo	ged	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morrill	I				ı				
Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	kho	be							
Plun	ige:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Wea	ther	:		_ 38°, Sunny											
				FIELD E	XPLORATION		_				LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	JLTS
vroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground Su Surface Co	rface Elevation (ft.): 679.00 andition: Grass		nple Type	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	ising #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	iltional Tests/ narks
App Ele∕	Dep	Gra		Lithologic	Description		San	USC	Wat Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas NP	Add Ren
		<u>×1/</u>	Тор	osoil: 4" dark brown organic soil											
- 675	- - 5		Fill San gray The surf 10, 1	J ndy GRAVEL with cobble to bo y to black, dry e test pit was terminated at appr face. The test pit was backfilled 2022.	ulder sized sandstone and s oximately 5.5 ft. below ground with excavated material on F	678.6 hale: 673.5 t ebruary			<u>GROU</u> Groun- comple <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N plorati ted by	ATER was n OTES on loc: Kleinfo	LEVEL tot obso ition a elder.	.INFO erved	RMAT during vation	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after are approximate and were
-665	- 10	-													
(					PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A					-	TES	T PIT	ΓLΟ	G IT-	-1
			E   Br	right People. Right Solutions.	CHECKED BY:	<u>с.п.</u> Ј.Т.					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p

Date	e Be	gin -	End:	2/10/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim E	xca	vating							TEST PIT LOG IT-2
Log	ged	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I								
Hor.	-Ver	t. Da	tum:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	ckho	ре							
Plun	nge:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Wea	ather	r: T	1	38°, Sunny				1							
				FIELD E	XPLORATION		-					BORA	TORY	' RESL	JLTS
pproximate levation (feet)	tepth (feet)	sraphical Log		Approximate Ground Su Surface Co	face Elevation (ft.): 681.50 ndition: Grass		ample Type	ISCS ymbol	Vater content (%)	rry Unit Wt. (pcf)	'assing #4 (%)	'assing #200 (%)	iquid Limit	'lasticity Index NP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ temarks
∢ш		<u> </u>	Ton	LITNOIOGIC	Description		S	⊃∽	≤0		4			ЧĘ	A R
-680	5-		Fill Silty rope	II y GRAVEL (GM): bluish gray, mo a, metal present	oist, glass bottles, wood deb	uo I.o									
-670	10-		The surf 10, :	test pit was terminated at appro ace. The test pit was backfilled 2022.	oximately 7.5 ft. below ground with excavated material on F	674.0 1 February		I	<u>GROL</u> Groun compl <u>GENE</u> The estima	JNDW/ dwater etion. RAL N yolorati ted by	L was r OTES on loc Kleinf	LEVEL tot obs	L INFO erved	RMAT during vation	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after are approximate and were
(	r F			NFELDER	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY:	E.H.					TES <sup>-</sup> Haul	T PII Road	Γ LO Ware	G IT-	-2 e
			Br	ignt People. Right Solutions.	CHECKED BY:	J.T.					Wilke Luz	es-Bar erne (	re To Count	wnshi y, PA	р
					DATE: 4/1	5/2022									Page: 1 of

Logge	egn	n - E	nd: <u>2/10/2022</u>		Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	(ca)	/ating							TEST PIT LOG IT-3					
11	d By	y:	E. Hinkle		Excavation Crew:	K. Morrill					I									
HorVe	ert.	Datu	Im: Not Available		Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	kho	be												
Plunge	<b>e</b> :		N/A degrees		Excav. Dimensions:	ft														
Weath	er:		38°, Cloudy																	
				FIELD EX	PLORATION						LA	BORA	TORY	RESU	JLTS					
טרטוווומני evation (feet) anth (feet)		aphical Log	Approxin	nate Ground Surf Surface Cor	face Elevation (ft.): 681.00 ndition: Grass		ample Type	SCS mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	tssing #4 (%)	tssing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	lditional Tests/ emarks					
	5	ອັ		Lithologic I	Description		Sa	Sy Sy	šΰ	D.	Ра	Ра	Lic	ΞZ	Ad Re					
680			Fill 1 Sandy GRAVEL with light brown, dry	cobble to bou	Ider sized sandstone and s	678.0 shale:														
10			The test pit was termin The test pit was backf	nated at appro	ximately 9 ft. below ground vated material on February	672.0 surface. 10, 2022.			GROL Groun compl <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N polorati ted by	ATER was r OTES on loc Kleinf	LEVEL ot obs i ation a elder.	EVEL INFORMATION: t observed during excavation or after tion and elevation are approximate and were ider.							
	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A TEST PIT LOG IT-3																			
	Г К	Ĺ		DER	20214488.002A DRAWN BY:	E.H.					Haul	Road	War	ehous	e					
	K	L	EINFEL Bright People. Righ	DER ht Solutions.	DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:	E.H. J.T.					Haul Wilke	Road es-Bar	Ware re To	ehous wnshi	e ip					



Date Be	gin - E	nd:	2/10/2022	_ Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	xca	vating							TEST PIT LOG IT-5A				
Logged	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I				l								
HorVe	rt. Dati	um:	Not Available	_ Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	ckh	be											
Plunge:			N/A degrees	_ Excav. Dimensions:	ft													
Weathe	r:		38°, Cloudy				1											
			FIEL	DEXPLORATION		-		r		LA	BORA	TOR	' RESL	JLTS				
pproximate levation (feet) epth (feet)	raphical Log		Approximate Ground Surface	Surface Elevation (ft.): 685.00 Condition: Grass		ample Type	SCS ymbol	/ater ontent (%)	ry Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	lasticity Index VP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ emarks				
ĂŪ Ŏ	Ū	E:11 1	Litholo	gic Description		ů	ິ່ິິິ	≥ŏ	ū	Å	å	Ľ	ΞZ	Å.				
-680 5-											LEVEL INFORMATION: not observed during excavation or after							
-675 10-	-	The ta	est pit was terminated at a est pit was backfilled with e	oproximately 9 ft. below ground excavated material on February	676.0 surface. 10, 2022.			GROL Groun compl <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. <u>RAL N</u> plorati tted by	ATER was n OTES on loc: Kleinfo	LEVEL tot obs	EVEL INFORMATION: ot observed during excavation or after ation and elevation are approximate and were alder.						
(,				PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A	E.H.				Т	EST	PIT	LOC Ware	G IT-	5A e				
1		вrig I	ni reopie. Right Solutio	CHECKED BY:	J.T.					Wilke	es-Bar		wnshi	р				
1		Brig	ht People. Right Solutio	ns. CHECKED BY:	J.T.					Wilke	erne (	re To	wnshi v PA	p				

rimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	nd:	3/23/20	22		Dril	lling Com	npany	: Negl	ey's								BORING	LOG IT-5B
r: JT	Log	ged l	By:		J. Thom	npson		Dril	II Crew:		G. K	err				l					
M B)	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dati	um:	Not Ava	ailable		Dril	lling Equi	ipme	nt: Acke	r Rebe			Ha	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto	- 30 in.
::01 P	Plur	nge:			90 deg	rees		Dril	lling Meth	nod:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er							
22 12	Wea	ather	:		40°, Pa	rtly Clou	dy	Exp	oloration	Diam	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.									
27/202							FIELD E	EXPLOR	ATION							LA	BORA	TORY	RESU	JLTS	
PLOTTED: 04/2	roximate ation (feet)	th (feet)	ohical Log		Approximate S	e Ground S Surface Cor	Surface Elev Indition: Bare	vation (ft.): e Earth	685.00	Iple Type	Counts(BC)= r. Blows/6 in. =%	overy =No Recovery)	SS Ibol	er tent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	id Limit	ticity Index =NonPlastic)	tional Tests/	larks
	Appr Elev	Dept	Grap			Lithologi	ic Descrip	otion		Sam	Blow ( Uncor RQD=	Recc (NR=	USC Sym	Vate Cont	Dry (	Pase	Pass	Liqui	Plast (NP=	Addi	Rem
	_	_		<u>Fill I</u> GRA	VEL with \$	Sand (GP	): black to	o gray, dry	y to moist												_
	- - 	- - 5- - - - 10-									BC=5 9 12 9 BC=8 6	20" 22"									- - - - - - - -
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG NT_LIBRARY_2021.GLB [KLF_BORING/TEST PIT SOIL LOG]	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		The below with	boring was w ground s auger cutti	s terminat urface. 1 ngs on M	ed at appr The boring larch 23, 2	roximatel g was bac 2022.	674 y 11 ft. kfilled	.0	9			GROL Groun compl <u>GENE</u> estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N polorati ted by	L Was n OTES On loc: Kleinfo	LEVEL ot obs intion a elder.	L	RMAT during vation	LON: drilling or afte	r ate and were
FILE: Klf_gint_master_2021 TEMPLATE: E:KLF_STANDARD_				EI. Bri	<b>NFE</b> ght Peopl	ELL e. Right	<b>DEF</b> Solutions	<b>२</b>	PROJECT 20214488 DRAWN E CHECKEE	- NO.: .002A BY: D BY:	TML TL				[	BOR Haul Wilke Luz	ING Road s-Bar erne (	LOG Ware Te To Count	B IT-5 ehous wnshi y, PA	БВ е р	
gINT									DATE:		4/15/2022										Page: 1 of 1





Logged By:       J. Thompson       Drill Crew:       M. Ballew         HorVert. Datum:       Not Available       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Hammer Type - Drop:       140 II         Plunge:       -90 degrees       Drilling Method:       Hollow Stem Auger         Weather:       40°, Partly Cloudy       Exploration Diameter:       3.25 in 1.D.         Image:       -90 degrees       Drilling Method:       Hollow Stem Auger         Weather:       40°, Partly Cloudy       Exploration Diameter:       3.25 in 1.D.         Image:       -90 degrees       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Hammer Type - Drop:       140 II         Image:       -90 degrees       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Image:       Honey Results         Image:       -90 degrees       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Image:       Honey Results         Image:       -90 degrees       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Image:	
HorVert. Datum:       Not Available       Drilling Equipment:       Acker XLS       Hammer Type - Drop:       140 lit         Plunge:      40 °, Partity Cloudy       Exploration Diameter:       3.25 in. I.D.	
Plunge:      90 degrees       Drilling Method:       Hollow Stem Auger	o. Auto - 30 in.
Weather:       _40°, Partly Cloudy       Exploration Diameter: 3.25 in. I.D.         (i)       (i) </td <td></td>	
FIELD EXPLORATION       LABORATORY RESULTS         approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth       approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition (ft.): 694.00 Surface Condition: Bare Earth<	
Image: Section 1       Image: Section 1 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
d a b c d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	tional Tests/ iarks
-690       5	Addi Rem
690       5       Stratum I       690.0       690.0       0	
BEDROCK       687.0         SANDSTONE: white to black, highly weathered, highly fractured       RQD=7.5       43"         10-       10-         10-       682.0         The boring was terminated because of practical auger refusal (↑) at approximately 12 ft. below ground surface on bedrock. The boring was backfilled with auger cuttings on March 23, 2022. Rock was encountered at a depth of 12 ft. during this       GROUNDWATER LEVEL INFORMATION: Groundwater was not observed during drilling completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are ap estimated by Kleinfelder.	
-       682.0         -       -        <	-
exploration. 	g or after proximate and were
PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A BORING LOG IT-8	
KLEINFELDER       DRAWN BY: JMT       Haul Road Warehouse         Bright People. Right Solutions.       CHECKED BY: JT       Wilkes-Barre Township         DATE:       4/15/2022       Luzerne County, PA	

Date	e Beç	gin - E	Ind:	3/23/2022	Drilling Com	pany	r: Negle	ey's								BORING LOG IT-9
Logo	ged l	By:		J. Thompson	Drill Crew:		G. Ke	err				·				
Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	_ Drilling Equip	pme	nt: Acke	Rebe			Ha	mme	r Type	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plun	nge:			-90 degrees	Drilling Meth	od:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er						
Wea	ather	:		40°, Partly Cloudy	Exploration D	Diam	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.								
				FIELI	DEXPLORATION	_						LA	BORA	TOR	Y RESI	ULTS
oroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground Surface E Surface Condition: B	levation (ft.): 692.00 are Earth	nple Type	· Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. 1=%	overy (=No Recovery)	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	jitional Tests/ narks
App Eley	Dep	Gra		Lithologic Descr	iption	San	Blow Uncc RQD	(NR	US( Syn	Vat Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqt	Plas NP	Ado
- 690 -	-		<u>Fill I</u> GRA	VEL with Sand (GP): black	to gray, dry to moist		PC-7	0.4								
-	- 5						7 11 14									
-685	- - -						BC=8 9 8 12	24"								
-680	-10		Strat Silty mois	tum I SAND with Gravel (SM)∷ o st	682.0 range brown, dry to	0	BC-6	10"								
-675	- 15 -						10 14 16									
-670	- - 20- - -		The below with	boring was terminated at ap w ground surface. The bori auger cuttings on March 23	674.0 pproximately 18 ft. ng was backfilled , 2022.	0	BC=8 9 6 10	12"		GROU Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDWA dwater etion. RAL N plorati ted by	ATER was n OTES on loc: Kleinfe	LEVEL tot obs <u>:</u> ation a elder.	<u>INFC</u> erved	D <u>RMAT</u> during	<u>ION:</u> drilling or after are approximate and were
	- - 25-	-														
-665	-															
-660	30- - -															
					PROJECT 20214488.0	NO.: 002A						BOF	RING	LO	G IT-	9
(			EI. Brij	NFELDE ght People. Right Solutio	ns. DRAWN B	Y: BY:	JMT JT 4/15/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	War re To Coun	ehous wnshi ty, PA	se ip





PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A Klf\_gint\_master\_2021 gINT FILE:

rimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	Ind:	2/14/2022		Excavation Company	Gleim Ex	xca	vating							TEST PIT LOG IT-14
Y: JT	Log	ged	By:		E. Hinkle		Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I				l				
M B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available		Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	ckh	ре							
:02 P	Plur	nge:			N/A degrees		Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
2 12	Wea	ather	:		14°, Partly Clo	oudy											
7/202						FIELD EX	PLORATION						LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	JLTS
PLOTTED: 04/2	oproximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	aphical Log		Approxim	ate Ground Surfa Surface Conditi	ace Elevation (ft.): 696.00 ion: Bare Earth		ample Type	SCS /mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	lditional Tests/ emarks
	Ap Ele	Ď	ΰ			Lithologic E	Description		Sa	s v S	Šΰ	Ď	Ра	Ра	Lic	₽Z	Ad Re
	- 695 -	-		Silty fragn	GRAVEL with Sa nents present	nd and Cobble	e <b>s (GM)</b> : dark brown, mois	t, metal									-
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG T_LIBRARY_2021.GLB	- - - - 	5		The t	test pit was termir lest pit was backfi	ated at approx lled with excav	imately 4 ft. below ground ated material on February	092.0 surface. 14, 2022.			GROL Groun <u>GENE</u> estima	INDWA dwater etion. RAL Nv gloratii ited by	ATER was r OTES On loc Kleinf	LEVEL not obso ation a elder.	INFO erved	RMAT	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after are approximate and were
VT FILE: KIf_gint_master_2021 VT TEMPLATE: E:KLF_STANDARD_(	(				NFEL	DER t Solutions.	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/1	E.H. J.T. 15/2022				T	EST Haul Wilke Luz	F PIT Road es-Bar erne (	LOC Ware re To Count	G IT- ehous wnshi y, PA	14 e p
al al																	

Open Days         E. Hunklob         Escavation Corey:         K. Mortil           Her. Vect. Nature:         NA dogrado         Escava. Dimensiones:         It           Weather:         14°, Party Cloudy         Escava. Dimensiones:         It           Year         Mark Status         14°, Party Cloudy         Escava. Dimensiones:         It           Year         Mark Status         14°, Party Cloudy         Escava. Dimensiones:         It           Year         Mark Status         14°, Party Cloudy         LudoRATORY RESULTS           Year         Mark Status         16°, 90°, 19°, 19°, 19°, 19°, 19°, 19°, 19°, 19	rimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	Ind:	2/14/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	xca	vating							TEST PIT LOG IT-15
The last pit was learning as a province of the start of a factor of the start	Y: JT	Log	ged I	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I								
Undergram         Multiple	M B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	ckh	ре							
Weather:         14*, Perty Cloudy           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second and County Enclusion (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second and County Enclusion (Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00           Image: Second Before Beedon (IL) MP7.00         Image: Second Before Beedon (IL) M	2:02 F	Plur	nge:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Open of the left of	22 12	Wea	ather	:		14°, Partly Cloudy				1							
Total T	27/202					FIELD	EXPLORATION		_				LA	BORA	TORY	RESU	JLTS
End         S	PLOTTED: 04/2	vroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground S Surface Co	Surface Elevation (ft.): 697.00 ndition: Bare Earth		nple Type	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	ising #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	litional Tests/ narks
Build Sub SAND with Grevel (SM), dark boown, model     SM     92     1     1     NP     NP       -66     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -66     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     -     -		App Elev	Dep	Gra		Litholog	ic Description		San	USC	Vat Con	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas (NP	Add Ren
Bing SAND with Grave (SM); dark stown, most      Bing SAND with Grave (SM); dark					Fill I					SM	9.2			13	NP	NP	
The test pit was backfilled with excavated material on February 14, 2022. Groundwater was not observed during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and were estimated by Kleinfelder. General during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and were estimated by Kleinfelder. The set pit was backfilled with excavated material on February 14, 2022. General during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and were estimated by Kleinfelder. The set pit was backfilled with excavated material on February 14, 2022. General during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and were estimated by Kleinfelder. February 14, 2022 TEST PIT LOG IT-15 DRAWN BY: E.H. CHECKED BY: J.T. DRT: 4/15202 Den: 1 of 1		- 695 - -	- - 5-					602.0									-
PROJECT NO.: TEST PIT LOG IT-15 PROJECT NO.: 2014488.002A DRAWN BY: E.H. CHECKED BY: J.T. DATE: 4/15/2022 DATE: 4/15/2022	OFFICE FILIER: MECHANICODURG	- 690	-	-	The f	est pit was terminated at apprest pit was backfilled with ex	proximately 5 ft. below ground accavated material on February	692.0 surface. 14, 2022.			<u>GROL</u> Groun compl <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N xplorati ated by	ATER was r OTES on loc Kleinf	<u>LEVEL</u> lot obs ation a elder.	<u>INFO</u> erved	RMAT during vation	ION: excavation or after are approximate and were
Image: 1 of 1         Image: 1 of 1	PROJECT NUMBER: 20214406.002A ARD_GINT_LIBRARY_2021.GLB [_KLF_BORING/TEST PIT SOIL LC	- 685 -	- 10 - - -														
Image: Second Will Line       Image: Second Will Line <td>E:KLF_STAND</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Т</td> <td>EST</td> <td>PIT</td> <td>LOC</td> <td>G IT-</td> <td>15</td>	E:KLF_STAND	1					PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A					Т	EST	PIT	LOC	G IT-	15
	NT TEMPLATE: NT TEMPLATE:	ĺ			E/I Brig	NFELDER ght People. Right Solution	CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/1	E.H. J.T. 5/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p Daro: 1 of 1



rimble	Date	e Be	gin -	End:	2/08/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	kca	vating							TEST PIT LOG IT-17
Y: JT	Log	ged	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I								
M B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Da	tum:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	kh	ре							
2:02 F	Plur	nge:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
22 13	Wea	ather	:		26°, Cloudy	-			1							
27/20					FIELD	EXPLORATION		-				LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	JLTS
PLOTTED: 04/	rroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground Surface	Surface Elevation (ft.): 692.00 Condition: Grass		nple Type	CS nbol	ter itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	ittional Tests/ narks
	App Elev	Dep	Gra		Litholog	jic Description		San	US( Syn	Wat Con	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas (NP	Add Ren
				2"	dark brown organic soil		691.8									
	- 690 -			Sil	ty GRAVEL with Sand and Co	bbles (GM): bluish gray, moist	688.0									-
ICSBURG	-	5-	-	Th ap ba en	e test pit was terminated beca proximately 4 ft. below ground ckfilled with excavated materia countered at a depth of 4 ft. du	use of bucket refusal (	bit was was			GROL Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	<u>INDW</u> dwater etion. <u>RAL Ni</u> ploration ated by	ATER was r OTES on loc Kleinf	<u>LEVEL</u> not obs <u>:</u> ation a elder.	<u>INFO</u> erved	RMAT during	ION: excavation or after are approximate and were
E FILTER: MECHAN	-685															
OFFICE .0G]	_															
T PIT SOIL I	-	10-	_													
14488.002A ORING/TES	-															
NUMBER: 202	-680		-													
RRAL2021.6 RARY_2021.6	_															
21 IDARD_GINT_LIB	_															
E:KLF_STAN	/				\	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A					T	EST	PIT	LOC	G IT-	17
NT FILE: KIf_gint NT TEMPLATE: E		*	</td <td>.E</td> <td>INFELDER bright People. Right Solution</td> <td>CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/1</td> <td>E.H. J.T. 5/2022</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Haul Wilke Luz</td> <td>Road es-Bar erne (</td> <td>Ware re To Count</td> <td>ehous wnshi y, PA</td> <td>e p</td>	.E	INFELDER bright People. Right Solution	CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/1	E.H. J.T. 5/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p
<u>a</u>																

rimble	Date	e Beg	gin - E	Ind:	2/11/2022			Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	(ca)	/ating							TEST PIT	LOG IT-18
ΓL :Υ	Log	ged	By:		E. Hinkle			Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I				l					
M B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Availa	ble		Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	kho	be								
2:02 F	Plur	nge:			N/A degree	es		Excav. Dimensions:	ft										
22 12	Wea	ather	:		48°, Sunn	у													
27/202							FIELD EXPL	ORATION						LA	BORA	TORY	RESL	JLTS	
PLOTTED: 04/2	roximate ⁄ation (feet)	th (feet)	phical Log		Appr	oximate ( Sur	Ground Surfac face Condition	e Elevation (ft.): 694.00 n: Bare Earth		nple Type	SS Ibol	er itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	iid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	itional Tests/	narks
	Appl Elev	Dep	Grap			L	ithologic De	escription		Sam	USC Sym	Wat	Dry I	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas (NP։	Addi	Ren
	-		<u>x17/</u>	Tops	<b>soil</b> : 24" dark l	brown or	ganic soil	·				-							
	-			<u>Fill I</u> Silty	GRAVEL with	h Cobble	<b>es (GM)</b> : gra	y, moist	692.0										-
	_																		
	- 690	5-	-	The 1 The 1	test pit was te test pit was ba	rminateo ackfilled	l at approxin with excaval	nately 3 ft. below ground ted material on February	691.0 surface. 11, 2022.			GROU Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The e> estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N plorati ted by	ATER was n OTES on loca Kleinfo	LEVEL ot obso ation a elder.	INFO erved of	RMAT during vation	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or are approxima	after te and were
OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG	- - 685		-																
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A RD_GINT_LIBRARY_2021.GLB	- - - -680	10- - - -	-																
_gint_master_2021 FE: E:KLF_STANDA	(			F	NFF	ת ו	FR	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY:	E.H.				T	EST	PIT	LOC	G IT-	18	
gINT FILE: KIF gINT TEMPLAT				Brig	ght People. I	Right So	lutions.	CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/1	J.T. 5/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p	Page: 1 of 1

rimble	Date	e Beç	jin - E	nd:	2/11/2022		Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	kca	vating							TEST PIT LOG	IT-19
Y: JT	Log	ged I	Зу:		E. Hinkle		Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I				l					
M B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	)	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	kh	ре								
::02 P	Plun	nge:			N/A degrees		Excav. Dimensions:	ft										
2 12	Wea	ther			50°, Sunny													
7/202						FIELD EX	PLORATION						LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	JLTS	
PLOTTED: 04/2	xximate Ition (feet)	n (feet)	nical Log		Approx	mate Ground Surf Surface Con	ace Elevation (ft.): 695.00 Idition: Grass		ole Type	0 0	r ent (%)	nit Wt. (pcf)	ng #4 (%)	ng #200 (%)	d Limit	city Index NonPlastic)	ional Tests/ arks	
	Appro Eleva	Depth	Grapt			Lithologic [	Description		Samp	USC: Symb	Wate Conte	Dry U	Passi	Passi	Liquic	Plasti (NP≕	Additi Remå	
	-	-		<u>Fill 1</u> Silty	GRAVEL with (	Cobbles (GM): d	lark gray, moist											-
OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG OIL LOG]	- 	- 5 - -		The t	est pit was term est pit was back	inated at approx	ximately 3 ft. below ground vated material on February	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.			GROU Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDWA dwater etion. RAL Nu ploration ted by	ATER was n OTES on loc: Kleinfr	LEVEL lot obso	nd ele	RMAT	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after are approximate and	were
T PIT S(	-685	10-	-															
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A ARD_GINT_LIBRARY_2021.GLB	-	-																
t_master_2021 E:KLF_STAND,	/						PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A					Т	EST	PIT	LOC	G IT-	19	
NT FILE: KIf_gint NT TEMPLATE: E	(	*	(L	EII Brig	NFEL ght People. Rig	DER ht Solutions.	DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: DATE: 4/	E.H. J.T. 15/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p	. 1 . 5 4
a a							I										i aye	

r: Datum: Gol Japhical Following Silty	E. Hinkle Not Available N/A degrees 50°, Sunny FIEL Approximate Ground Surface ( Lithold SAND with Gravel (SM): d test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with o	Excavation Crew: Excavation Equip.: Excav. Dimensions: D EXPLORATION  d Surface Elevation (ft.): 697.00 Condition: Bare Earth  ogic Description lark gray, moist, rubber pipe pres pproximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	K. Morril CAT Bad ft sent 692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	Sample Type	ad Symbol	GROUL GROUN Grouneut (%) 12.2 Safety Group Safety Safet	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	LABOR (%) 007# buissed 15	ATORY LINFC Served	Z Plasticity Index d (NP=NonPlastic)	JLTS Vdditional Vdditional Vermatks ION: excavation or after							
Datum:	Not Available N/A degrees 50°, Sunny FIEL Approximate Ground Surface (  SAND with Gravel (SM): d  test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with o	Excavation Equip.: Excav. Dimensions:  D EXPLORATION d Surface Elevation (ft.): 697.00 Condition: Bare Earth ogic Description lark gray, moist, rubber pipe press proximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	<u>CAT Bac</u> ft sent sent 692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	Sample Type	ac NCS Symbol	GROUL Grouneut (%) Grouneut (%) Grouneut (%) Grouneut (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	R TEAPOR	ATORY timit Timit NP	Elasticity Index     3       diametricity Index     3       f(NP=NonPlastic)     33	JLTS Very and the second seco							
Caphical Log	N/A degrees 50°, Sunny FIEL Approximate Ground Surface ( Lithold SAND with Gravel (SM): d SAND with Gravel (SM): d test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with d	Excav. Dimensions:		Sample Type	g USCS Symbol	GROUN Grouneut (%) GROUN Groupet GI	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	LABOR (%) 15	ATORY timid Lineo	Z     Plasticity Index     B       Guinpus     B     B	JLTS Additional Tests/ Remarks ION: excavation or after							
Caphron Log	50°, Sunny FIEL Approximate Ground Surface ( Litholo SAND with Gravel (SM): d		692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	Sample Type	M USCS Symbol	Content (%) Secondaria	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	LABOR (%) 007# Buissed 15	ATORY timit NP	Z Plasticity Index d (NP=NonPlastic)	JLTS / Ydditional LON: excavation or after							
Graphical Log	FIEL Approximate Groun Surface ( Litholo SAND with Gravel (SM): d test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with o	D EXPLORATION  d Surface Elevation (ft.): 697.00 Condition: Bare Earth  cogic Description lark gray, moist, rubber pipe pres pproximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	Sample Type	Mo Symbol	GROUN Groundett	Diry Unit Wt. (pcf)	R TEAR	ATORY timin timin times the second se	E Plasticity Index 2 Compared to the compared	JLTS LON: excavation or after							
Caphical Log	Approximate Groun Surface ( Litholo solution of the second	d Surface Elevation (ft.): 697.00 Condition: Bare Earth	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	Sample Type	Symbol	Content (%) SCOULD GENUER GENUER GENUER GENUER	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	R LEVE R Sunot of (%) 12 15 15 16 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	L INFC	blackticity Index blackticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)	ON: Additional Tests/ Remarks							
E Fill I Silty	Lithok SAND with Gravel (SM): d test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with o	pgic Description lark gray, moist, rubber pipe pres pproximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.	San	Syn USC Syn	GROUN Groundy GON	DWATE water wa	R LEVE s not ob	L INFC served	AN) NP	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after							
The	y SAND with Gravel (SM): d	lark gray, moist, rubber pipe pres	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.		SM	GROUN Groundy complet GENER	IDWATE water wa	R LEVE s not ob	NP L INFC served	NP	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after							
The	test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with t	pproximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.			GROUN Ground complet GENER	IDWATE water wa	R LEVE s not ob	L INFO served	RMAT	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after							
The The	test pit was terminated at a test pit was backfilled with	pproximately 5 ft. below ground excavated material on February	692.0 surface. 11, 2022.			GROUN Groundy complet GENER	IDWATE water wa	<u>R LEVE</u> s not ob	<u>L INFO</u> served	<u>RMAT</u> during	ION: excavation or after							
						estimate	AL NOTI loration I ed by Kle	<u>ES:</u> ocation infelder	and ele	vation	are approximate and were							
	NFELDE	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY:	E.H.				TE	ST PI	r loo	G IT-2	21							
				PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY: E.H.	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY: E.H.	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A TEST PIT LOO	PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A TEST PIT LOG IT-							
Date	e Beç	gin -	End:	3/24/2022		_ Drilling Co	ompa	iny	: Ne	gley's								BORING LOG IT-
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--	---------------------	---	--	---	-----------------	------------	---	-------------------------	-------------	--	---	---	---	------------------------	---------------------------------	--
Logo	ged I	By:		J. Thomps	on	_ Drill Crew	:		<u>M</u> .	Ballew				•	_	_		
Hor	-Ver	t. Da	tum:	Not Availat	ole	_ Drilling Ec	quipn	ner	nt: <u>Ack</u>	er XLS			На	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plun	ige:			-90 degree	S	_ Drilling Mo	ethoo	d:	Hol	low Ster	n Auge	er						
Wea	ther	:	1	35°, Cloud	<u>y</u>		on Dia	am	eter: 3.2	5 in. I.D								
					FIELL	EXPLORATION		_				r –					r resi	
proximate evation (feet)	spth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Gro Surfa	ound Surface Ele ace Condition: Ba	evation (ft.): 716.00 are Earth		imple Type	w Counts(BC)= corr. Blows/6 in. D=%	covery R=No Recovery	SCS mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	ıssing #4 (%)	Issing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	lditional Tests/
ЧШ	De	5		Liti	hologic Descri	ption		Sa	N Class	<u>S</u> Re	Sy Sy	>ီပိ	Ď	Ра	Ра	Lic	₽₹	Ad Re
-715	- - - 5- - -		Sar	<u>-</u> Idy Lean CLAY	Υ ( <b>GM)</b> : black tα	o gray, moist to we	•t		BC=8 5 6 4 BC=6 3	18" 	CL	7.4			57	32	16	
705	10— - -								55		-							
700	- 15- -		Cla to n	<b>yey GRAVEL w</b> noist	vith Sand (GM	): black to gray, dry	y		BC=6 2 5 6 BC=10 4 4 2	14"	-							
695	- 20— - -	-	The belo with	e boring was ter ow ground surfa n auger cuttings	rminated at ap ace. The borir s on March 24,	6 proximately 18 ft. ıg was backfilled 2022.	98.0					<u>GROU</u> Groun compl <u>GENE</u> The et estima	JNDWA dwater etion. RAL No xploratio ated by	ATER was n OTES on loca Kleinfe	LEVEL iot obs <u>:</u> ation a elder.	<u>. INFC</u> erved	PRMAT during evation	<u>ION:</u> drilling or after are approximate and we
-690	- 25- - -	-																
·685	- 30- - -	-																
						PROJE 202144	CT NC 88.002	D.: 2A					E	BOR	ING	LOC	G IT-2	22
	×	<l< td=""><td>EI BI</td><td>INFE right People. F</td><td>LDE Right Solution</td><td></td><td>N BY: (ED B</td><td>Y:</td><td>JM J 4/15/202</td><td>т г 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Haul Wilke Luz</td><td>Road es-Bar erne (</td><td>War re To Count</td><td>ehous wnsh ty, PA</td><td>se ip</td></l<>	EI BI	INFE right People. F	LDE Right Solution		N BY: (ED B	Y:	JM J 4/15/202	т г 2				Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	War re To Count	ehous wnsh ty, PA	se ip

	əgin - I	End:	2/15/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim E	xca	/ating							TEST PIT LOG IT-2
Logged	By:	-	E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril					ſ				
HorVe	ert. Dat	um:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Ba	ckh	be							
Plunge		-	N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Weathe	er:		25°, Sunny	-			r							
			FIELD	EXPLORATION		1				LA	BORA		resi	JLTS I
oproximate evation (feet) epth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Ground Surface Condit	Surface Elevation (ft.): 706.00 ion: Sparse Vegetation		ample Type	sCS /mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ emarks
	Ū		Litholog	ic Description		s	S VS	Ϋŭ		Ъ	Ъ	Ĕ	۳S	Ac
	<u>×17</u>	Topso	<u>oil</u> : 12" dark gray organic so	il										
-705 5		<u>Fill I</u> Silty (	GRAVEL (GM): dark gray to	black	705.0									
10		<u>Stratu</u> Silty (	<u>m I</u> 3RAVEL with Sand (GM): c	orange brown	697.0									
-695		The te appro backfi encou	est pit was terminated becan ximately 10 ft. below ground lled with excavated materia ntered at a depth of 10 ft. d	use of bucket refusal ( ♠) at I surface on bedrock. The tes I on February 15, 2022. Rock uring this exploration.	t pit was was			GROU Groun compl GENE The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N plorati	ATER was n OTES on loca Kleinfe	<u>LEVEL</u> ot obs <u>:</u> ation a elder.	<u>INFC</u> erved nd ele	RMAT during evation	<u>ION:</u> excavation or after are approximate and we

PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A

Trimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	End:	2/15/2022			Excavation Com	pany:	Gleim Ex	kca	vating							TEST PIT LOG I	T-26
-Г: У:	Log	ged I	By:		E. Hinkle			Excavation Crev	<b>v</b> :	K. Morril	I				I					
PM B	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Availa	ble		Excavation Equi	ip.:	CAT Bac	kh	oe								
2:03	Plur	nge:			N/A degree	es		Excav. Dimensio	ons:	ft										
022 1	Wea	ather	:		25°, Sunny	у						r —								
12712(							FIELD EXF	PLORATION			-					ABORA	TORY	' RESL		
PLOTTED: 04/	oroximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log		Appr	oximate ( Surface	Ground Surfa e Condition: S	ce Elevation (ft.): 708.50 Sparse Vegetation	0		mple Type	CS nbol	iter ntent (%)	· Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index <sup>&gt;</sup> =NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks	
	Apr Ele	De	Gra			L	ithologic D	escription			Sar	US Syr	Cor Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	(NF	Adc Rei	
			<u>×11/</u>	Tops	<b>soil/Fill</b> : dark g	gray to b	lack													
	-	-		Fill I Poor mois	<b>ly Graded GF</b> t, metal fragm	RAVEL v	vith Silt and esent	d Sand (GP-GM): tan	n to bro	707.2 wn,	-									-
	- 705											GP-GM	6.7	115.1	44	5.5	NP	NP		-
ULTICE FILIEN. MEURANIUSEUNG	- - -700	-	₽ ₽ ₽ ₽	The t appro back enco	test pit was te oximately 7.5 filled with exc untered at a d	rminated ft. below avated n lepth of	701.0         nated because of bucket refusal ( ) at elow ground surface on bedrock. The test pit was ed material on February 15, 2022. Rock was n of 7.5 ft. during this exploration.         GROUNDWATER LEVEL INFORMATION: Groundwater was not observed during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and wer estimated by Kleinfelder.											- - were		
approximately 7.5 ft. below ground surface on bedrock. The lest pit was completion. backfilled with excavated material on February 15, 2022. Rock was encountered at a depth of 7.5 ft. during this exploration. The explorate estimated by for the fest pit was completion. Generally for the estimated by for the fest pit was backfilled with excavated material on February 15, 2022. Rock was encountered at a depth of 7.5 ft. during this exploration.																				
E:KLF_STAND	1							PROJECT NO. 20214488.002/	.: A					T	EST	Γ PIT	LO	G IT-:	26	
T TEMPLATE:	KLEINFELDER       DRAWN BY:         Bright People. Right Solutions.       CHECKED BY:         DATE:       4/4									E.H. J.T.					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bai erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e	
alN .																			Page:	1 of 1

Date	e Beç	gin - E	End:	2/16/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim E	xca	/ating							TEST PIT LOG IT-2
Logo	ged	By:		J. Thompson	Excavation Crew:	K. Morri	<u>  </u>								
Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Ba	ckho	be							
Plun	nge:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Wea	ther	" 		Not Available									TOD'		II T S
				FIELD			1								
oroximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Ground Surface Co	Surface Elevation (ft.): 704.00 ndition: Bare Earth		mple Type	CS nbol	iter ntent (%)	· Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%	uid Limit	sticity Index P=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks
A Di Elle	Del	Gra		Litholog	ic Description		Sat	US Syr	Col Col	Dry	Pa	Pa	Liq	E R	Ado Rei
700	- - 5-		Sand black	dy GRAVEL with Cobble to I k to gray	boulder sized sandstone and s	shale:									
- 695 -		<b>†</b>	The appr back encc	test pit was terminated becar oximately 9 ft. below ground filled with excavated materia puntered at a depth of 9 ft. du	use of bucket refusal (	695.0 pit was was			GROU Groun compl GENE The estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N plorati ated by	ATER was r OTES on loc Kleinf	LEVEL tot obs ation a elder.	_INFC erved	RMAT during	I <u>ON:</u> excavation or after are approximate and w
- 690			PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A DRAWN BY: JMT												
1			Bri	ight People. Right Solution	S. CHECKED BY:	JT Wilkes-Barre Township Luzerne County, PA						с ip			



Date	Date Begin - End:     2/16/2022     Excavation Company: Gleim Excavating       Logged Bv:     J. Thompson     Excavation Crew:     K. Morrill										/ating							TEST PIT LOG IT-28
Log	ged l	By:		J. Thor	npson		I	Excavation Crew:	K. Morri	II				l				
Hor.	-Ver	t. Daf	tum:	Not Ava	ilable		I	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Ba	ckh	be							
Plun	nge:			N/A deg	grees		I	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Wea	ther	:	1	Not Ava	ailable													
						FIEL	_D EXPL	ORATION		-				LA	BORA	TORY	( RESI	JLTS
pproximate levation (feet)	epth (feet)	traphical Log		A	Approxima	ate Grour Surface	nd Surface Condition	Elevation (ft.): 707.00 : Bare Earth		ample Type	ISCS ymbol	/ater content (%)	ry Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	iquid Limit	lasticity Index NP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ temarks
≮Ш			Fill I	1		Litho	logic Des	scription		S	⊃∽	≤U		4	٩.		ΔE	<u>ح</u> ۲
705	- - 5																	
700	-		The						697.5									
695	10 - - -	-	The appr back encc	test pit was oximately 9 filled with e ountered at	s termin 3.5 ft. be excavate a depth	ated bea low gro ed mate of 9.5 f	cause of und surfa rial on Fe t. during	bucket refusal (	697.5 st pit was was $ \frac{GROUNDWATER LEVEL INFORMATION:}{Groundwater was not observed during excavation or after completion. GENERAL NOTES: The exploration location and elevation are approximate and were estimated by Kleinfelder.$									
PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A TEST PIT LOG IT-28A																		
Bright People. Right Solutions.       DRAWN BY:       JMT       Haul Road Warehouse         Bright People. Right Solutions.       CHECKED BY:       JT       Wilkes-Barre Township         Luzerne County, PA       DATE:       4/15/2022       Luzerne County, PA								ip										

Date	e Beg	in - E	nd: <u>3/24/2022</u>	Drilling Com	pany:	Negl	ey's								BORING LOG IT-2
Logg	ged E	By:	J. Thompson	Drill Crew:		<u>M. B</u>	allew								
Hor.	-Vert	. Datı	um: Not Available	Drilling Equi	pmen	t: <u>Acke</u>	r XLS			Ha	mme	r Тур	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plun	ige:		-90 degrees	Drilling Meth	od:	Hollo	w Sten	1 Auge	er						
Wea	ther:		_35°, Cloudy		Diame	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.								
		-		FIELD EXPLORATION							LA			r resu	
oproximate evation (feet)	əpth (feet)	aphical Log	Approximate Ground Su Surface Cond	urface Elevation (ft.): 707.00 dition: Bare Earth	l ample Type	w Counts(BC)= corr. Blows/6 in. tD=%	scovery R=No Recovery	SCS /mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ smarks
ЧШ	ŏ	<u>ت</u>	Lithologic	Description	Se	on D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Ϋ́	s∪s	ŝŭ	D	Ра	Ра	Ľ	ĨZ,	Ac Re
- 705 - -	- - 5		rill 1 Silty GRAVEL with Sand moist	I <b>(GM)</b> : black to gray, dry to		BC=8 5 4 10	15"								
-700	- - 10-					BC=8 7 6 6	12"								
-695	-					BC=8 12 9 7	16"								
- - 690 - -	- 15- - - - 20-		The boring was terminate below ground surface. TI with auger cuttings on Ma	ed at approximately 13 ft. he boring was backfilled arch 24, 2022.	-				GROU Ground comple <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	INDW/ dwater ation. RAL Ni plorati ted by	ATER I was n OTES: on loca Kleinfe	LEVEL ot obs ation a elder.	<u>INFC</u> erved nd ele	NRMAT during	I <u>ON:</u> drilling or after are approximate and we
-685	- - - 25—														
-680 -															
-675 -	3U														
/				PROJECT 20214488.0	NO.: 002A					B	ORI	NG L	_0G	IT-2	8B
	-	~			v.	илт	<u> </u>								



Date Be	egin - E	End:	2/14/2022	Excavation Company	: Gleim Ex	xca	/ating							TEST PIT LOG IT-32
Logged	By:		E. Hinkle	Excavation Crew:	K. Morril	I				·				
HorVe	ert. Dat	um:	Not Available	Excavation Equip.:	CAT Bac	ckho	be							
Plunge:			N/A degrees	Excav. Dimensions:	ft									
Weathe	er:		28°, Partly Cloudy											
			FIELD E	XPLORATION		1					NBORA	TORY	' RESL T	JLTS
roximate /ation (feet) oth (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground Su Surface Cond	uface Elevation (ft.): 713.00 dition: Bare Earth		nple Type	SS Ibol	ter itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	lid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	litional Tests/ narks
Dep Dep	Gra		Lithologic	Description		San	USC	Vat Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	(NP	Add Ren
-710 5- -705 10-		Fill II Silty fragn	GRAVEL with Cobbles (GM): nents, cloth present	dark gray, moist, plastic tarp	, metal									
·700 15-		The t appro backt enco	test pit was terminated becaus oximately 14 ft. below ground s filled with excavated material c untered at a depth of 14 ft. dur	e of bucket refusal (	699.0 pit was was			GROL Groun Compl GENE The ex estima	INDW/ dwater etion. RAL N cplorati ated by	ATER was n OTES on loc: Kleinfo	LEVEL not obs intion a elder.	<u>INFO</u> erved	RMAT during	ION: excavation or after are approximate and were
-695				PROJECT NO.: 20214488.002A					1	EST	PIT	LOC	G IT-	32
		E / I Brig	WFELDER ght People. Right Solutions.	CHECKED BY:	E.H. Haul Road Warehouse J.T. Wilkes-Barre Township Luzerne County, PA									



Date	e Beç	jin - E	nd: <u>3/23/2022</u>	Drilling Comp	any	/: Negle	ey's								BORING LOG IT-34
Log	ged I	By:	J. Thompson	Drill Crew:		M. B	allew				L				
Hor.	-Ver	. Dat	um: Not Available	Drilling Equip	me	nt: Acke	r XLS			Hai	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plun	nge:		-90 degrees	Drilling Metho	od:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er						
Wea	ther		40°, Partly Cloudy	Exploration D	ian	neter: 3.25	in. I.D.								
			FIELD	EXPLORATION							LA	BORA	TOR	/ RESL	JLTS
proximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log	Approximate Ground Surface Ele Surface Condition: Bar	ration (ft.): 706.00 e Earth	mple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. D=%	covery R=No Recovery)	CS mbol	ater ntent (%)	/ Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	isticity Index >=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks
Ele Ele	De	Ğ	Lithologic Descrip	tion	Sa	Unc RQI	Re Re	US Syi	°×° °×°	Dry	Ра	Ра	Liq	E Z	A d A
-705 -700	- - 5 -		Fill 1 Silty GRAVEL with Sand (GM): b moist	ack to gray, dry to		BC=5 3 2 4 BC=7 5	18"	-							
	-					3									
	10-					BC=11	12"	1							
695	-					9									
·690	15 - - -								GENE The ex estima	RAL NC ploratic tted by h	<u>DTES:</u> on loca Kleinfe	ation a elder.	nd ele	vation	are approximate and wer
685	20														
-680	- 25— - -														
·675	- 30— - -														
			<b>`</b>	PROJECT N 20214488.0	NO.: 02A					E	BOR	ING	LOC	G IT-3	34
		<l.< td=""><td>EINFELDEF Bright People. Right Solution.</td><td>CHECKED</td><td>r: BY:</td><td>JMT JT 4/15/2022</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>  \</td><td>Haul Wilke Luze</td><td>Road s-Bar erne (</td><td>War re To Count</td><td>ehous wnshi ty, PA</td><td>e ip</td></l.<>	EINFELDEF Bright People. Right Solution.	CHECKED	r: BY:	JMT JT 4/15/2022				 \	Haul Wilke Luze	Road s-Bar erne (	War re To Count	ehous wnshi ty, PA	e ip

Trimble	Date	e Be	gin - I	End:	4/04/2022	Drilling Com	bany	: Negle	ey's		BORING LOG IT-35							
- 	Log	ged	By:		J. Thompson	Drill Crew:		G. Ke	err				ı					
M B	Hor	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling Equip	omei	nt: <u>Acke</u>	Rebe			На	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.	
2:04 F	Plur	nge:			-90 degrees	Drilling Methe	od:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er							
22 12	Wea	ather	:		45°, Partly Cloudy	Exploration D	Diam	eter: 3.25	n. I.D.									
27/20:					FIELD E	XPLORATION							LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	ILTS	
PLOTTED: 04/2	roximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	iphical Log		Approximate Ground Surface Eleva Surface Condition: Bare	ation (ft.): 764.00 Earth	nple Type	t Counts(BC)= brr. Blows/6 in. ⊨%	overy (=No Recovery)	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	ilitional Tests/ narks	
	Apr	Dep	Gra		Lithologic Descript	ion	Sar	Blow Uncc RQE	Red (NR	USU Syr	Cor Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Pla: (NP	Adc Rer	
	_			<u>Fill</u> Silty	<u>I</u> / GRAVEL with Sand (GM): bla	ack to gray											-	
	- - 760 -	5-															-	
	- 755 - -	10-						BC=6 8 14 14 14	22"								- - - -	
ER: MECHANICSBURG	- 750 - -	15-															- - - -	
OFFICE FILTE SOIL LOG]	- 745 - -	20-						BC=5 6 6 10	20"								- - - -	
BER: 20214488.002A KLF_BORING/TEST PIT	- 740 - -	25-						<b>PO</b> 0									-  - - -	
PROJECT NUM. .RD_GINT_LIBRARY_2021.GLB	735 - - - - - - - 730	30-						BC=8 20 18 12	18"								- - - - -	
_gint_master_2021 TE: E:KLF_STANDA	(		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	E	NFELDFE	PROJECT I 20214488.0 DRAWN BY	NO.: 002A Y:	JMT				 	BOR		LOG	G IT-3	35	
gINT FILE: Klf. gINT TEMPLA <sup>-</sup>		, ,		Bri	ight People. Right Solutions.	CHECKED DATE:	BY:	JT 4/15/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	vvare re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p Page: 1 of 3	



rimble	Date	e Beg	in - E	End:	4/04/2022	Dr	rilling Comp	any:	Negle	y's							В	BORING L	OG IT-35
ΓC :	Log	ged E	By:		J. Thompson	_ Dr	rill Crew:		G. Ke	rr				L					
M BY	Hor.	-Vert	. Dat	um:	Not Available	Dr	rilling Equip	ment	: Acker	Rebel			Ha	mmei	r Type	e - Drop:	140 lk	5. Auto - 3	0 in
04 PI	Plun	nge:			-90 degrees	Dr	rilling Metho	d:	Hollo	v Stem	n Auge	r							
2 12:	Wea	ather:			45°, Partly Cloudy	_ Ex	ploration Di	amet	ter: 3.25 i	n. I.D.									
7/202					FIEL	D EXPLO	RATION							LA	BORA	TORY RE	SULTS		
PLOTTED: 04/27	roximate ⁄ation (feet)	th (feet)	phical Log		Approximate Ground Surface E Surface Condition: F	Elevation (ft. Bare Earth	): 764.00	nple Type	Counts(BC)= rr. Blows/6 in. =%	overy =No Recovery)	SS Ibol	er itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	id Limit sticity Index	=NonPlastic)	itional Tests/ narks	
	Appi Elev	Dep	Grap		Lithologic Desc	ription		San	Uncor RQD=	Recc (NR⊧	USC Sym	Vat	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu Plas	ň N	Addi Ren	
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG vt_LIBRARY_2021.GLB	- 690 			The l below with a	boring was terminated at a <i>v</i> ground surface. The bor auger cuttings on April 04,	, pproximating was ba 2022.	694.0 ely 70 ft. ackfilled					<u>GROU</u> Ground <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	NDWA dwater ation. RAL NC ploratic ted by I	TER I was n DTES: n loca	LEVEL ot obse	INFORM. erved duri	ATION: ng drillin	g or after oproximate	and were
master_2021 :KLF_STANDARD_G	-660						PROJECT N 20214488.00	IO.: )2A					E	BOR	ING	LOG IT	-35		
JINT FILE: KIf_gint_I		×		EI. Brig	NFELDE ght People. Right Solution	R ons.	DRAWN BY CHECKED E DATE:	: BY:	JMT JT 4/15/2022					Haul Wilke Luze	Road es-Bar erne (	Wareho re Towns County, F	use ship ?A	F	Page: 3 of 3

rimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	nd:	3/29/20	22		Dri	illing Comp	any	: Negle	ey's								BORING LOG IT-36	
L :Υ	Log	ged	By:		J. Thon	npson		_ Dri	ill Crew:		G. Ke	err				I					_
Β M	Hor	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Ava	ailable		_ Dri	illing Equip	mei	nt: Acke	r Rebe	I		Ha	amme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.	
2:04 F	Plur	nge:			-90 deg	rees		_ Dri	illing Metho	od:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er							
122	Wea	ather	:		30°, Su	nny		_ Ex	ploration D	iam	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.	1								
27/20							FIELD	D EXPLOF	RATION	-							BORA		RESU	JLTS	_
PLOTTED: 04/	oroximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate S	e Ground Surface C	Surface El ondition: B	levation (ft.): are Earth	: 749.00	mple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. )=%	sovery R=No Recovery)	CS nbol	iter ntent (%)	· Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index >=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks	
	Apl	De	Ū			Litholog	gic Descr	ription		Sa	Blov Unc RQI	Re Re	S yi	Co Ka	Dry	Ра	Ъа	Liq	Pla (NF	Ad	
	-	-		<u>Fill I</u> Silty	GRAVEL	with Sa	nd (GM):	black to g	ray												_
	- 745 - - - -	- - 5- - -									BC=5 17	12"									
	- 140	10-									11 14		-								
	ŀ																				-
	F	-																			-
Ċ	F	-																			_
BUR	-735	-																			-
ANICS	-	15-																			-
ECH/	F	-																			-
:Я: М	F	-																			-
FILTE	F	-									BC=6	18"									-
-ICE	-730	-									7										
OFI 0FI	-	20-																			-
- LOG	-	-																			
- SOII	-	-																			
Т РП		-																			
002A G/TES	[ 125	-																			
4488. DRIN(	[	20-																			
2021 _F_B(		-																			
BER:	L	-																			
GLB	-720	-									BC=4	20"									-
JECT 2021.		30-									8		-								_
PRO.	Ļ	-																			_
LIBR	ŀ	-																			-
LNI	F	-																			_
RD_0	-715	-																			-
2021 ANDA	<u> </u>																				_
master_2 :KLF_ST/									PROJECT N 20214488.0	10.: 02A					I	BOR	ING	LOC	G IT-3	36	
 TE: E:	(	h	(1	FÌ	NF	=/ /	DF	R	DRAWN BY	<b>'</b> :	JMT										_
E: KIF	Bright People. Right Solutions.					CHECKED	BY:	JT					Haul Wilke	Road s-Ba	War re To	ehous wnshi	e				
T FILE T TEM								1/15/2000					Luz	erne (	Coun	ly, PA					
gIN <sup>-</sup>								DATE.		4/10/2022									Page: 1 of 2		



Trimble	Date	e Be	gin - I	End:	3/25/2022		Dri	lling Comp	bany	: Negl	ey's								BORING LOG IT-37
-L : Ya	Log	ged	By:		J. Thomps	on	Dri	II Crew:		G. K	err				ı				
B	Hor.	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Availat	ole	Dri	lling Equip	omer	nt: <u>Acke</u>	er Rebe			Ha	Imme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
2:04 F	Plur	nge:			-90 degree	S	Dri	lling Metho	od:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er						
22 12	Wea	ather	:		38°, Cloud	у	Exp	oloration D	liam	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.								
27/20						FIEL	LD EXPLOR	ATION							LA	BORA	TORY	' RESL	ILTS
PLOTTED: 04/2	oroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	tphical Log		Approximate Gro Surfa	ound Surface l ce Condition:	Elevation (ft.): Bare Earth	746.00	nple Type	/ Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. )=%	covery <=No Recovery)	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index >=NonPlastic)	ititional Tests/ marks
	App Ele	Dep	Gra		Lith	hologic Des	cription		Sar	Blow Uncc RQD	Rec (NR	US Syr	Wa	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Pla: (NP	Adc Rer
	-745			<u>Fill</u> Silty	<u>I</u> y GRAVEL with	n Sand (GM)	): black to gr	ay											-
ILTER: MECHANICSBURG	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5- 10- 15-								BC=5 10 13 9	22"								- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
OFFICE FI PIT SOIL LOG]	- - 725 -	20-								4 3 4									-  - - -
ECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A 021.GLB [KLF_BORING/TEST F	- 	20 25-								BC=7 8 7 5	14"								-
PROJE	- -715 - -	30-																	 - - - -
master_2021 KLF_STAND								PROJECT 1 20214488.0	NO.: 002A			I	I	E	BOR	ING	LOG	6 IT-3	37
gINT FILE: KIf_gint_r gINT TEMPLATE: E:	Bright People. Right Solutions.							DRAWN BY CHECKED DATE:	Y: BY:	JMT JT 4/15/2022					Haul Wilke Luz	Road es-Bar erne (	Ware re To Count	ehous wnshi y, PA	e p Page: 1 of 2



rimble	Date	e Beç	gin - E	End:	3/28/2022	Dr	illing Comp	any	: Negle	ey's					BORING LOG IT-38					
Υ: JT	Log	ged	By:		J. Thompson	Dr	ill Crew:		M. B	allew										
β	Hor	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Dr	illing Equip	me	nt: Acke	r XLS	XLS Hammer Type - C					e - Dr	Drop: 140 lb. Auto - 30 in.			
2:04 F	Plur	nge:			-90 degrees	Dr	illing Metho	d:	Hollo	w Ster	n Auge	er								
22 1	Wea	ather	:		25°, Cloudy	Ex	xploration Diameter: 3.25 in. I.D.					<u>.                                      </u>								
27/20					F	IELD EXPLOF	RATION	_												
PLOTTED: 04/	proximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Ground Surfac Surface Conditio	ce Elevation (ft.) n: Bare Earth	: 744.00	mple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. D=%	covery 8=No Recovery)	CS mbol	iter ntent (%)	' Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index >=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks		
	Apl	De	Ū		Lithologic De	escription		Sa	Unc RQI	Re Re	S yı	နိုင္ပ	Dry	Ра	Ъа	Liq	R Pla	Ad		
	Silty GRAVEL with Sand (GM): black to g					M): black to g	ray											-		
	- 740 - -	- - 5- -																-   		
	├ -							BC=2	18"	1							-			
	-735								5 4 7									-		
	F	10-									-							_		
	Ē	-																-		
	F	-																-		
В	- 	-																-		
SBU	-730																	-		
IANIC	F	15-																_		
MECH	[	-																_		
Ë	[ ]																			
ELT.	-725						BC=9 8	24"												
FFICE	- 125	20-							6 6									_		
0 [0]	L	20																_		
	L																	_		
IT SO	Ļ	-																_		
A EST P	-720																	_		
8.002/ IG/TE	Ļ	25-																-		
30RIN	Ļ	-																-		
:: 202 <lf_e< td=""><td>ŀ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></lf_e<>	ŀ																	-		
	-	-						_	BC=3	20"	-							-		
T NUI	-715								3 4									-		
2021 2021	F	30-							4		-							-		
PR( ZARY	F																	-		
LIBF	F																	-		
GINT	F	-																-		
ARD_	-710																	-		
naster_2021 <lf_stand< td=""><td colspan="6"></td><td>PROJECT N 20214488.00</td><td>IO.: 02A</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td> </td><td>BOR</td><td>ING</td><td>LOC</td><td>5 IT-3</td><td>38</td></lf_stand<>							PROJECT N 20214488.00	IO.: 02A	1		I	I		BOR	ING	LOC	5 IT-3	38		
gint_n E: E:k	(		~					<i>.</i>	IN AT	<u> </u>										
KIf_( >LATE	Ĺ	KLEINFELDER Bright People Right Solutions							JIVI I					Haul	Road	War	ehous	e		
FILE: TEMF								BY:	JT				Wilkes-Barre Township Luzerne County, PA							
gINT gINT							DATE:		4/15/2022			Page: 1 of :								



rimble	Date	e Beg	jin - E	nd:	3/28/2022		Drillin	g Comp	any	: Negl	ey's					BORING LOG IT-40					
Y: JT	Log	ged E	By:		J. Thompso	on	_ Drill C	rew:		M. B	allew				l						
M B,	Hor.	Vert	. Dat	um:	Not Availab	le	Drilling	g Equip	mei	nt: <u>Acke</u>	r XLS			Hammer Type - Drop: _140 lb. Auto - 30 in.							
:04 P	Plur	nge:			-90 degrees	8	Drilling	g Metho	d:	Hollo	w Sten	n Auge	er								
22 12	Wea	ather			25°, Cloudy	/	Explor	ation D	iam	eter: 3.25	in. I.D.										
7/202						FIELD	EXPLORATIO	ON						LABORATORY RESULTS							
PLOTTED: 04/2	proximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Gro Surfac	und Surface Ele ce Condition: Ba	evation (ft.): 731. are Earth	00	mple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. D=%	covery R=No Recovery)	CS mbol	ater ntent (%)	r Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	isticity Index ⊃=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks		
	Apl	De	Ğ		Lith	ologic Descri	ption		Sa	Blov Unc RQI	Re Re	US Syi	°∑ C N	Dry	Pa	Pa	Liq	Pla (NF	Ad		
	-730 - Silty GRAVEL with Sand (GM): black to moist						black to gray, o	dry to													
	- 725 -	5— - -								BC=5	18"	-							-		
										4											
	-	10-								2		-							-		
	-720	-																			
	-	-																			
(7)	-	-																			
BUR(	-	-																			
NICS	-	15—																	-		
ECHA	-715	-																			
R: ME	-	-																			
ILTE	-	-								BC=2	18"	1									
ICE F	-	-								4											
OFF ]	-	20-							Ň	4		-							-		
LOG	-710	-																			
SOIL	-	-																			
T PIT	-	-																			
02A /TES <sup>-</sup>	-	-																			
.488.0 RING	-	25—																	-		
20214 F_BO	-705	-																			
ER:	-	-																			
ILB [		-								BC=3 7	16"	1									
ECT N 321.G	[	-								6 11											
ROJI		30—										]									
F BRAF		-																			
NT_LI	Ľ	-								BC=6 6	18"										
D_GI	Ĺ	-								8 7											
21 VDAR								697.0													
.KLF_STAN							PR 202	OJECT N 214488.00	10.: 02A					I	BOR	ING	LOG	G IT-4	10		
_gint_ TE: E	(	k	FÌ	NFF		RAWN BY	<b>′</b> :	JMT	-												
E: KIĘ				Bri	ght People. Ri	ight Solution	ns. CH	ECKED E	BY:	JT					Haul Wilke	Road s-Bar	Ware re To	ehous wnshi	e p		
T FILE										Luzerne County, PA											
gIN <sup>7</sup> gIN <sup>7</sup>								.ı ⊑.		4/15/2022			Page: 1 of 2								

rimble	Date Begin - End: 3/28/2022						Drilling Company: <u>Negley's</u>								BORING LOG IT-40					
/: JT	Log	ged E	By:		J. Thompson		Drill Crew:		M. Ba	llew				L						
M B)	Hor.	-Vert	. Dat	um:	Not Available		Drilling Equip	men	t: <u>Acker</u>	XLS			Han	nmei	r Туре	- Drop:	140	lb. Auto -	30 in.	
:04 P	Plun	nge:			-90 degrees		Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Auger													
2 12	Wea	ather:			25°, Cloudy		Exploration D	cploration Diameter: 3.25 in. I.D.												
7/202						PRATION LABORATORY RESU									SULTS	JLTS				
PLOTTED: 04/2	pproximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	aphical Log		Approximate Ground Su Surface Conc	rface Elevation dition: Bare Eart	(ft.): 731.00 h	ample Type	w Counts(BC)= corr. Blows/6 in. iD=%	scovery R=No Recovery)	SCS mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit asticity Index	P=NonPlastic)	lditional Tests/	emarks	
	Ap	De	Ģ		Lithologic	Description		Sa	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Re N	Sy S	Ν̈́č	Ď	Ра	Ра	E Ci	z	Ad	Re	
PROJECT NUMBER: 20214488.002A OFFICE FILTER: MECHANICSBURG LIBRARY_2021.GLB [KLF_BORING/TEST PIT SOIL LOG]	695             			The below with	boring was terminate v ground surface. Th auger cuttings on Ma	ed at approxim ne boring was irch 28, 2022.	ately 34 ft. backfilled					<u>GROU</u> Ground <u>GENE</u> The ex estima	NDWA1 dwater v etion. <u>RAL NO</u> ploratioi ted by K	T <u>ER LI</u> vas n <u>TES:</u> n loca	EVEL ation ar	INFORM. erved duri	ATION: ng drillir on are a	ng or after	te and were	
_master_2021 ::KLF_STANDARD_GIN <sup>-</sup>	-						PROJECT N 20214488.0	NO.: 02A					В	OR	ING	LOG IT	-40			
gINT FILE: KIf_gint_ 3INT TEMPLATE: E:	KLEINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.				DRAWN BY CHECKED DATE:	/: BY:	JMT JT 4/15/2022				F V	laul Vilke Luze	Road s-Bari erne C	Wareho re Towns County, F	use ship ?A		Page: 2 of 2			



## HAUL ROAD WAREHOUSE INFILTRATION TESTING RESULTS TABLE

				Drop in Inches											
	Existing	Proposed				Reading				Read	lings				
	Surface	Test	Actual Test	1st	2nd	Time (10									Infiltration
Test	Elevation (ft	Elevation (ft	Elevation	Presoak	Presoak	or 30	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Rate
Location	amsl)	amsl)	(ft amsl)	(30 min)	(30 min)	minutes)									(in/hr)*
			678.0	6.0	6.0	10	3.5	3.4	3.0	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6		15.6
IT-1	679.0	677.0	677.0	6.0	6.0	10	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8			10.8
			676.0	6.0	6.0	10	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7					10.2
			678.5	6.0	6.0	10	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.6	3.4	20.4
IT-2	681.5	677.0	677.5	6.0	6.0	10	5.5	5.2	4.8	4.4	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.1	18.6
			676.5	5.9	5.5	10	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9				5.4
			678.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.0			12.0
IT-3	681.0	677.0	677.0	6.0	6.0	10	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1					6.6
			676.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8				10.8
			678.0	6.0	6.0	10	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0					6.0
IT-4	683.0	677.0	677.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.9	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8		10.8
			676.0	6.0	5.8	10	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.9			5.4
			678.0	12.0	10.0	10	3.0	2.6	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7		10.2
IT-5	685.0	677.0	677.0	24.0	20.0	10	7.5	7.9	6.8	6.6	6.3	5.9	5.7	5.7	34.2
			676.0	22.0	20.0	10	6.1	5.6	5.0	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.6	4.4	26.4
			678.0	6.0	6.0	10	4.2	3.8	3.5	3.3	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.5	15.0
IT-6	693.0	677.0	677.0	1.5	1.2	30	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1					2.2
			676.0	2.1	1.8	30	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4					2.8
			678.0	15.0	12.0	10	5.2	4.8	5.1	4.2	4.0	4.4	5.0	4.1	24.6
IT-7	691.0	677.0	677.0	12.0	12.0	10	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4			8.4
			676.0	24.0	24.0	10	5.0	4.7	4.4	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.2	3.2	19.2
			678.0	0.3	0.2	30	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2					0.4
IT-9	692.0	677.0	677.0	0.5	0.4	30	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3					0.6
			676.0	0.2	0.2	30	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1					0.2
			678.0	0.4	0.3	30	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3					0.6
IT-10	694.0	677.0	677.0	1.9	1.6	30	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.2				2.4
			676.0	1.0	1.0	10	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6					1.2



## HAUL ROAD WAREHOUSE INFILTRATION TESTING RESULTS TABLE

				Drop in Inches											
	Existing	Proposed				Reading				Read	dings				
	Surface	Test	Actual Test	1st	2nd	Time (10									Infiltration
Test	Elevation (ft	Elevation (ft	Elevation	Presoak	Presoak	or 30	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Rate
Location	amsl)	amsl)	(ft amsl)	(30 min)	(30 min)	minutes)									(in/hr)*
			678.0	7.5	6.9	10	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1					6.6
IT-13	694.0	677.0	677.0	7.0	6.5	10	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8					4.8
			676.0	3.0	2.5	30	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2					2.4
			696.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.9	2.6	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.5		9.0
IT-14	696.0	695.0	695.0	6.0	6.0	10	3.0	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1			12.6
			694.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0			12.0
			696.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.9	2.9	2.5	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.7		10.2
IT-15	697.0	695.0	695.0	6.0	6.0	10	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.7	2.6	15.6
			694.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5					15.0
IT-16	691.0	695.0	690.0	0.1	0.0	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0
IT-17	692.0	695.0	691.5	0.2	0.2	30	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2					0.4
IT-18	694.0	695.0	693.0	1.5	1.5	30	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2					2.4
IT-19	695.0	695.0	694.5	6.0	6.0	10	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3					7.8
			696.0	1.5	1.5	30	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1					2.2
IT-21	697.0	695.0	695.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1		6.6
			694.0	6.0	6.0	10	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6					15.6
			702.0	24.0	24.0	10	5.0	4.9	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.2	19.2
IT-22	716.0	700.0	700.0	0.6	0.5	30	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4					0.8
			698.0	2.5	1.9	30	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.7					5.1
IT 24	706.0	608.0	699.0	6.0	6.0	10	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.7					10.2
11-24	700.0	098.0	698.0	0.5	0.5	30	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4					0.8
			698.0	36.0	30.0	10	7.0	6.5	6.5	6.1	5.7	5.5	5.0	4.9	29.4
IT-27	704.0	698.0	697.0	2.1	2.0	30	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5					3.0
			696.0	1.9	1.7	30	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5					3.0
			698.0	36.0	36.0	10	7.0	6.6	6.3	5.9	5.5	5.3	5.0	5.1	30.6
IT-28	707.0	697.0	697.0	15.0	14.0	10	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3				7.8
			696.0	2.0	2.0	30	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.8					3.6



## HAUL ROAD WAREHOUSE INFILTRATION TESTING RESULTS TABLE

					Drop in Inches											
	Existing	Proposed				Reading				Read	dings					
	Surface	Test	Actual Test	1st	2nd	Time (10									Infiltration	
Test	Elevation (ft	Elevation (ft	Elevation	Presoak	Presoak	or 30	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Rate	
Location	amsl)	amsl)	(ft amsl)	(30 min)	(30 min)	minutes)									(in/hr)*	
			698.0	36.0	36.0	10	9.5	9.0	7.8	7.5	7.9	7.4	6.7	6.5	39.0	
IT-29	704.0	697.0	697.0	24.0	20.0	10	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4				20.4	
			696.0	24.0	24.0	10	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6			21.6	
			698.0	15.0	15.0	10	2.0	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4			8.4	
IT-33	708.0	697.0	697.0	9.5	9.0	10	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2					7.2	
			696.0	7.5	7.5	10	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9					5.4	
			698.0	15.0	15.0	10	2.0	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4			8.4	
IT-34	706.0	697.0	697.0	30.0	24.0	10	4.8	4.1	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2			19.2	
			696.0	15.0	10.0	10	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.8					10.8	
			698.0	18.0	16.0	10	3.0	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4				14.4	
IT-35	764.0	697.0	697.0	6.0	6.0	10	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.7					10.2	
			696.0	12.0	12.0	10	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1					12.6	
			698.0	9.0	8.0	10	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2					7.2	
IT-36	749.0	697.0	697.0	1.0	0.8	30	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6					1.2	
			696.0	14.0	12.5	10	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0					12.0	
			698.0	24.0	20.0	10	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.0	2.7	16.2	
IT-37	746.0	697.0	697.0	20.0	20.0	10	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0					18.0	
			696.0	24.0	20.0	10	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3			19.8	
			698.0	7.0	6.0	10	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8					4.8	
IT-38	744.0	697.0	697.0	9.0	7.5	10	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5			3.0	
			696.0	18.0	18.0	10	4.9	4.8	4.4	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.4	20.4	
			701.0	9.0	9.0	10	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6			3.6	
IT-40	731.0	700.0	700.0	1.0	1.0	30	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7					1.4	
			699.0	1.5	1.5	30	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1					2.2	
*denotes	no factor has	s been applied	d to the infilti	ration rates												
Shaded ce	ells indicate tl	he final readii	ng which has	been used t	o create the	e infiltration	rate.									